ETSI TS 133 401 V10.5.0 (2013-07)



Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE;

3GPP System Architecture Evolution (SAE); Security architecture (3GPP TS 33.401 version 10.5.0 Release 10)



Reference

RTS/TSGS-0333401va50

Keywords

GSM,LTE,SECURITY,UMTS

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

Individual copies of the present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org

The present document may be made available in more than one electronic version or in print. In any case of existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions, the reference version is the Portable Document Format (PDF). In case of dispute, the reference shall be the printing on ETSI printers of the PDF version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: http://portal.etsi.org/chaircor/ETSI_support.asp

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced except as authorized by written permission. The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2013.
All rights reserved.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM, **UMTS**TM and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM and **LTE**TM are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (http://ipr.etsi.org).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp.

Contents

| Intelle | tellectual Property Rights2 | | |
|--------------|--|----|--|
| Forew | vord | 2 | |
| Forew | vord | 8 | |
| 1 | Scope | 9 | |
| 2 | References | 9 | |
| 3 | Definitions, symbols and abbreviations | 10 | |
| 3.1 | Definitions | 10 | |
| 3.2 | Symbols | 12 | |
| 3.3 | Abbreviations | 12 | |
| 3.4 | Conventions | 13 | |
| 4 | Overview of Security Architecture | 14 | |
| 5 | Security Features | 15 | |
| 5.1 | User-to-Network security | 15 | |
| 5.1.0 | General | | |
| 5.1.1 | User identity and device confidentiality | 15 | |
| 5.1.2 | Entity authentication | | |
| 5.1.3 | User data and signalling data confidentiality | 16 | |
| 5.1.3.1 | - r | | |
| 5.1.3.2 | | | |
| 5.1.4 | User data and signalling data integrity | | |
| 5.1.4.1 | 871 | | |
| 5.1.4.2 | C | | |
| 5.2 | Security visibility and configurability | | |
| 5.3 | Security requirements on eNodeB | | |
| 5.3.1 | General | | |
| 5.3.2 | Requirements for eNB setup and configuration | | |
| 5.3.3 | Requirements for key management inside eNB | | |
| 5.3.4 | Requirements for handling User plane data for the eNB | | |
| 5.3.4a | 1 | | |
| 5.3.5 5.4 | Requirements for secure environment of the eNB | | |
| | Security Procedures between UE and EPC Network Elements | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 6.0 6.1 | General | | |
| 6.1.1 | AKA procedure | | |
| 6.1.2 | Distribution of authentication data from HSS to serving network | | |
| 6.1.3 | User identification by a permanent identity | | |
| 6.1.4 | Distribution of IMSI and authentication data within one serving network domain | | |
| 6.1.5 | Distribution of IMSI and authentication data within one serving network domains | | |
| 6.1.6 | Distribution of IMSI and UMTS authentication vectors between MMEs or between MME and | | |
| <i>(</i>) | SGSN | | |
| 6.2 | EPS key hierarchy | | |
| 6.3 | EPS key identification | | |
| 6.4 6.5 | Handling of EPS security contexts | | |
| 7 | Security Procedures between UE and EPS Access Network Elements | | |
| 7.0 | General | | |
| 7.0 7.1 | Mechanism for user identity confidentiality | | |
| 7.2 | Handling of user-related keys in E-UTRAN | | |
| 7.2.1 | E-UTRAN key setting during AKA | | |
| 7.2.2 | E-UTRAN key identification | | |

| 7.2.3 | E-UTRAN key lifetimes | 31 |
|-----------|--|----|
| 7.2.4 | Security mode command procedure and algorithm negotiation | 31 |
| 7.2.4.1 | Requirements for algorithm selection | |
| 7.2.4.2 | Procedures for AS algorithm selection | 32 |
| 7.2.4.2 | .1 Initial AS security context establishment | 32 |
| 7.2.4.2 | .2 X2-handover | 32 |
| 7.2.4.2 | | |
| 7.2.4.2 | .4 Intra-eNB handover | 32 |
| 7.2.4.3 | Procedures for NAS algorithm selection | 32 |
| 7.2.4.3 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 7.2.4.3 | | |
| 7.2.4.4 | NAS security mode command procedure | |
| 7.2.4.5 | AS security mode command procedure | |
| 7.2.4a | Algorithm negotiation for unauthenticated UEs in LSM | |
| 7.2.5 | Key handling at state transitions to and away from EMM-DEREGISTERED | 36 |
| 7.2.5.1 | Transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED | |
| 7.2.5.2 | | |
| 7.2.5.2 | | |
| 7.2.5.2 | | |
| 7.2.5.2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 7.2.6 | Key handling in ECM-IDLE to ECM-CONNECTED and ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE | |
| | transitions | 38 |
| 7.2.6.1 | ECM-IDLE to ECM-CONNECTED transition | |
| 7.2.6.2 | | 38 |
| 7.2.6.3 | ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE transition. | |
| 7.2.7 | Key handling for the TAU procedure when registered in E-UTRAN | |
| 7.2.8 | Key handling in handover | |
| 7.2.8.1 | General | |
| 7.2.8.1 | | |
| 7.2.8.1 | | |
| 7.2.8.2 | | |
| 7.2.8.3 | | |
| 7.2.8.4 | 1 | |
| 7.2.8.4 | | |
| 7.2.8.4 | | |
| 7.2.8.4 | | |
| 7.2.8.4 | | |
| 7.2.9 | Key-change-on-the fly | |
| 7.2.9.1 | General | |
| 7.2.9.2 | | |
| 7.2.9.3 | KeNB refresh | |
| 7.2.9.4 | NAS key re-keying | |
| 7.2.10 | Rules on Concurrent Running of Security Procedures | |
| 7.3 | UP security mechanisms | |
| 7.3.1 | UP confidentiality mechanisms | |
| 7.3.2 | UP integrity mechanisms | |
| 7.4 | RRC security mechanisms | |
| 7.4.1 | RRC integrity mechanisms | |
| 7.4.2 | RRC confidentiality mechanisms | |
| 7.4.3 | K _{eNB} * and Token Preparation for the RRCConnectionRe-establishment Procedure | |
| 7.5 | Signalling procedure for periodic local authentication | |
| | | |
| | Security mechanisms for non-access stratum signalling | |
| 8.0 | General | |
| 8.1 | NAS integrity mechanisms | |
| 8.1.1 | NAS input parameters and mechanism | |
| 8.1.2 | NAS integrity activation | |
| 8.2 | NAS confidentiality mechanisms | 50 |
| 9 | Security interworking between E-UTRAN and UTRAN | 50 |
| 9.1 | RAU and TAU procedures | |
| 9.1.1 | RAU procedures in UTRAN | |
| · · · · · | 10 10 p1000uiivi iii 0 110 ii 1 | |

| 9.1.2 | TAU procedures in E-UTRAN | 51 |
|------------------|--|----|
| 9.2 | Handover | |
| 9.2.1 | From E-UTRAN to UTRAN | |
| 9.2.2 | From UTRAN to E-UTRAN | |
| 9.2.2. 9.2.2. | | |
| 9.2.2 9.3 | Recommendations on AKA at IRAT-mobility to E-UTRAN | |
| 9.4 | Attach procedures | |
| 9.4.1 | Attach in UTRAN | |
| 1.0 | | |
| 10 | Security interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN | |
| 10.1 10.2 | General | |
| 10.2 10.2.1 | <u>r</u> | |
| 10.2.1 | r | |
| 10.2.2 | Handover | |
| 10.3.1 | | |
| 10.3.2 | From GERAN to E-UTRAN | 61 |
| 10.3.2 | | |
| 10.4 | Recommendations on AKA at IRAT-mobility to E-UTRAN | |
| 10.5 | Attach procedures | |
| 10.5.1 | Attach in GERAN | 61 |
| 11 | Network Domain Control Plane protection | 62 |
| 10 | | |
| 12 | Backhaul link user plane protection | 62 |
| 13 | Management plane protection over the S1 interface | 63 |
| 14 | SRVCC between E-UTRAN and Circuit Switched UTRAN/GERAN | 64 |
| 14.1 | From E-UTRAN to Circuit Switched UTRAN/GERAN | |
| 14.2 | Emergency call in SRVCC | |
| 15 | Security Aspects of IMS Emergency Session Handling | 66 |
| 15.1 | General | |
| 15.1 | Security procedures and their applicability | |
| 15.2.1 | | 66 |
| 15.2.1 | | |
| 15.2.1 | UE and MME share a current security context | 66 |
| 15.2.2 | Unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions | 68 |
| 15.2.2 | | |
| 15.2.2 | • | |
| 15.2.3 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
| 15.2.4 | | |
| 15.2.4 15.2.4 | | |
| 13.2.4 | F.2 Halluovel | 09 |
| Anne | ex A (normative): Key derivation functions | 71 |
| A.1 | KDF interface and input parameter construction | 71 |
| A.1.1 | General | |
| A.1.2 | | |
| A.2 | K _{ASME} derivation function | |
| | | |
| A.3 | K _{eNB} derivation function | 73 |
| A.4 | NH derivation function | 73 |
| A.5 | K _{eNB} * derivation function | 73 |
| A.6 | Void | 73 |
| A.7 | Algorithm key derivation functions | 74 |
| A.8 | K _{ASME} to CK', IK' derivation at handover | 74 |

| A.9 | NAS token derivation for inter-RAT mobility | | |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----|
| A.10 | K" _{ASME} from CK, IK derivation during handover | | |
| A.11 | K" _{ASME} from CK, IK | derivation during idle mode mobility | 75 |
| A.12 | K _{ASME} to CK _{SRVCC} , IK _{SRVCC} derivation | | |
| | | rivation at idle mobility | |
| | x B (normative): | · | |
| | · · · · · · | | |
| B.0 | | tegrity protection algorithms | |
| B.1 | 1 0 0 | orithm | |
| B.1.1 | | | |
| B.1.2 B.1.3 | | | |
| | | | |
| B.2 | | orithm | |
| B.2.1 B.2.2 | | | |
| B.2.3 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Algorithm test data | |
| C.1 | | | |
| C.1.1 | | | |
| C.1.2 | | | |
| C.1.3 C.1.4 | | | |
| C.1.4 | | | |
| C.1.6 | | | |
| C.2 | 128_FIA2 | | 87 |
| C.2.1 | | | |
| C.2.2 | | | |
| C.2.3 | | | |
| C.2.4 | Test Set 4 | | 90 |
| C.2.5 | | | |
| C.2.6 | | | |
| C.2.7 | | | |
| C.2.8 | Test Set 8 | | 95 |
| Anne | x D (normative): | Security for Relay Node Architectures | 106 |
| D.1 | Introduction | | 106 |
| D.2 | Solution | | 106 |
| D.2.1 | | | |
| D.2.2 | Security Procedures | 3 | 106 |
| D.2.3 | | ects | |
| D.2.4 | | res for RNs | |
| D.2.5 | | t procedures for RNs | |
| D.2.6 | | scription handling | |
| D.3 | | les | |
| D.3.1 | | 1 61 | |
| D.3.2 D.3.3 | | nel profileed on certificate exchange | |
| D.3.3. | | ed on certificate exchange | |
| D.3.3. | | e for RN and UICC certificate | |
| D.3.3. | - | rofile | |
| D.3.3. | 4 UICC certificate | e profile | 113 |
| D.3.4 | | pre-shared key (psk) case | |
| D.3.5 | Identities used in ke | ey agreement | 114 |

| Annex E (informative): | Change history115 |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| History | 117 |

Foreword

This Technical Specification has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

1 Scope

The present document specifies the security architecture, i.e., the security features and the security mechanisms for the Evolved Packet System and the Evolved Packet Core, and the security procedures performed within the evolved Packet System (EPS) including the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) and the Evolved UTRAN (E-UTRAN).

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

| 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications". |
|--|
| 3GPP TS 23.401: "General Packet Radio Service (GPRS) enhancements for Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN) access". |
| 3GPP TS 23.003: "Numbering, addressing and identification". |
| 3GPP TS 33.102: "3G security; Security architecture". |
| 3GPP TS 33.210: "3G security; Network Domain Security (NDS); IP network layer security". |
| 3GPP TS 33.310: "Network Domain Security (NDS); Authentication Framework (AF)". |
| IETF RFC 4303: "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)". |
| 3GPP TS 33.220: "Generic Authentication Architecture (GAA); Generic bootstrapping architecture". |
| 3GPP TS 24.301: "Non-Access-Stratum (NAS) protocol for Evolved Packet System (EPS); Stage 3". |
| Void. |
| |
| 3GPP TS 36.323: "Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA); Packet Data Convergence Protocol (PDCP) specification" |
| |
| Convergence Protocol (PDCP) specification" 3GPP TS 31.102: "Characteristics of the Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) |
| Convergence Protocol (PDCP) specification" 3GPP TS 31.102: "Characteristics of the Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) application". 3GPP TS 35.215: "Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms UEA2 & UIA2; Document 1: UEA2 |
| Convergence Protocol (PDCP) specification" 3GPP TS 31.102: "Characteristics of the Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) application". 3GPP TS 35.215: "Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms UEA2 & UIA2; Document 1: UEA2 and UIA2 specifications" |
| Convergence Protocol (PDCP) specification" 3GPP TS 31.102: "Characteristics of the Universal Subscriber Identity Module (USIM) application". 3GPP TS 35.215: "Confidentiality and Integrity Algorithms UEA2 & UIA2; Document 1: UEA2 and UIA2 specifications" NIST: "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) (FIPS PUB 197)" NIST Special Publication 800-38A (2001): "Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of |
| |

| [21] | 3GPP TS 36.331:"Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol specification". |
|------|--|
| [22] | 3GPP TS 23.216: "Single Radio Voice Call Continuity (SRVCC); Stage 2". |
| [23] | 3GPP TS 22.101: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Service aspects; Service principles". |
| [24] | 3GPP TS 25.331: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Radio Access Network; Radio Resource Control (RRC); Protocol Specification ". |
| [25] | 3GPP TS 44.060: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group GSM/EDGE Radio Access Network; General Packet Radio Service (GPRS); Mobile Station (MS) - Base Station System (BSS) interface; Radio Link Control/Medium Access Control (RLC/MAC) protocol. |
| [26] | 3GPP TS 23.122: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Core Network and Terminals; Non-Access-Stratum (NAS) functions related to Mobile Station (MS) in idle mode". |
| [27] | 3GPP TS 33.320: "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Security of Home Node B (HNB) / Home evolved Node B (HeNB)". |
| [28] | IETF RFC 5280: "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile". |
| [29] | ETSI TS 102 484 V10.0.0: "Smart Cards; Secure channel between a UICC and an end-point terminal". |
| [30] | 3GPP TS 36.300: "Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access (E-UTRA) and Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network (E-UTRAN); Overall description; Stage 2". |
| [31] | 3GPP TS 31.116 "Remote APDU Structure for (Universal) Subscriber Identity Module (U)SIM Toolkit applications". |
| [32] | ETSI TS 102 221 V9.2.0: "Smart Cards; UICC-Terminal interface; Physical and logical characteristics". |
| | |

3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in TR 21.905 [1], in TS 33.102 [4] and the following apply. A term defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same term, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].

Access Security Management Entity: entity which receives the top-level keys in an access network from the HSS. For E-UTRAN access networks, the role of the ASME is assumed by the MME

Activation of security context: the process of taking into use a security context.

Authentication data: Data that is part of a security context or of authentication vectors.

Chaining of K_{eNB}: derivation of a new K_{eNB} from another K_{eNB} (i.e., at cell handover)

Current EPS security context: The security context which has been activated most recently. Note that a current EPS security context originating from either a mapped or native EPS security context may exist simultaneously with a native non-current EPS security context.

ECM-CONNECTED state: This is as defined in TS 23.401 [2]. The term ECM-CONNECTED state corresponds to the term EMM-CONNECTED mode used in TS 24.301 [9].

ECM-IDLE state: As defined in TS 23.401 [2]. The term ECM-IDLE state corresponds to the term EMM-IDLE mode used in TS 24.301 [9].

EPS-Authentication Vector: K_{ASME}, RAND, AUTN, XRES

EPS security context: A state that is established locally at the UE and a serving network domain. At both ends "EPS security context data" is stored, that consists of the EPS NAS security context, and the EPS AS security context.

NOTE 1: An EPS security context has type 'mapped', 'full native' or 'partial native'. Its state can either be 'current' or 'non-current'. A context can be of one type only and be in one state at a time. The state of a particular context type can change over time. A partial native context can be transformed into a full native. No other type transformations are possible.

EPS AS security context: the cryptographic keys at AS level with their identifiers, the Next Hop parameter NH, the Next Hop Chaining Counter parameter NCC used for next hop access key derivation, the identifiers of the selected AS level cryptographic algorithms and counters used for replay protection. Note that the EPS AS security context only exists when cryptographically protected radio bearers are established and is otherwise void.

NOTE 2: NH and NCC need to be stored also at the MME during connected mode.

EPS NAS security context: This context consists of K_{ASME} with the associated key set identifier, the UE security capabilities, and the uplink and downlink NAS COUNT values. In particular, separate pairs of NAS COUNT values are used for each EPS NAS security contexts, respectively. The distinction between native and mapped EPS security contexts also applies to EPS NAS security contexts. The EPS NAS security context is called 'full' if it additionally contains the keys K_{NASint} and K_{NASenc} and the identifiers of the selected NAS integrity and encryption algorithms.

Full native EPS security context: A native EPS security context for which the EPS NAS security context is full according to the above definition. A full native EPS security context is either in state 'current' or state 'non-current'.

Forward security: In the context of K_{eNB} key derivation, forward security refers to the property that, for an eNB with knowledge of a K_{eNB} , shared with a UE, it shall be computationally infeasible to predict any future K_{eNB} , that will be used between the same UE and another eNB. More specifically, n hop forward security refers to the property that an eNB is unable to compute keys that will be used between a UE and another eNB to which the UE is connected after n or more handovers (n=1 or 2).

Legacy security context: A security context which has been established according to TS 33.102 [4].

Mapped security context: Security context created by converting the current security context in the source system to a security context for the target system in inter-system mobility, e.g., UMTS keys created from EPS keys. The EPS NAS security context of a mapped security context is full and current.

Native EPS security context: An EPS security context whose K_{ASME} was created by a run of EPS AKA.

Non-current EPS security context: A native EPS security context that is not the current one. A non-current EPS security context may be stored along with a current EPS security context in the UE and the MME. A non-current EPS security context does not contain an EPS AS security context. A non-current EPS security context is either of type 'full native' or of type 'partial native'.

Partial native EPS security context: A partial native EPS security context consists of K_{ASME} with the associated key set identifier, the UE security capabilities, and the uplink and downlink NAS COUNT values, which are initially set to zero before the first NAS SMC procedure for this security context. A partial native EPS security context is created by an EPS AKA, for which no corresponding successful NAS SMC has been run. A partial native context is always in state 'non-current'.

Re-derivation of NAS keys: derivation of new NAS keys from the same K_{ASME} but including different algorithms (and no freshness parameter)

Refresh of K_{eNB}: derivation of a new K_{eNB} from the same K_{ASME} and including a freshness parameter

Re-keying of K_{eNB}: derivation of a new K_{eNB} from a new K_{ASME} in ECM-CONNECTED (i.e., . to activate a partial native EPS security context, or to re-activate a non-current full EPS security context)

Re-keying of NAS keys: derivation of new NAS keys from a new K_{ASME}

UE security capabilities: The set of identifiers corresponding to the ciphering and integrity algorithms implemented in the UE. This includes capabilities for EPS AS and NAS, and includes capabilities for UTRAN and GERAN if these access types are supported by the UE.

UE EPS security capabilities: The UE security capabilities for EPS AS and NAS.

3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

|| Concatenation

Bitwise Exclusive Or (XOR) operation

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in TR 21.905 [1] and the following apply. An abbreviation defined in the present document takes precedence over the definition of the same abbreviation, if any, in TR 21.905 [1].

AES Advanced Encryption Standard

AK Anonymity Key

AKA Authentication and Key Agreement AMF Authentication Management Field

AN Access Network
AS Access Stratum
AUTN Authentication token
AV Authentication Vector

ASME Access Security Management Entity
Cell-ID Cell Identity as used in TS 36.331 [21]

CK Cipher Key

CKSN Cipher Key Sequence Number
C-RNTI Cell RNTI as used in TS 36.331 [21]

CRL Certificate Revocation List

DeNB Donor eNB
DoS Denial of Service

EARFCN-DL E-UTRA Absolute Radio Frequency Channel Number-Down Link

ECM EPS Connection Management EEA EPS Encryption Algorithm EIA EPS Integrity Algorithm eKSI Key Set Identifier in E-UTRAN EMM EPS Mobility Management

eNB Evolved Node-B
EPC Evolved Packet Core
EPS Evolved Packet System
EPS-AV EPS authentication vector

E-UTRAN Evolved UTRAN

GERAN GSM EDGE Radio Access Network
GUTI Globally Unique Temporary Identity

HE Home Environment HFN Hyper Frame Number

HO Hand Over

HSS Home Subscriber Server

IK Integrity Key

IKE Internet Key Exchange

IMEI International Mobile Station Equipment Identity

IMEISV International Mobile Station Equipment Identity and Software Version number

IMSI International Mobile Subscriber Identity

IRAT Inter-Radio Access Technology
ISR Idle Mode Signaling Reduction
KDF Key Derivation Function

KSI Key Set Identifier
LSB Least Significant Bit
LSM Limited Service Mode

MAC-I Message Authentication Code for Integrity (terminology of TS36.323 [12])

MACT Message Authentication Code T used in AES CMAC calculation

ME Mobile Equipment

MME Mobility Management Entity
MME-RN MME serving the RN
MS Mobile Station

MSC Mobile Switching Center

MSIN Mobile Station Identification Number

NAS Non Access Stratum

NAS-MAC Message Authentication Code for NAS for Integrity (called MAC in TS24.301 [9])

NCC Next hop Chaining Counter

NH Next Hop

OCSP Online Certificate Status Protocol
OTA Over-The-Air (update of UICCs)

PCI Physical Cell Identity as used in TS 36.331 [21]

PDCP Packet Data Convergence Protocol
PLMN Public Land Mobile Network
PRNG Pseudo Random Number Generator

PSK Pre-shared Key

P-TMSI Packet- Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity

RAND RANDom number RAU Routing Area Update

RN Relay Node

RRC Radio Resource Control
SGSN Serving GPRS Support Node
SIM Subscriber Identity Module
SMC Security Mode Command

SN Serving Network

SN id Serving Network identity SQN Sequence Number SRB Source Route Bridge

SRVCC Single Radio Voice Call Continuity
S-TMSI S-Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity

TAI Tracking Area Identity
TAU Tracking Area Update
UE User Equipment

UEA UMTS Encryption Algorithm
UIA UMTS Integrity Algorithm
UICC Universal Integrated Circuit Card

UMTS Universal Mobile Telecommunication System

UP User Plane

USIM Universal Subscriber Identity Module
UTRAN Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network

XRES Expected Response

3.4 Conventions

All data variables in the present document are presented with the most significant substring on the left hand side and the least significant substring on the right hand side. A substring may be a bit, byte or other arbitrary length bitstring. Where a variable is broken down into a number of substrings, the leftmost (most significant) substring is numbered 0, the next most significant is numbered 1, and so on through to the least significant.

4 Overview of Security Architecture

Figure 4-1 gives an overview of the complete security architecture.




Figure 4-1: Overview of the security architecture

Five security feature groups are defined. Each of these feature groups meets certain threats and accomplishes certain security objectives:

- **Network access security (I):** the set of security features that provide users with secure access to services, and which in particular protect against attacks on the (radio) access link.
- **Network domain security (II):** the set of security features that enable nodes to securely exchange signalling data, user data (between AN and SN and within AN), and protect against attacks on the wireline network.
- User domain security (III): the set of security features that secure access to mobile stations.
- **Application domain security (IV):** the set of security features that enable applications in the user and in the provider domain to securely exchange messages.
- **Visibility and configurability of security (V):** the set of features that enables the user to inform himself whether a security feature is in operation or not and whether the use and provision of services should depend on the security feature.

NOTE: Relay nodes are not explicitly shown in Figure 4-1. They combine the functionalities of ME and AN in a way described in 3GPP TS 36.300 [30]. The present document describes how to apply security features to relay nodes.

5 Security Features

5.1 User-to-Network security

5.1.0 General

The statements relating to eNBs in clause 5.1 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a UE and a relay node.

The statements relating to UEs in clause 5.1 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a relay node and a Donor eNB and between a relay node and its MME unless stated otherwise.

5.1.1 User identity and device confidentiality

User identity confidentiality is as defined by TS 33.102 [4] subclause 5.1.1

From subscriber's privacy point of view, the MSIN, the IMEI, and the IMEISV should be confidentiality protected.

The UE shall provide its equipment identifier IMEI or IMEISV to the network, if the network asks for it in an integrity-protected request.

The IMEI and IMEISV shall be securely stored in the terminal.

The UE shall not send IMEI or IMEISV to the network on a network request before the NAS security has been activated.

NOTE 1: When the UE has no IMSI, no valid GUTI, or no valid P-TMSI during emergency attach, the IMEI is included before the NAS security has been activated.

The IMEI or IMEISV shall be sent in the NAS protocol.

NOTE 2: In some cases, e.g., the very first attach procedure, MSIN has to be sent to network in cleartext. When NAS confidentiality protection is beyond an operator option, IMEI and IMEISV can not be confidentiality protected.

5.1.2 Entity authentication

Entity authentication is as defined by TS 33.102 [4] subclause 5.1.2

5.1.3 User data and signalling data confidentiality

5.1.3.1 Ciphering requirements

Ciphering may be provided to RRC-signalling to prevent UE tracking based on cell level measurement reports, handover message mapping, or cell level identity chaining. RRC signalling confidentiality is an operator option.

All S1 and X2 messages carried between RN and DeNB should be confidentiality-protected.

NOTE 0: Confidentiality protection is subject to national regulation. If S1 and X2 messages are not confidentiality-protected there is the risk of exposing cryptographic keys carried in these messages.

Synchronization of the input parameters for ciphering shall be ensured for the protocols involved in the ciphering.

The NAS signalling may be confidentiality protected. NAS signalling confidentiality is an operator option.

NOTE 1: RRC and NAS signalling confidentiality protection is recommended to be used.

When authentication of the credentials on the UICC during Emergency Calling in Limited Service Mode, as defined in the TS 23.401 [2], can not be successfully performed, the confidentiality protection of the RRC and NAS signaling, and user plane shall be omitted (see clause 15). This shall be accomplished by the network by selecting EEA0 for confidentiality protection of NAS, RRC and user plane.

User plane confidentiality protection shall be done at PDCP layer and is an operator option.

NOTE 2: User plane confidentiality protection is recommended to be used.

NOTE 3: Confidentiality protection for RRC and UP is applied at the PDCP layer, and no layers below PDCP are confidentiality protected. Confidentiality protection for NAS is provided by the NAS protocol.

5.1.3.2 Algorithm Identifier Values

All algorithms specified in this subclause are algorithms with a 128-bit input key except Null ciphering algorithm.

NOTE: Deviations from the above requirement have to be indicated explicitly in the algorithm identifier list below.

Each EPS Encryption Algorithm (EEA) will be assigned a 4-bit identifier. Currently, the following values have been defined for NAS, RRC and UP ciphering:

"0000₂" EEA0 Null ciphering algorithm

"00012" 128-EEA1 SNOW 3G based algorithm

"0010₂" 128-EEA2 AES based algorithm

The remaining values have been reserved for future use.

UEs and eNBs shall implement EEA0, 128-EEA1 and 128-EEA2 for both RRC signalling ciphering and UP ciphering.

UEs and MMEs shall implement EEA0, 128-EEA1 and 128-EEA2 for NAS signalling ciphering.

5.1.4 User data and signalling data integrity

5.1.4.1 Integrity requirements

Synchronization of the input parameters for integrity protection shall be ensured for the protocols involved in the integrity protection.

Integrity protection, and replay protection, shall be provided to NAS and RRC-signalling.

All NAS signaling messages except those explicitly listed in TS 24.301 [9] as exceptions shall be integrity-protected. All RRC signaling messages except those explicitly listed in TS 36.331 [21] as exceptions shall be integrity-protected.

When authentication of the credentials on the UICC during Emergency Calling in Limited Service Mode, as defined in the TS 23.401 [2], can not be successfully performed, the integrity and replay protection of the RRC and NAS signaling shall be omitted (see clause 15). This shall be accomplished by the network by selecting EIA0 for integrity protection of NAS and RRC. EIA0 shall only be used for unauthenticated emergency calls.

User plane packets between the eNB and the UE shall not be integrity protected on the Uu interface. User plane packets between the RN and the UE shall not be integrity protected. All user plane packets carrying S1 and X2 messages between RN and DeNB shall be integrity-protected. Integrity protection for all other user plane packets between RN and DeNB may be supported.

5.1.4.2 Algorithm Identifier Values

All algorithms specified in this subclause are algorithms with a 128-bit input key.

NOTE: Deviations from the above requirement have to be indicated explicitly in the algorithm identifier list

Each EPS Integrity Algorithm (EIA) will be assigned a 4-bit identifier. Currently, the following values have been defined:

"0000₂" EIA0 Null Integrity Protection algorithm
"0001₂" 128-EIA1 SNOW 3G

"0010₂" 128-EIA2 AES

The remaining values have been reserved for future use.

UEs and eNBs shall implement 128-EIA1 and 128-EIA2 for RRC signalling integrity protection.

UEs and MMEs shall implement 128-EIA1 and 128-EIA2 for NAS signalling integrity protection.

UEs shall implement EIA0 for integrity protection of NAS and RRC signalling. As specified in clause 5.1.4.1 of this specification, EIA0 is only allowed for unauthenticated emergency calls. EIA0 shall not be used for integrity protection between RN and DeNB.

Implementation of EIA0 in MMEs and eNBs is optional, EIA0, if implemented, shall be disabled in MMEs and eNBs in the deployments where support of unauthenticated emergency calling is not a regulatory requirement.

5.2 Security visibility and configurability

Although in general the security features should be transparent to the user, for certain events and according to the user's concern, greater user visibility of the operation of following security feature shall be provided:

- indication of access network encryption: the property that the user is informed whether the confidentiality of user data is protected on the radio access link, in particular when non-ciphered calls are set-up;

The ciphering indicator feature is specified in 3GPP TS 22.101 [23].

Configurability is the property that the user can configure whether the use or the provision of a service should depend on whether a security feature is in operation. A service can only be used if all security features, which are relevant to that service and which are required by the configurations of the user, are in operation. The following configurability features are suggested:

- enabling/disabling user-USIM authentication: the user should be able to control the operation of user-USIM authentication, e.g., for some events, services or use.

5.3 Security requirements on eNodeB

5.3.1 General

The security requirements given in this section apply to all types of eNodeBs. More stringent requirements for specific types of eNodeBs may be defined in other 3GPP specifications.

5.3.2 Requirements for eNB setup and configuration

Setting up and configuring eNBs shall be authenticated and authorized so that attackers shall not be able to modify the eNB settings and software configurations via local or remote access.

- 1. Security associations are required between the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) and the eNB and between adjacent eNBs, connected via X2. These security association establishments shall be mutually authenticated and used for user and control plane communication between the entities. However, in cases when a DeNB acts as proxy for control or user plane messages to and from a RN, hop-by-hop security associations shall be used for user and control plane. The security associations shall be realized according to clauses 11 and 12 of the present document except for the Un interface between RN and DeNB. The decision on whether or not to use the certificate enrolment mechanism specified in TS 33.310 [6] for eNB is left to operators.
- 2. Communication between the O&M systems and the eNB shall be confidentiality, integrity and replay protected from unauthorized parties. Security associations are required between the eNB and an entity in the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) or in an O&M domain trusted by the operator. These security association establishments shall be mutually authenticated. The security associations shall be realized according to clause 13 for eNBs and clause D.2.5 for RN.
- 3. The eNB shall be able to ensure that software/data change attempts are authorized
- 4. The eNB shall use authorized data/software.
- 5. Sensitive parts of the boot-up process shall be executed with the help of the secure environment.
- 6. Confidentiality of software transfer towards the eNB shall be ensured.
- 7. Integrity protection of software transfer towards the eNB shall be ensured.

5.3.3 Requirements for key management inside eNB

The EPC provides subscriber specific session keying material for the eNBs, which also hold long term keys used for authentication and security association setup purposes. Protecting all these keys is important.

1. Keys stored inside eNBs shall never leave a secure environment within the eNB except when done in accordance with this or other 3GPP specifications.

5.3.4 Requirements for handling User plane data for the eNB

It is eNB's task to cipher and decipher user plane packets between the Uu reference point and the S1/X2 reference points.

- 1. User plane data ciphering/deciphering shall take place inside the secure environment where the related keys are stored
- 2. The transport of user data over S1-U and X2-U shall be integrity, confidentially and replay-protected from unauthorized parties. If this is to be accomplished by cryptographic means, clause 12 shall be applied except for the Un interface between RN and DeNB.

NOTE: The use of cryptographic protection on S1-U and X2-U is an operator's decision. In case the eNB has been placed in a physically secured environment then the 'secure environment' may include other nodes and links beside the eNB.

5.3.4a Requirements for handling Control plane data for the eNB

It is eNB's task to provide confidentiality and integrity protection for control plane packets on the S1/X2 reference points.

- Control plane data ciphering/deciphering shall take place inside the secure environment where the related keys are stored.
- 2. The transport of control plane data over S1-MME and X2-C shall be integrity-, confidentiality- and replay-protected from unauthorized parties. If this is to be accomplished by cryptographic means, clause 11 shall be applied except for the Un interface between RN and DeNB.

NOTE: The use of cryptographic protection on S1-MME and X2-C is an operator's decision. In case the eNB has been placed in a physically secured environment then the 'secure environment' may include other nodes and links beside the eNB.

5.3.5 Requirements for secure environment of the eNB

The secure environment is logically defined within the eNB and is a composition of functions for the support of sensitive operations.

- 1. The secure environment shall support secure storage of sensitive data, e.g. long term cryptographic secrets and vital configuration data.
- 2. The secure environment shall support the execution of sensitive functions, e.g. en-/decryption of user data and the basic steps within protocols which use long term secrets (e.g. in authentication protocols).
- 3. Sensitive data used within the secure environment shall not be exposed to external entities.
- 4. The secure environment shall support the execution of sensitive parts of the boot process.
- 5. The secure environment's integrity shall be assured.
- 6. Only authorised access shall be granted to the secure environment, i.e. to data stored and used within, and to functions executed within.

5.4 Void

6 Security Procedures between UE and EPC Network Elements

6.0 General

The statements relating to eNBs in clause 6 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a UE and a relay node.

The statements relating to UEs and MEs in clause 6 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a relay node and a Donor eNB and between a relay node and its MME unless stated otherwise.

6.1 Authentication and key agreement

6.1.1 AKA procedure

NOTE 1: Authentication data in this subclause stands for EPS Authentication vector(s).

EPS AKA is the authentication and key agreement procedure that shall be used over E-UTRAN.

A Rel-99 or later USIM application on a UICC shall be sufficient for accessing E-UTRAN, provided the USIM application does not make use of the separation bit of the AMF in a way described in TS 33.102 [4] Annex F. Access to E-UTRAN with a 2G SIM or a SIM application on a UICC shall not be granted.

An ME that has E-UTRAN radio capability shall support the USIM-ME interface as specified in TS 31.102 [13]

EPS AKA shall produce keying material forming a basis for user plane (UP), RRC, and NAS ciphering keys as well as RRC and NAS integrity protection keys.

NOTE 2: Key derivation requirements of AS and NAS keys can be found in subclause 7.2.1.

The MME sends to the USIM via ME the random challenge RAND and an authentication token AUTN for network authentication from the selected authentication vector. It also includes a KSI_{ASME} for the ME which will be used to identify the K_{ASME} (and further keys derived from the K_{ASME}) that results from the EPS AKA procedure.

At receipt of this message, the USIM shall verify the freshness of the authentication vector by checking whether AUTN can be accepted as described in TS 33.102[4]. If so, the USIM computes a response RES. USIM shall compute CK and IK which are sent to the ME. If the USIM computes a Kc (i.e. GPRS Kc) from CK and IK using conversion function c3 as described in TS 33.102 [4], and sends it to the ME, then the ME shall ignore such GPRS Kc and not store the GPRS Kc on USIM or in ME. If the verification fails, the USIM indicates to the ME the reason for failure and in the case of a synchronisation failure passes the AUTS parameter (see TS 33.102 [4]).

An ME accessing E-UTRAN shall check during authentication that the "separation bit" in the AMF field of AUTN is set to 1. The "separation bit" is bit 0 of the AMF field of AUTN.

NOTE 3: This separation bit in the AMF can not be used anymore for operator specific purposes as described by TS 33.102 [4], Annex F.

NOTE 4: If the keys CK, IK resulting from an EPS AKA run were stored in the fields already available on the USIM for storing keys CK and IK this could lead to overwriting keys resulting from an earlier run of UMTS AKA. This would lead to problems when EPS security context and UMTS security context were held simultaneously (as is the case when security context is stored e.g. for the purposes of Idle Mode Signaling Reduction). Therefore, "plastic roaming" where a UICC is inserted into another ME will necessitate an EPS AKA authentication run if the USIM does not support EMM parameters storage.

UE shall respond with User authentication response message including RES in case of successful AUTN verification and successful AMF verification as described above. In this case the ME shall compute K_{ASME} from CK, IK, and serving network's identity (SN id) using the KDF as specified in Annex A. SN id binding implicitly authenticates the serving network's identity when the derived keys from K_{ASME} are successfully used.

NOTE 5: This does not preclude a USIM (see TS 31.102 [13]) in later releases having the capability of deriving K_{ASME} .

Otherwise UE shall send an authentication failure message with a CAUSE value indicating the reason for failure. In case of a synchronisation failure of AUTN (as described in TS 33.102 [4]), the UE also includes AUTS that was provided by the USIM. Upon receipt of an authentication failure message, the MME may initiate further identity requests and authentications towards the UE. (see TS 24.301 [9]).

The MME checks that the RES equals XRES. If so the authentication is successful. If not, depending on type of identity used by the UE in the initial NAS message, the MME may initiate further identity requests or send an authentication reject message towards the UE (see TS 24.301 [9]).

Figure 6.1.1-1 describes EPS AKA procedure, which is based on UMTS AKA (see TS 33.102[4]). The following keys are shared between UE and HSS:

- K is the permanent key stored on the USIM on a UICC and in the Authentication Centre AuC.
- **CK, IK** is the pair of keys derived in the AuC and on the USIM during an AKA run. CK, IK shall be handled differently depending on whether they are used in an EPS security context or a legacy security context, as described in subclause 6.1.2.

As a result of the authentication and key agreement, an intermediate key K_{ASME} shall be shared between UE and MME i.e. the ASME for EPS.




Figure 6.1.1-1: Successful EPS AKA authentication

6.1.2 Distribution of authentication data from HSS to serving network

NOTE 1: Authentication data in this subclause stands for EPS Authentication vector(s).

The purpose of this procedure is to provide the MME with one or more EPS authentication vectors (RAND, AUTN, XRES, K_{ASME}) from the user's HE (HSS) to perform user authentication. Each EPS authentication vector can be used to authenticate the UE.

NOTE 2: It is recommended that the MME fetch only one EPS authentication vector at a time as the need to perform AKA runs has been reduced in EPS through the use of a more elaborate key hierarchy. In particular, service requests can be authenticated using a stored K_{ASME} without the need to perform AKA. Furthermore, the sequence number management schemes in TS 33.102, Annex C [4], designed to avoid re-synchronisation problems caused by interleaving use of batches of authentication vectors, are only optional. Re-synchronisation problems in EPS can be avoided, independently of the sequence number management scheme, by immediately using an authentication vector retrieved from the HSS in an authentication procedure between UE and MME.




Figure 6.1.2-1: Distribution of authentication data from HE to MME

An EPS authentication vector is derived from the authentication vector defined in TS 33.102 [4] clause 6.3.2. To derive the key K_{ASME} in the HE, the KDF as specified in Annex A is used which shall contain following mandatory input parameters: CK, IK and SN identity.

If the Network Type equals E-UTRAN then the "separation bit" in the AMF field of AUTN shall be set to 1 to indicate to the UE that the authentication vector is only usable for AKA in an EPS context, if the "separation bit" is set to 0, the vector is usable in a non-EPS context only (e.g. GSM, UMTS). For authentication vectors with the "separation bit" set to 1, the secret keys CK and IK generated during AKA shall never leave the HSS.

The MME invokes the procedures by requesting authentication vectors from the HE (Home environment).

The *authentication data request* shall include the IMSI, the Serving Network identity i.e. MCC + MNC, and the Network Type (i.e. E-UTRAN). In the case of a synchronisation failure, the MME shall also include RAND and AUTS. In this case the HE checks the AUTS parameter before sending new authentication vectors to the MME (see TS 33.102 [4]).

Upon the receipt of the *authentication data request* from the MME, the HE may have pre-computed the required number of EPS authentication vectors and retrieve them from the HSS database or may compute them on demand.

NOTE 3: For K_{ASME} the possibilities for pre-computation are restricted due to the PLMN-binding.

NOTE 4: The HSS needs to ensure that the MME requesting the authentication data is entitled to use the SN id used to calculate K_{ASME} . The exact details of how to achieve this are not covered in this specification.

The HE sends an authentication response back to the MME that contains the requested information. If multiple EPS authentication vectors had been requested then they are ordered based on their sequence numbers. The MME shall be aware of the order of the EPS authentication vectors and shall use that the EPS authentication vectors in order.

6.1.3 User identification by a permanent identity

The user identification mechanism should be invoked by the serving network whenever the user cannot be identified by means of a temporary identity (GUTI). In particular, it should be used when the serving network cannot retrieve the IMSI based on the GUTI by which the user identifies itself on the radio path.

The mechanism described in figure 6.1.3-1 allows the identification of a user on the radio path by means of the permanent subscriber identity (IMSI).




Figure 6.1.3-1: User identity query

The mechanism is initiated by the MME that requests the user to send its permanent identity. The user's response contains the IMSI in cleartext. This represents a breach in the provision of user identity confidentiality.

6.1.4 Distribution of IMSI and authentication data within one serving network domain

NOTE 1: Authentication data in this subclause stands for EPS security contexts and EPS authentication vector(s).

The purpose of this procedure is to provide a newly visited MME with authentication data from a previously visited MME within the same serving network domain.

NOTE 2: The following procedure in this clause is based on TAU procedure and it can also be applied for Attach procedure where all the corresponding texts for 'TAU' in the following procedure should be replaced with 'Attach'.

The procedure is shown in Figure 6.1.4-1




Figure 6.1.4-1: Distribution of IMSI and authentication data within one serving domain

The procedure shall be invoked by the newly visited MMEn after the receipt of a Tracking Area update request from the user wherein the user is identified by means of a temporary user identity GUTIo and the Tracking area identity TAIo under the jurisdiction of a previously visited MMEo that belongs to the same serving network domain as the newly visited MMEn.

The protocol steps are as follows:

- a) The MMEn sends a message to the MMEo, this message contains GUTIo and the received TAU message.
- b) The MMEo searches the user data in the database and checks the integrity protection on the TAU message.

If the user is found and the integrity check succeeds, the MMEo shall send a response back that:

- i) shall include the IMSI,
- ii) may include a number of unused EPS-authentication vectors ordered on a first-in / first-out basis, and
- iii) may include any EPS security contexts it holds

The MMEo subsequently deletes the EPS-authentication vectors and any EPS security contexts which have been sent

If the user cannot be identified or the integrity check fails, then the MMEo shall send a response indicating that the user identity cannot be retrieved.

c) If the MMEn receives a response with an IMSI, it creates an entry and stores any EPS-authentication vectors and any EPS security context that may be included.

If the MMEn receives a response indicating that the user could not be identified, it shall initiate the user identification procedure described in clause 6.1.3 during the Initial E-UTRAN Attach procedure, or it shall reject the TAU Request message initiated by UE during the TAU procedure (see clause 4.4.4.3 in TS24.301[9]).

The same procedure does not apply to distribution of EPS authentication data between MME and SGSN in the same serving network domain, i.e. EPS authentication data shall not be forwarded from an MME towards an SGSN.

NOTE 3: This is due to the fact that EPS authentication data does not contain CK and IK and, hence, is not useful for the SGSN.

6.1.5 Distribution of IMSI and authentication data between different serving network domains

NOTE 1: Authentication data in this subclause stands for EPS security contexts and EPS authentication vector(s).

In general, the distribution of IMSI and authentication data between MMEs belonging to different serving network domains of shall be performed as described for the distribution of IMSI and authentication data within the same service network domain in subclause 6.1.4. In particular, the current EPS security context data may be transferred between MMEs belonging to different serving network domains. However, there is the following restriction:

- Unused EPS authentication vectors, or non-current EPS security contexts, shall not be distributed between MMEs belonging to different serving domains (PLMNs).

The same procedure does not apply to distribution of EPS authentication data between MME and SGSN in different serving network domains, i.e. EPS authentication data shall not be forwarded from an MME towards an SGSN.

NOTE 2: This is due to the fact that EPS authentication data does not contain CK and IK and, hence, is not useful for the SGSN.

6.1.6 Distribution of IMSI and UMTS authentication vectors between MMEs or between MME and SGSN

This subclause applies to both distribution of UMTS authentication vectors within one serving network domain and distribution of UMTS authentication vectors between different serving network domains. The following rules apply to the distribution of UMTS authentication vectors between two MMEs, and between an SGSN and an MME:

a) MME to MME

UMTS authentication vectors that were previously received from an SGSN shall not be forwarded between MME's.

b) SGSN to MME

An SGSN may forward unused UMTS authentication vectors to an MME. only if MME and SGSN are in the same serving network domain.

c) MME to SGSN

UMTS AVs which were previously stored in the MME may be forwarded back towards the same SGSN.

UMTS AVs which were previously stored in the MME shall not be forwarded towards other SGSNs.

6.2 EPS key hierarchy

Requirements on EPC and E-UTRAN related to keys:

- a) The EPC and E-UTRAN shall allow for use of encryption and integrity protection algorithms for AS and NAS protection having keys of length 128 bits and for future use the network interfaces shall be prepared to support 256 bit keys.
- b) The keys used for UP, NAS and AS protection shall be dependent on the algorithm with which they are used.




Figure 6.2-1: Key hierarchy in E-UTRAN

The key hierarchy (see Figure 6.2-1) includes following keys: K_{eNB}, K_{NASint}, K_{NASenc}, K_{UPenc}, K_{RRCint} and K_{RRCenc}

• K_{eNB} is a key derived by ME and MME from K_{ASME} or by ME and target eNB.

Keys for NAS traffic:

- K_{NASint} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of NAS traffic with a particular integrity algorithm This key is derived by ME and MME from K_{ASME} , as well as an identifier for the integrity algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.
- K_{NASenc} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of NAS traffic with a particular encryption algorithm. This key is derived by ME and MME from K_{ASME} , as well as an identifier for the encryption algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.

Keys for UP traffic:

- K_{UPenc} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of UP traffic with a particular encryption algorithm. This key is derived by ME and eNB from K_{eNB} , as well as an identifier for the encryption algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.
- K_{UPint} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of UP traffic between RN and DeNB with a particular integrity algorithm. This key is derived by RN and DeNB from K_{eNB} , as well as an identifier for the integrity algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.

Keys for RRC traffic:

- K_{RRCint} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of RRC traffic with a particular integrity algorithm. K_{RRCint} is derived by ME and eNB from K_{eNB} , as well as an identifier for the integrity algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.
- K_{RRCenc} is a key, which shall only be used for the protection of RRC traffic with a particular encryption algorithm. K_{RRCenc} is derived by ME and eNB from K_{eNB} as well as an identifier for the encryption algorithm using the KDF as specified in Annex A.

Intermediate keys:

- **NH** is a key derived by ME and MME to provide forward security as described in clause 7.2.8.
- **K**_{eNB}* is a key derived by ME and eNB when performing an horizontal or vertical key derivation as specified in clause 7.2.8 using a KDF as specified in Annex A.

Figure 6.2-2 shows the dependencies between the different keys, and how they are derived from the network nodes point of view. Figure 6.2-3 shows the corresponding relations and derivations as performed in the ME. Two dashed inputs to a KDF means one of the inputs is used depending on the circumstances of the key derivation.

NOTE: Figures 6.2-2 and 6.2-3 do not cover the derivations at IRAT mobility (see clauses 9 and 10).




Figure 6.2-2: Key distribution and key derivation scheme for EPS (in particular E-UTRAN) for network nodes.




Figure 6.2-3: Key derivation scheme for EPS (in particular E-UTRAN) for the ME.

As the figures 6.2-2 and 6.2-3 show, the length of K_{ASME} , K_{eNB} and NH is 256 bits, 256-bit NAS, UP and RRC keys are always derived from K_{ASME} and K_{eNB} respectively. In case the encryption or integrity algorithm used to protect NAS, UP or RRC requires a 128-bit key as input, the key is truncated and the 128 least significant bits are used. Figures 6.2-2 and 6.2-3 illustrate the truncation to 128 bits keys.

The function Trunc takes as input a 256-bit string, and returns a truncated output as defined in Annex A.7.

6.3 EPS key identification

The key K_{ASME} shall be identified by the key set identifier eKSI. eKSI may be either of type KSI_{ASME} or of type KSI_{SGSN}. An eKSI shall be stored in the UE and the MME together with K_{ASME} and the temporary identifier GUTI, if available.

NOTE 1: The GUTI points to the MME where the K_{ASME} is stored.

The key set identifier KSI_{ASME} is a parameter which is associated with the K_{ASME} derived during EPS AKA authentication. The key set identifier KSI_{ASME} is allocated by the MME and sent with the authentication request message to the mobile station where it is stored together with the K_{ASME} . The purpose of the KSI_{ASME} is to make it possible for the UE and the MME to identify a native K_{ASME} without invoking the authentication procedure. This is used to allow re-use of the K_{ASME} during subsequent connection set-ups.

The key set identifier KSI_{SGSN} is a parameter which is associated with the mapped K_{ASME} derived from UMTS keys during inter-RAT mobility, cf. clauses 9 and 10 of the present specification. The key set identifier KSI_{SGSN} is generated in both the UE and the MME respectively when deriving the mapped K_{ASME} during idle procedures in E-UTRAN and during handover from GERAN/UTRAN to E-UTRAN. The KSI_{SGSN} is stored together with the mapped K_{ASME} .

The purpose of the KSI_{SGSN} is to make it possible for the UE and the MME to indicate the use of the mapped K_{ASME} in inter-RAT mobility procedures (for details cf. clauses 9 and 10).

The format of eKSI shall allow a recipient of such a parameter to distinguish whether the parameter is of type 'KSI $_{ASME}$ ' or of type 'KSI $_{SGSN}$ '. The format shall further contain a value field. KSI $_{ASME}$ and KSI $_{SGSN}$ have the same format. The value fields of KSI $_{ASME}$ and KSI $_{SGSN}$ are three bits each. Seven values are used to identify the key set. A value of '111' is used by the UE to indicate that a valid K $_{ASME}$ is not available for use. Format of eKSI is described in [9].

The value '111' in the other direction from network to mobile station is reserved.

NOTE 2: In addition to EPS security contexts, the UE may also cache UMTS security contexts. These UMTS security contexts are identified by the KSI, as defined in TS 33.102 [4].

6.4 Handling of EPS security contexts

Any EPS security context shall be deleted from the ME if:

- a) the UICC is removed from the ME when the ME is in power on state;
- b) the ME is powered up and the ME discovers that a UICC different from the one which was used to create the EPS security context has been inserted to the ME;
- c) the ME is powered up and the ME discovers that no UICC has been inserted to the ME.

K_{ASME} shall never be transferred from the EPC to an entity outside the EPC.

Both the ME and MME shall be capable of storing one non-current EPS security context and one current EPS security context in volatile memory. In addition, while connected to E-UTRAN the ME and MME shall be capable of storing in volatile memory the NCC, NH and the related K_{ASME} used to compute keying material for the current EPS AS security context.

Any successful run of an EPS AKA creates, by the definition in clause 3, a partial native EPS security context. This context shall overwrite any existing non-current EPS security context.

UE shall use its current EPS security context to protect the TAU Request or Attach Request. However, there may be cases in which this EPS security context is not the current one in the MME. In such cases, if the MME receives a TAU Request or Attach Request protected with a non-current full EPS security context, then this context becomes the current EPS security context and the MME shall delete any existing current EPS security context.

After a successful run of a NAS SMC relating to the eKSI associated with an EPS security context, this context becomes the current EPS security context and shall overwrite any existing current EPS security context.

NOTE 1: The ME ensures that, whenever the native EPS NAS security context stored on the USIM (if supported by USIM) or in non-volatile memory of the ME is marked as valid during the process of changing state to EMM-DEREGISTERED, it is consistent with the security context stored in the volatile memory of the ME. This is described in clause 7.2.5.

The rules for handling security contexts after a handover to E-UTRAN are given in clause 9.2.2.1.

The full native EPS NAS security context (except for K_{NASenc} and K_{NASint}) shall be stored on the USIM (if the USIM supports EMM parameters storage) or in the non-volatile memory of the ME (if the USIM does not support EMM parameters storage) only during the process of transitioning to EMM-DEREGISTERED state or when an attempt to transition away from EMM-DEREGISTERED state fails, as described in clause 7.2.5. The ME shall under no other circumstances store the EPS NAS security context parameters on the USIM or non-volatile ME memory.

NOTE 2: Only native EPS NAS security context is stored in the EMM parameters file on the USIM or in non-volatile ME memory. A mapped EPS NAS security context is never stored in these two places.

6.5 Handling of NAS COUNTs

Each separate K_{ASME} has a distinct pair of NAS COUNTs associated with it. It is essential that the NAS COUNTs for a particular K_{ASME} are not reset to the start values (that is the NAS COUNTs only have their start value when a new

 K_{ASME} is created). This prevents the security issue of using the same NAS COUNTs with the same NAS keys, e.g. key stream re-use, in the case a UE moves back and forth between two MMEs and the same NAS keys are re-derived.

The NAS COUNTs shall only be set to the start value in the following cases:

- for a partial native EPS NAS security context created by a successful AKA run,

NOTE: The NAS COUNTs are not actually needed at the UE for a native context until it has successfully received the first NAS Security Mode Command for that security context. The NAS COUNTs are not needed at the MME until it sends the first NAS Security Mode Command for that security context. Before the MME sends the first NAS Security Mode Command for a given partial native security context, the MME sets the NAS COUNTs for the security context to 0. After the NAS SMC message is sent for that partial native security context the NAS COUNTs for that partial native context are increased for each following sent NAS message as specified in TS 24.301.

- or for an EPS NAS security context created through a context mapping during a handover from UTRAN/GERAN to E-UTRAN,
- or for an EPS NAS security context created through a context mapping during idle mode mobility from UTRAN/GERAN to E-UTRAN.

The NAS COUNTs shall not be reset during idle mode mobility or handover for an already existing native EPS NAS security context.

The start value of NAS COUNT shall be zero (0).

7 Security Procedures between UE and EPS Access Network Elements

7.0 General

The statements relating to eNBs in clause 7 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a UE and a relay node.

The statements relating to UEs in clause 7 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a relay node and a Donor eNB and between a relay node and its MME unless stated otherwise.

7.1 Mechanism for user identity confidentiality

The MME shall allocate a GUTI to a UE in order to support the subscriber identity confidentiality. The GUTI is defined in TS 23.003 [3].

S-TMSI, the shortened form of the GUTI, is used to support the subscriber identity confidentiality with more efficient radio signalling procedures (e.g. paging and Service Request). A new GUTI shall be sent to the UE only after a successful activation of NAS security.

7.2 Handling of user-related keys in E-UTRAN

7.2.1 E-UTRAN key setting during AKA

Authentication and key setting are triggered by the authentication procedure. Authentication and key setting may be initiated by the network as often as the network operator wishes. Key setting can occur as soon as the identity of the mobile subscriber (i.e. GUTI or IMSI) is known by the MME. A successful run of AKA results in a new K_{ASME} that is stored in the UE and MME.

NAS keys, K_{eNB} and the RRC and UP keys are derived from K_{ASME} using the KDFs specified in Annex A.

The NAS keys derived from the new K_{ASME} are taken in use in the MME and the UE by means of the NAS security mode set-up procedure (see subclause 7.2.4.4). The AS keys are taken into use with the AS security mode set-up procedure (see subclause 7.2.4.5) or with the key change on the fly procedure (see subclause 7.2.9.2).

7.2.2 E-UTRAN key identification

Clause 6.3 of this specification states how the key K_{ASME} is identified, namely by the key set identifier eKSI. Keys K_{NASenc} and K_{NASint} in the E-UTRAN key hierarchy specified in clause 6.2, which are derived from K_{ASME} , can be uniquely identified by eKSI together with those parameters from the set {algorithm distinguisher, algorithm identifier}, which are used to derive these keys from K_{ASME} according to Annex A.

The initial K_{eNB} can be uniquely determined by the key set identifier, i.e. eKSI, together with the uplink NAS COUNT are used to derive it. The intermediate key NH as defined in clause 7 can be uniquely determined by the key set identifier, i.e. eKSI, together with the initial K_{eNB} derived from the current NAS security context for use during the ongoing CONNECTED state and a counter counting how many NH-derivations have already been performed from this initial K_{eNB} according to Annex A.4. The next hop chaining count, NCC, represents the 3 least significant bits of this counter.

Intermediate key K_{eNB}^* , defined in clause 7, as well as keys non-initial K_{eNB} , K_{RRCint} , K_{RRCenc} , K_{UPint} , and K_{UPenc} in the E-UTRAN key hierarchy specified in clause 6.2 can be uniquely identified by eKSI together with those parameters from the set {Initial K_{eNB} or NH, algorithm distinguisher, algorithm identifier, and sequence of PCIs and EARFCN-DLs used in horizontal key derivations from the initial K_{eNB} or NH}, which are used to derive these keys from K_{ASME} according to clause 7 and Annex A.

It is specified in the remainder of clause 7, as well as in clause 9 and 10, which of the above parameters need to be included in a security-relevant message to allow the entity receiving the message to uniquely identify a certain key.

7.2.3 E-UTRAN key lifetimes

All E-UTRAN keys are derived based on a K_{ASME} . The key hierarchy which is described in clause 6.2 does not allow direct update to RRC and UP keys, but fresh RRC and UP keys are derived based on a fresh K_{eNB} , which is bound to certain dynamic parameters (like PCI) or fresh key derivation parameter(s) in state transitions (like NAS uplink COUNT). This results as fresh RRC and UP keys in the eNB between inter-eNB handovers and state transitions (see subclauses 7.2.6 to 7.2.8). The handling (creation, modification and update) of the E-UTRAN keys in the various state transitions is described in clauses 7.2.5, 7.2.6, 7.2.7 and 7.2.8.

 K_{ASME} shall be created only by running a successful AKA or by the inter-RAT procedures towards E-UTRAN (cf clauses 9 and 10). In case the UE does not have a valid K_{ASME} , a KSI_{ASME} with value "111" shall be sent by the UE to the network, which can initiate (re-)authentication procedure to get a new K_{ASME} based on a successful AKA authentication.

7.2.4 Security mode command procedure and algorithm negotiation

7.2.4.1 Requirements for algorithm selection

- a) An active UE and a serving network shall agree upon algorithms for
 - RRC ciphering and RRC integrity protection (to be used between UE and eNB)
 - UP ciphering (to be used between UE and eNB)
 - NAS ciphering and NAS integrity protection (to be used between UE and MME)

An active RN and a network serving the RN shall additionally agree upon algorithms for UP integrity.

- b) The serving network shall select the algorithms to use dependent on
 - the UE security capabilities of the UE,
 - the configured allowed list of security capabilities of the currently serving network entity
- c) The same set of ciphering and integrity algorithms shall be supported by the UE both for AS and NAS level.
- d) Each selected algorithm shall be acknowledged to the UE in an integrity protected way such that the UE is ensured that the algorithm selection was not manipulated, i.e. that the UE security capabilities were not bidden down.
- e) The UE security capabilities the ME sent to the network shall be repeated in an integrity protected NAS level message to the ME such that "bidding down attacks" against the UE's security capabilities can be detected by the ME. The UE security capabilities apply to both AS and NAS level security.
- f) Separate AS and NAS level security mode command procedures are required. AS level security mode command procedure shall configure AS security (RRC and UP) and NAS level security mode command procedure shall configure NAS security.
 - a. Both integrity protection and ciphering for RRC shall be activated within the same AS SMC procedure, but not necessarily within the same message.
 - b. User plane ciphering shall be activated at the same time as RRC ciphering.
 - c. User plane integrity shall be activated at the same time as RRC ciphering. User plane integrity shall be applied to a data radio bearer if integrity protection is configured for that data radio bearer at the time of data radio bearer set-up.

g) It shall be possible that the selected AS and NAS algorithms are different at a given point of time.

7.2.4.2 Procedures for AS algorithm selection

7.2.4.2.1 Initial AS security context establishment

Each eNB shall be configured via network management with lists of algorithms which are allowed for usage. There shall be one list for integrity algorithms, and one for ciphering algorithms. These lists shall be ordered according to a priority decided by the operator. When AS security context is established in the eNB, the MME shall send the UE EPS security capabilities to the eNB. The eNB shall choose the ciphering algorithm which has the highest priority from its configured list and is also present in the UE EPS security capabilities. The eNB shall choose the integrity algorithm which has the highest priority from its configured list and is also present in the UE EPS security capabilities. The chosen algorithms shall be indicated to the UE in the AS SMC. The ciphering algorithm is used for ciphering of the user plane and RRC traffic. The integrity algorithm is used for integrity protection of the RRC traffic, and, if applicable, for the integrity protection of user plane traffic between RN and DeNB.

7.2.4.2.2 X2-handover

At handover from a source eNB over X2 to a target eNB, the source eNB shall include the UE EPS security capabilities and ciphering and integrity algorithms used in the source cell in the handover request message. The target eNB shall select the algorithm with highest priority from the UE EPS security capabilities according to the prioritized locally configured list of algorithms (this applies for both integrity and ciphering algorithms). The chosen algorithms shall be indicated to the UE in the handover command if the target eNB selects different algorithms compared to the source eNB. If the UE does not receive any selection of integrity and ciphering algorithms it continues to use the same algorithms as before the handover (see TS 36.331 [21]). In the path-switch message, the target eNB shall send the UE EPS security capabilities received from the source eNB to the MME. The MME shall verify that the UE EPS security capabilities received from the eNB are the same as the UE EPS security capabilities that the MME has stored. If there is a mismatch, the MME may log the event and may take additional measures, such as raising an alarm.

NOTE: Transferring the ciphering and integrity algorithms used in the source cell to the target eNB in the handover request message is for the target eNB to decipher and integrity verify the RRCReestablishmentComplete message on SRB1 in the potential RRCConnectionRe-establishment procedure. The information is also used by the target eNB to decide if it is necessary to include a new selection of security algorithms in the handover command.

7.2.4.2.3 S1-handover

At handover from a source eNB to a target eNB over S1 (possibly including an MME change and hence a transfer of the UE security capabilities from source MME to target MME), the target MME shall send the UE EPS security capabilities to the target eNB in the S1 AP HANDOVER REQUEST message. The target eNB shall select the algorithm with highest priority from the UE EPS security capabilities according to the prioritized locally configured list of algorithms (this applies for both integrity and ciphering algorithms). The chosen algorithms shall be indicated to the UE in the handover command if the target eNB selects different algorithms compared to the source eNB. If the UE does not receive any selection of integrity and ciphering algorithms it continues to use the same algorithms as before the handover (see TS 36.331 [21]).

7.2.4.2.4 Intra-eNB handover

It is not required to change the AS security algorithm during intra-eNB handover. If the UE does not receive any selection of new AS security algorithms during an intra-eNB handover, the UE continues to use the same algorithms as before the handover (see TS 36.331 [21]).

7.2.4.3 Procedures for NAS algorithm selection

7.2.4.3.1 Initial NAS security context establishment

Each MME shall be configured via network management with lists of algorithms which are allowed for usage. There shall be one list for NAS integrity algorithms, and one for NAS ciphering algorithms. These lists shall be ordered according to a priority decided by the operator.

To establish the NAS security context, the MME shall choose one NAS ciphering algorithm and one NAS integrity protection algorithm. The MME shall then initiate a NAS security mode command procedure, and include the chosen algorithms and UE security capabilities (to detect modification of the UE security capabilities by an attacker) in the message to the UE (see clause 7.2.4.4). The MME shall select the NAS algorithms which have the highest priority according to the ordered lists.

7.2.4.3.2 MME change

In case there is change of MMEs and algorithms to be used for NAS, the target MME shall initiate a NAS security mode command procedure and include the chosen algorithms and the UE security capabilities (to detect modification of the UE security capabilities by an attacker) in the message to the UE (see clause 7.2.4.4). The MME shall select the NAS algorithms which have the highest priority according to the ordered lists (see 7.2.4.3.1).

NOTE: After an S1-handover with MME change a TAU procedure is executed. The same is true for an inter-RAT handover to E-UTRAN and for both inter- and intra-RAT idle mode mobility resulting in a change of MMEs.

7.2.4.4 NAS security mode command procedure

The NAS SMC procedure consists of a roundtrip of messages between MME and UE. The MME sends the NAS security mode command to the UE and the UE replies with the NAS security mode complete message.

The NAS security mode command message from MME to UE shall contain the replayed UE security capabilities, the selected NAS algorithms, the eKSI for identifying K_{ASME} , and both $NONE_{UE}$ and $NONCE_{MME}$ in the case of creating a mapped context in idle mobility (see clause 9.1.2). This message shall be integrity protected (but not ciphered) with NAS integrity key based on K_{ASME} indicated by the eKSI in the message (see figure 7.2.4.4-1).

The UE shall verify the integrity of the NAS security mode command message. This includes ensuring that the UE security capabilities sent by the MME match the ones stored in the UE to ensure that these were not modified by an attacker and checking the integrity protection using the indicated NAS integrity algorithm and the NAS integrity key based on K_{ASME} indicated by the eKSI. In addition, when creating a mapped context for the case described in clause 9.1.2, the UE shall ensure the received NONCE_{UE} is the same as the NONCE_{UE} sent in the TAU Request and also calculate K'_{ASME} from CK, IK and the two nonces (see Annex A.11).

If the MME receives no response to a NAS Security Mode Command that included nonces to create a mapped context and it wishes to try again to create the mapped context, the MME shall use the same values of $NONCE_{UE}$ and $NONCE_{MME}$.

If the UE receives a re-transmitted NAS Security Mode Command, i.e one containing the nonces, after it has successfully received a previous one (and hence created a mapped EPS NAS security context), the UE shall process the message as above, except that it is not required to re-generate the K'_{ASME} or check the NONCE $_{UE}$ if it does not regenerate the K'_{ASME} .

If the checks of the NAS Security Mode Command pass the UE shall respond with a NAS Security Mode Complete.

The UE shall delete $NONCE_{_UE}$ once the TAU procedure is complete.

If successfully verified, the UE shall start NAS integrity protection and ciphering/deciphering with this security context and sends the NAS security mode complete message to MME ciphered and integrity protected The NAS security mode complete message shall include IMEISV in case MME requested it in the NAS SMC Command message.

The MME shall de-cipher and check the integrity protection on the NAS Security Mode Complete using the keys and algorithms indicated in the NAS Security Mode Command. NAS downlink ciphering at the MME with this security context shall start after receiving the NAS security mode complete message. NAS uplink deciphering at the MME with this context starts after sending the NAS security mode command message.

If any verification of the NAS security mode command is not successful in the ME, the ME shall reply with a NAS security mode reject message (see TS 24.301 [9]). The NAS security mode reject message and all following NAS messages shall be protected with the EPS NAS security context, i.e., the EPS NAS security context used prior to the NAS security mode command that failed (until a new EPS NAS security context is established, e.g., via a new NAS security mode command procedure). If no EPS NAS security context existed prior to the NAS security mode command, the NAS security mode reject message cannot be protected.

NOTE: If the uplink NAS COUNT will wrap around by sending the security mode reject message, the UE releases the NAS connection as specified in TS 24.301 [9] instead of sending the security mode reject message.

ME **MME** Start integrity protection NAS Security Mode Command (eKSI, UE sec capabilities, Ciphering algorithm, Integrity algorithm, [IMEISV request,] [NONCE_{UE}, NONCE_{MME},] NAS-MAC) Verify NAS SMC integrity. Start uplink If succesful, start ciphering/ deciphering deciphering and integrity protection and send NAS Security Mode Complete. NAS Security Mode Complete ([IMEISV,] NAS-MAC) Start downlink ciphering

Figure 7.2.4.4-1: NAS security mode command procedure

7.2.4.5 AS security mode command procedure

The AS SMC procedure consists of a roundtrip of messages between eNB and UE. The eNB sends the AS security mode command to the UE and the UE replies with the AS security mode complete message. See figure 7.2.4.5-1.

The AS security mode command message from eNB to UE shall contain the selected AS algorithms. This message shall be integrity protected with RRC integrity key based on the current K_{ASME} .

The AS security mode complete message from UE to eNB shall be integrity protected with the selected RRC algorithm indicated in the AS security mode command message and RRC integrity key based on the current K_{ASME} .

RRC and UP downlink ciphering (encryption) at the eNB shall start after sending the AS security mode command message. RRC and UP uplink deciphering (decryption) at the eNB shall start after receiving and successful verification of the AS security mode complete message.

RRC and UP uplink ciphering (encryption) at the UE shall start after sending the AS security mode complete message. RRC and UP downlink deciphering (decryption) at the UE shall start after receiving and successful verification of the AS security mode command message

If any control of the AS security mode command is not successful in the ME, the ME shall reply with an unprotected security mode failure message (see TS 36.331[21]).

AS security mode command always changes the AS keys.




Figure 7.2.4.5-1: AS security setup

7.2.4a Algorithm negotiation for unauthenticated UEs in LSM

UEs that are in limited service mode (LSM) and that cannot be authenticated by the MME (for whatever reason) may still be allowed to establish emergency calls by sending the emergency attach request message. It shall be possible to configure whether the MME allows unauthenticated UEs in LSM to establish bearers for emergency calls or not. If an MME allows unauthenticated UEs in LSM to establish bearers for an emergency call, the MME shall for the NAS protocol use EIA0 and EEA0 as the integrity and ciphering algorithm respectively.

If the MME allows an unauthenticated UE in LSM to establish bearers for emergency calls after it has received the emergency attach request message from the UE, the MME shall:

- Select EIA0 and EEA0 as the NAS algorithms and signal this to the UE via the NAS security mode command procedure when activating the EPS NAS security context.
- Set the UE EPS security capabilities to only contain EIA0 and EEA0 when sending these to the eNB in the following messages:
 - S1 UE INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP
 - S1 UE CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST
 - S1 HANDOVER REQUEST

NOTE 1: As a result of that the MME only sends a UE EPS security capability containing EIA0 and EEA0 to the eNB when selecting EIA0 for NAS integrity protection is that the eNB is only capable of selecting EIA0 for AS integrity protection and EEA0 for AS confidentiality protection. That is, if EIA0 is used for NAS integrity protection, then EIA0 will always be used for AS integrity protection.

The rules for when the MME shall select EIA0 for NAS integrity protection, and when the UE shall accept a NAS security mode command selecting EIA0 for NAS integrity protection depends on whether the UE and MME can be certain that no EPS NAS security context can be established. The rules for determining this is defined in clause 15 of this specification. If the MME has selected EIA0 as the NAS integrity protection algorithm, the UE shall accept selection of EIA0 as the AS integrity protection algorithm. Selection of AS integrity protection algorithm happens via the AS security mode command procedure or via a handover command. The UE shall under no other circumstances accept selection of EIA0 as the AS integrity protection algorithm.

NOTE 2: A Rel-8 eNB that is the target eNB of a handover, where EIA0 is the only integrity protection algorithm in the UE's EPS security capabilities, rejects the handover since the eNB does not support EIA0.

7.2.5 Key handling at state transitions to and away from EMM-DEREGISTERED

7.2.5.1 Transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED

There are different reasons for transition to the EMM-DEREGISTERED state. If a NAS messages leads to state transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED, it shall be security protected by the current EPS NAS security context (mapped or native), if such exists in the UE or MME.

NOTE: The present specification only considers the states EMM-DEREGISTERED and EMM-REGISTERED and transitions between these two states. Other specifications define additional EMM states (see, e.g., TS 24.301 [9]).

On transitioning to EMM-DEREGISTERED, the UE and MME shall do the following:

- 1. If they have a full non-current native EPS NAS security context and a current mapped EPS NAS security context, then they shall make the non-current native EPS NAS security context the current one.
- 2. They shall delete any mapped or partial EPS NAS security contexts they hold.

Handling of the remaining authentication data for each of these cases are given below:

- 1. Attach reject: All authentication data shall be removed from the UE and MME
- 2. Detach:
 - a. UE-initiated
 - i. If the reason is switch off then all the remaining authentication data shall be removed from the UE and MME with the exception of:
 - the current native EPS NAS security context (as in clause 6.1.1), which should remain stored in the MME and UE, and
 - any unused authentication vectors, which may remain stored in the MME.
 - ii. If the reason is not switch off then MME and UE shall keep all the remaining authentication data.
 - b. MME-initiated
 - Explicit: all the remaining authentication data shall be kept in the UE and MME if the detach type is reattach.
 - ii. Implicit: all the remaining authentication data shall be kept in the UE and MME.
 - c. HSS-initiated: If the message is "subscription withdrawn" then all the remaining authentication data shall be removed from the UE and MME.
- 3. TAU reject: There are various reasons for TAU reject. The action to be taken shall be as given in TS 24.301.

Storage of the full native EPS NAS security context, excluding the UE security capabilities and the keys K_{NASint} and K_{NASenc} , in the UE when the UE transitions to EMM-DEREGISTERED state is done as follows:

- a) If the ME does not have a full native EPS NAS security context in volatile memory, any existing native EPS NAS security context stored on the UICC or in non-volatile memory of the ME shall be marked as invalid.
- b) If the USIM supports EMM parameters storage, then the ME shall store the full native EPS NAS security context parameters on the USIM (except for K_{NASenc} and K_{NASint}), mark the native EPS NAS security context on the USIM as valid, and not keep any native EPS NAS security context in non-volatile ME memory.
- c) If the USIM does not support EMM parameters storage, then the ME shall store the full native EPS NAS security context (except for K_{NASenc} and K_{NASint}) in a non-volatile part of its memory, and mark the native EPS NAS security context in its non-volatile memory as valid.

For the case that the MME or the UE enter EMM-DEREGISTERED state without using any of the above procedures, the handling of the remaining authentication data shall be as specified in TS 24.301 [9].

7.2.5.2 Transition away from EMM-DEREGISTERED

7.2.5.2.1 General

When starting the transition away from EMM-DEREGISTERED state with the intent to eventually transitioning to EMM-REGISTERED state, if no current EPS NAS security context is available in the ME, the ME shall retrieve native EPS NAS security context stored on the USIM if the USIM supports EMM parameters storage and if the stored native EPS NAS security context on the USIM is marked as valid. If the USIM does not support EMM parameters storage the ME shall retrieve stored native EPS NAS security context from its non-volatile memory if the native EPS NAS security context is marked as valid. The ME shall derive the K_{NASint} and K_{NASenc} after retrieving the stored EPS NAS security context; see Annex A on NAS key derivation. The retrieved native EPS NAS security context with the derived K_{NASint} and K_{NASenc} shall then become the current EPS NAS security context.

When the ME is transitioning away from EMM-DEREGISTERED state with the intent to eventually transitioning to EMM-REGISTERED state, if the USIM supports EMM parameters storage, the ME shall mark the stored EPS NAS security context on the USIM as invalid. If the USIM does not support EMM parameters storage, the ME shall mark the stored EPS NAS security context in its non-volatile memory as invalid.

If the ME uses an EPS NAS security context to protect NAS messages, the NAS COUNT values are updated in the volatile memory of the ME. If the attempt to transition away from EMM-DEREGISTERED state with the intent to eventually transitioning to EMM-REGISTERED state fails, the ME shall store the (possibly updated) EPS NAS security context on the USIM or non-volatile ME memory and mark it as valid.

NOTE: The present specification only considers the states EMM-DEREGISTERED and EMM-REGISTERED and transitions between these two states. Other specifications define additional EMM states (see, e.g., TS 24.301 [9]).

When the UE transits from EMM-DEREGISTERED to EMM-REGISTERED/ECM-CONNECTED, there are two cases to consider, either a full native EPS NAS security context exists, or it does not.

7.2.5.2.2 With existing native EPS NAS security context

The UE shall transmit a NAS Attach Request message. This message is integrity protected and for the case that the EPS NAS security context used by the UE is non-current in the MME, the rules in clause 6.4 apply. Furthermore provided there is no NAS SMC procedure before the AS SMC the NAS COUNT of the Attach Request message shall be used to derive the K_{eNB} with the KDF as specified in Annex A. As a result of the NAS Attach Request, the eNB shall send an AS SMC to the UE to activate AS security. The K_{eNB} used, is derived in the current EPS NAS security context.

When the UE receives the AS SMC without having received a NAS Security Mode Command after the Attach Request, it shall use the NAS COUNT of the Attach Request message (i.e. the uplink NAS COUNT) that triggered the AS SMC to be sent as freshness parameter in the derivation of the K_{eNB} . From this K_{eNB} the RRC protection keys and the UP protection keys shall be derived as described in subclause 7.2.1.

The same procedure for refreshing K_{eNB} can be used regardless of the fact if the UE is connecting to the same MME to which it was connected previously or to a different MME. In case UE connects to a different MME and this MME supports different NAS algorithms, the NAS keys have to be re-derived in the MME with the new algorithm IDs as input using the KDF as specified in Annex A.

In addition, there is a need for the MME to send a NAS SMC to the UE to indicate the change of NAS algorithms and to take the re-derived NAS keys into use. The UE shall assure that the NAS keys used to verify the integrity of the NAS SMC are derived using the algorithm ID specified in the NAS SMC. The NAS SMC Command and NAS SMC Complete messages are protected with the new NAS keys.

If there is a NAS Security Mode Command after the Attach Request but before the AS SMC, the UE and MME use the NAS COUNT of the most recent NAS Security Mode Complete (i.e. the uplink NAS COUNT) and the related K_{ASME} as the parameter in the derivation of the K_{eNB} . From this K_{eNB} the RRC protection keys and the UP protection keys are derived as described in subclause 7.2.1.

7.2.5.2.3 With run of EPS AKA

If in the process described in clause 7.2.5.2.2, there is no full native EPS NAS security context available in the MME (i.e. either the UE has sent an unprotected Attach Request message or the UE has protected the Attach Request message with a current native EPS security context which no longer is stored in the MME) an EPS AKA run is required. If there is a full native EPS NAS security context available in the MME, then the MME may (according to MME policy) decide to run a new EPS AKA and a NAS SMC procedure (which activates the new EPS NAS security context based on the K_{ASME} derived during the EPS AKA run) after the Attach Request but before the corresponding AS SMC. The NAS (uplink and downlink) COUNTs are set to start values, and the start value of the uplink NAS COUNT shall be used as freshness parameter in the K_{eNB} derivation from the fresh K_{ASME} (after AKA) when UE receives AS SMC the K_{eNB} is derived from the current EPS NAS security context, i.e., the fresh K_{ASME} is used to derive the K_{eNB} The KDF as specified in Annex A shall be used to derive the K_{eNB} .

NOTE: Using the start value for the uplink NAS COUNT in this case cannot lead to the same combination of K_{ASME} and NAS COUNT being used twice. This is guaranteed by the fact that the first integrity protected NAS message the UE sends to the MME after AKA is the NAS SMC complete message.

The NAS SMC complete message shall include the start value of the uplink NAS COUNT that is used as freshness parameter in the K_{eNB} derivation and the K_{ASME} is fresh. After an AKA, a NAS SMC needs to be sent from the MME to the UE in order to take the new NAS keys into use. Both NAS SMC and NAS SMC Complete messages are protected with the new NAS keys.

7.2.6 Key handling in ECM-IDLE to ECM-CONNECTED and ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE transitions

7.2.6.1 ECM-IDLE to ECM-CONNECTED transition

The UE sends an initial NAS message to initiate transition from ECM-IDLE to ECM-CONNECTED state [9]. On transitions to ECM-CONNECTED, the MME should be able to check whether a new authentication is required, e.g. because of prior inter-provider handover.

When cryptographic protection for radio bearers is established RRC protection keys and UP protection keys shall be generated as described in subclause 7.2.1 while K_{ASME} is assumed to be already available in the MME.

The initial NAS message shall be integrity protected by the current EPS NAS security context if such exists. If no current EPS NAS security context exists the ME shall signal "no key available" in the initial NAS message.

 K_{ASME} may have been established in the MME as a result of an AKA run, or as a result of a security context transfer from another MME during handover or idle mode mobility. When the eNB releases the RRC connection the UE and the eNB shall delete the keys they store such that state in the network for ECM-IDLE state UEs will only be maintained in the MME.

7.2.6.2 Establishment of keys for cryptographically protected radio bearers

The procedure the UE uses to establish cryptographic protection for radio bearers is initiated by an (extended) NAS Service Request message or TAU Request message with the active flag set from the UE to the MME.

Upon receipt of the NAS message, if the MME does not require a NAS SMC procedure before initiating the S1-AP procedure INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP, the MME shall derive key K_{eNB} as specified in subclause A.3 using the NAS COUNT [9] corresponding to the NAS message and the K_{ASME} of the current EPS NAS security context. The MME shall further initialize the value of the Next hop Chaining Counter (NCC) to zero. The MME shall further derive a next hop parameter NH as specified in subclause A.4 using the newly derived K_{eNB} and the K_{ASME} as basis for the derivation. The MME shall further set the the value of the Next hop Chaining Counter (NCC) to one. This fresh {NH, NCC=1} pair shall be stored in the MME and shall be used for the next forward security key derivation. The MME shall communicate the K_{eNB} to the serving eNB in the S1-AP procedure INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP. The UE shall derive the K_{eNB} from the K_{ASME} of the current EPS NAS security context.

As a result of the (extended) NAS Service Request or TAU procedure, radio bearers are established, and the eNB sends an AS SMC to the UE. When the UE receives the AS SMC without having received a NAS Security Mode Command, it shall use the NAS uplink COUNT of the NAS message that triggered the AS SMC as freshness parameter in the derivation of the K_{eNB} . The KDF as specified in Annex A.3 shall be used for the K_{eNB} derivation using the K_{ASME} of the current EPS NAS security context. The UE shall further derive the NH parameter from the newly derived K_{eNB} and the

 K_{ASME} in the same way as the MME. From the K_{eNB} the RRC protection keys and the UP protection keys are derived by the UE and the eNB as described in subclause 6.2.

NOTE: At the UE, the NH derivation associated with NCC=1 could be delayed until the first handover performing vertical key derivation.

If the NAS procedure establishing radio bearers contains an EPS AKA run (which is optional), the NAS uplink and downlink COUNT for the new K_{ASME} shall be set to the start values (i.e. zero). If the NAS procedure establishing radio bearers contains a NAS SMC (which is optional), the value of the uplink NAS COUNT from the most recent NAS Security Mode Complete shall be used as freshness parameter in the K_{eNB} derivation from fresh K_{ASME} of the current EPS NAS security context when executing an AS SMC. The KDF as specified in Annex A.3 shall be used for the K_{eNB} derivation also in this case.

7.2.6.3 ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE transition

On ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE transitions the eNB does no longer need to store state information about the corresponding UE.

In particular, on ECM-CONNECTED to ECM-IDLE transitions:

- The eNB and the UE shall release all radio bearers and delete the AS security context.
- MME and the UE shall keep the EPS NAS security context stored with the following exception: if there is a new and an old K_{ASME} according to rules 3, 4, 8 or 9 in clause 7.2.10 of this specification then the MME and the UE shall delete the old K_{ASME} and the corresponding eKSI. The MME shall delete NH and NCC.

7.2.7 Key handling for the TAU procedure when registered in E-UTRAN

Before the UE can initiate the TAU procedure, the UE needs to transition to ECM-CONNECTED state. The UE shall use the current EPS security context to protect the TAU Request and include the corresponding GUTI and eKSI value. The TAU Request shall be integrity-protected, but not confidentiality-protected. UE shall use the current EPS security context algorithms to protect the TAU Request message. For the case that this security context is non-current in the MME, the rules in clause 6.4 apply.

If the "active flag" is not set in the TAU request, the TAU procedure does not establish any RRC or UP level security. Because of this, there is no need to derive any K_{eNB} in this case. If the "active flag" is set in the TAU request message, radio bearers will be established as part of the TAU procedure. In this case a K_{eNB} derivation is necessary, and if there was no subsequent NAS SMC, the uplink NAS COUNT of the TAU request message sent from the UE to the MME is used as freshness parameter in the K_{eNB} derivation using the KDF as specified in Annex A. The TAU request shall be integrity protected.

In the case an AKA is run successfully, the uplink and downlink NAS COUNT shall be set to the start values (i.e. zero).

In the case source and target MME use different NAS algorithms, the target MME re-derives the NAS keys from K_{ASME} with the new algorithm identities as input and provides the new algorithm identifiers within a NAS SMC. The UE shall assure that the NAS keys used to verify the integrity of the NAS SMC are derived using the algorithm identity specified in the NAS SMC.

If there is a NAS Security Mode Command after the TAU Request but before the AS SMC, the UE and MME use the NAS COUNT of the most recent NAS Security Mode Complete (i.e. the uplink NAS COUNT) and the related K_{ASME} as the parameter in the derivation of the K_{eNB} . From this K_{eNB} the RRC protection keys and the UP protection keys are derived as described in subclause 7.2.1.

7.2.8 Key handling in handover

7.2.8.1 General

7.2.8.1.1 Access stratum

The general principle of key handling at handovers is depicted in Figure 7.2.8.1-1.




Figure 7.2.8.1-1 Model for the handover key chaining

The following is an outline of the key handling model to clarify the intended structure of the key derivations. The detailed specification is provided in subclauses 7.2.8.3 and 7.2.8.4.

Whenever an initial AS security context needs to be established between UE and eNB, MME and the UE shall derive a K_{eNB} and a Next Hop parameter (NH). The K_{eNB} and the NH are derived from the K_{ASME} . A NH Chaining Counter (NCC) is associated with each K_{eNB} and NH parameter. Every K_{eNB} is associated with the NCC corresponding to the NH value from which it was derived. At initial setup, the K_{eNB} is derived directly from K_{ASME} , and is then considered to be associated with a virtual NH parameter with NCC value equal to zero. At initial setup, the derived NH value is associated with the NCC value one.

NOTE 1: At the UE, the NH derivation associated with NCC=1 could be delayed until the first handover performing vertical key derivation.

Whether the MME sends the K_{eNB} key or the {NH, NCC} pair to the serving eNB is described in detail in subclauses 7.2.8.3 and 7.2.8.4. The MME shall not send the NH value to eNB at the initial connection setup. The eNB shall initialize the NCC value to zero after receiving S1-AP Initial Context Setup Request message.

- NOTE 2: Since the MME does not send the NH value to eNB at the initial connection setup, the NH value associated with the NCC value one can not be used in the next X2 handover or the next intra-eNB handover, for the next X2 handover or the next intra-eNB handover the horizontal key derivation (see Figure 7.2.8.1-1) will apply.
- NOTE 3: One of the rules specified for the MME in subclause 7.2.8.4 of this specification states that the MME always computes a fresh {NH, NCC} pair that is given to the target eNB. An implication of this is that the first {NH, NCC} pair will never be used to derive a K_{eNB}. It only serves as an initial value for the NH chain.

The UE and the eNB use the K_{eNB} to secure the communication between each other. On handovers, the basis for the K_{eNB} that will be used between the UE and the target eNB, called K_{eNB}^* , is derived from either the currently active K_{eNB} or from the NH parameter. If K_{eNB}^* is derived from the currently active K_{eNB} this is referred to as a horizontal key derivation (see Figure 7.2.8.1-1) and if the K_{eNB}^* is derived from the NH parameter the derivation is referred to as a vertical key derivation (see Figure 7.2.8.1-1). On handovers with vertical key derivation the NH is further bound to the target PCI and its frequency EARFCN-DL before it is taken into use as the K_{eNB} in the target eNB. On handovers with horizontal key derivation the currently active K_{eNB} is further bound to the target PCI and its frequency EARFCN-DL before it is taken into use as the K_{eNB} in the target eNB.

As NH parameters are only computable by the UE and the MME, it is arranged so that NH parameters are provided to eNBs from the MME in such a way that forward security can be achieved.

7.2.8.1.2 Non access stratum

A NAS aspect that needs to be considered is possible NAS algorithm change at MME change that could occur at a handover. At an eNB handover with MME relocation, there is the possibility that the source MME and the target MME do not support the same set of NAS algorithms or have different priorities regarding the use of NAS algorithms. In this case, the target MME re-derives the NAS keys from K_{ASME} using the NAS algorithm identities as input to the NAS key derivation functions (see Annex A) and sends NAS SMC. All inputs, in particular the K_{ASME} , will be the same in the re-derivation except for the NAS algorithm identity.

In case the target MME decides to use NAS algorithms different from the ones used by the source MME, a NAS SMC including eKSI (new or current value depending on whether AKA was run or not) shall be sent from the MME to the UE.

This NAS Key and algorithm handling also applies to other MME changes e.g. TAU with MME changes.

NOTE: It is per operator's policy how to configure selection of handover types. Depending on an operator's security requirements, the operator can decide whether to have X2 or S1 handovers for a particular eNB according to the security characteristics of a particular eNB.

7.2.8.2 Void

7.2.8.3 Key derivations for context modification procedure

As outlined in subclause 7.2.8.1, whenever a fresh K_{eNB} is calculated from the K_{ASME} (as described in Annex A.3), the MME shall transfer the K_{eNB} to the serving eNB in a message modifying the security context in the eNB. The MME and the UE shall also compute the NH parameter from the K_{ASME} and the fresh K_{eNB} as described in Annex A.4 according to the rules in clause 7.2.9.2. An NCC value 1 is associated with the NH parameter derived from the fresh K_{eNB} and NCC value 0 with the K_{eNB} . The UE shall compute K_{eNB} and NH in the same way as the MME. From the newly computed K_{eNB} , the eNB and the UE shall compute the temporary K_{eNB}^* and then the final K_{eNB} from that K_{eNB}^* as described in clause 7.2.9.2.

- NOTE 1: Since MME does not send the NH value to eNB in S1 UE CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST, the NH value associated with the NCC value one can not be used in the next X2 handover or the next intra-eNB handover. So for the next X2 handover or the next intra-eNB handover the horizontal key derivation (see Figure 7.2.8.1-1) will apply.
- NOTE 2: One of the rules specified for the MME in subclause 7.2.8.4 of this specification states that the MME always computes a fresh $\{NH, NCC\}$ pair that is given to the target eNB. An implication of this is that the first $\{NH, NCC\}$ pair, i.e., the one with NCC equal to 1 will never be used to derive a K_{eNB} . It only serves as an initial value for the NH chain.
- NOTE 3: At the UE, the NH derivation associated with NCC=1 could be delayed until the first handover performing vertical key derivation.

7.2.8.4 Key derivations during handovers

7.2.8.4.1 Intra-eNB Handover

When the eNB decides to perform an intra-eNB handover it shall derive K_{eNB}^* as in Annex A.5 using target PCI, its frequency EARFCN-DL, and either NH or the current K_{eNB} depending on the following criteria: the eNB shall use the NH for deriving K_{eNB}^* if an unused {NH, NCC} pair is available in the eNB (this is referred to as a vertical key derivation), otherwise if no unused {NH, NCC} pair is available in the eNB, the eNB shall derive K_{eNB}^* from the current K_{eNB} (this is referred to as a horizontal key derivation).

The eNB shall use the K_{eNB}^* as the K_{eNB} after handover. The eNB shall send the NCC used for K_{eNB}^* derivation to UE in HO Command message.

7.2.8.4.2 X2-handover

As in intra-eNB handovers, for X2 handovers the source eNB shall perform a vertical key derivation in case it has an unused {NH, NCC} pair. The source eNB shall first compute K_{eNB}^* from target PCI, its frequency EARFCN-DL, and

either from currently active K_{eNB} in case of horizontal key derivation or from the NH in case of vertical key derivation as described in Annex A.5.

Next the source eNB shall forward the $\{K_{eNB}^*, NCC\}$ pair to the target eNB. The target eNB shall use the received K_{eNB}^* directly as K_{eNB} to be used with the UE. The target eNB shall associate the NCC value received from source eNB with the K_{eNB} . The target eNB shall include the received NCC into the prepared HO Command message, which is sent back to the source eNB in a transparent container and forwarded to the UE by source eNB.

When the target eNB has completed the handover signaling with the UE, it shall send a S1 PATH SWITCH REQUEST to the MME. Upon reception of the S1 PATH SWITCH REQUEST, the MME shall increase its locally kept NCC value by one and compute a new fresh NH by using the K_{ASME} and its locally kept NH value as input to the function defined in Annex A.4. The MME shall then send the newly computed {NH, NCC} pair to the target eNB in the S1 PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE message. The target eNB shall store the received {NH, NCC} pair for further handovers and remove other existing unused stored {NH, NCC} pairs if any.

NOTE: Because the path switch message is transmitted after the radio link handover, it can only be used to provide keying material for the next handover procedure and target eNB. Thus, for X2-handovers key separation happens only after two hops because the source eNB knows the target eNB keys. The target eNB can immediately initiate an intra-cell handover to take the new NH into use once the new NH has arrived in the S1 PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE.

7.2.8.4.3 S1-Handover

Upon reception of the HANDOVER REQUIRED message the source MME shall increase its locally kept NCC value by one and compute a fresh NH from its stored data using the function defined in Annex A.4. The source MME shall store that fresh pair and send it to the target MME in the S10 FORWARD RELOCATION REQUEST message. The S10 FORWARD RELOCATION REQUEST message shall in addition contain the K_{ASME} that is currently used to compute {NH, NCC} pairs and its corresponding eKSI.

The target MME shall store locally the {NH, NCC} pair received from the source MME.

The target MME shall then send the received {NH, NCC} pair to the target eNB within the S1 HANDOVER REQUEST.

Upon receipt of the S1 HANDOVER REQUEST from the target MME, the target eNB shall compute the K_{eNB} to be used with the UE by performing the key derivation defined in Annex A.5 with the fresh{NH, NCC} pair in the S1 HANDOVER REQUEST and the target PCI and its frequency EARFCN-DL. The target eNB shall associate the NCC value received from MME with the K_{eNB} . The target eNB shall include the NCC value from the received {NH, NCC} pair into the HO Command to the UE and remove any existing unused stored {NH, NCC} pairs.

NOTE: The source MME may be the same as the target MME in the description in this subclause. If so the single MME performs the roles of both the source and target MME, i.e. the MME calculates and stores the fresh {NH, NCC} pair and sends this to the target eNB.

For S1-handover, the source eNB shall include AS algorithms used in the source cell (ciphering and integrity algorithms) in the source to target transparent container that shall be sent to the target eNB. The AS algorithms used by in the source cell are provided to the target eNB so that it can decipher and integrity verify the RRCReestablishmentComplete message on SRB1 in the potential RRCConnectionRe-establishment procedure.

7.2.8.4.4 UE handling

The UE behaviour is the same regardless if the handover is S1, X2 or intra-eNB.

If the NCC value the UE received in the HO Command message from target eNB via source eNB is equal to the NCC value associated with the currently active K_{eNB} , the UE shall derive the K_{eNB} * from the currently active K_{eNB} and the target PCI and its frequency EARFCN-DL using the function defined in Annex A.5.

If the UE received an NCC value that was different from the NCC associated with the currently active K_{eNB} , the UE shall first synchronize the locally kept NH parameter by computing the function defined in Annex A.4 iteratively (and increasing the NCC value until it matches the NCC value received from the source eNB via the HO command message. When the NCC values match, the UE shall compute the K_{eNB}^* from the synchronized NH parameter and the target PCI and its frequency EARFCN-DL using the function defined in Annex A.5.

The UE shall use the K_{eNB}^* as the K_{eNB} when communicating with the target eNB.

7.2.9 Key-change-on-the fly

7.2.9.1 General

Key-change-on-the fly consists of re-keying or key-refresh.

Key refresh shall be possible for K_{eNB} , $K_{RRC\text{-enc}}$, $K_{RRC\text{-int}}$, $K_{UP\text{-int}}$, and $K_{UP\text{-enc}}$ and shall be initiated by the eNB when a PDCP COUNTs is about to be re-used with the same Radio Bearer identity and with the same K_{eNB} . The procedure is described in clause 7.2.9.3.

Re-keying shall be possible for the K_{eNB} , $K_{RRC\text{-enc}}$, $K_{RRC\text{-int}}$, $K_{UP\text{-int}}$, and $K_{UP\text{-enc}}$. This re-keying shall be initiated by the MME when an EPS AS security context different from the currently active one shall be activated. The procedures for doing this are described in clause 7.2.9.2.

Re-keying shall be possible for $K_{NAS-enc}$ and $K_{NAS-int}$. Re-keying of $K_{NAS-enc}$ and $K_{NAS-int}$ shall be initiated by the MME when a EPS NAS security context different from the currently active one shall be activated. The procedures for doing this are described in clause 7.2.9.4.

Re-keying of the entire EPS key hierarchy including K_{ASME} shall be achieved by first re-keying K_{ASME} , then $K_{NAS\text{-enc}}$ and $K_{NAS\text{-int}}$, followed by re-keying of the K_{eNB} and derived keys. For NAS key change-on-on-the fly, activation of NAS keys is accomplished by a NAS SMC procedure.

AS Key change on-the-fly is accomplished using a procedure based on intra-cell handover. The following AS key changes on-the-fly shall be possible: local K_{eNB} refresh (performed when PDCP COUNTs are about to wrap around), K_{eNB} re-keying performed after an AKA run, activation of a native context after handover from UTRAN or GERAN.

7.2.9.2 K_{eNB} re-keying

The re-keying procedure is initiated by the MME after a successful AKA run with the UE to activate a partial native EPS security context, or to re-activate a non-current full native EPS security context after handover from GERAN or UTRAN according to subclauses 9.2.2.1 and 10.3.2.

In case the procedure is initiated by the MME after a successful AKA run with the UE, the MME derives the new K_{eNB} using the key derivation function as specified in Annex A.3 using the new K_{ASME} and the uplink NAS COUNT used in the most recent NAS Security Mode Complete message. The K_{eNB} is sent to the eNB after a successfully completed NAS SMC in a S1 AP UE CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST message triggering the eNB to perform the rekeying. The eNB runs the key-change-on-the-fly procedure with the UE. During this procedure the eNB shall indicate to the UE that a key change on-the-fly is taking place. The procedure used is based on an intra-cell handover, and hence the same K_{eNB} derivation steps shall be taken as in a normal handover procedure.

When the UE receives an indication that the procedure is a key change on-the-fly procedure, the UE shall use the K_{ASME} from the current EPS NAS security context as the basis for K_{eNB} derivations.

NOTE 1: To perform a key change on-the-fly of the entire key hierarchy, the MME has to change the EPS NAS security context before changing the AS security context.

If the UE has determined that the eKSI has changed, the UE shall derive a temporary K_{eNB} by applying the key derivation function as specified in Annex A.3, using the uplink NAS COUNT in the most recent NAS Security Mode Complete message and the new K_{ASME} as input. From this temporary K_{eNB} the UE shall derive the K_{eNB}^* as normal (see Annex A). The eNB shall take the K_{eNB} it received from the MME, which is equal to the temporary K_{eNB} , as basis for its K_{eNB}^* derivations. From this step onwards, the key derivations continue as in a normal handover.

If the AS level re-keying fails, then the MME shall complete another NAS security mode procedure before initiating a new AS level re-keying. This ensures that a fresh K_{eNB} is used.

In case the re-keying procedure is initiated by the MME to re-activate a non-current full native EPS security context after handover from GERAN or UTRAN the same procedure as above applies.

The NH parameter shall be handled according to the following rules:

UE and MME shall use NH derived from old K_{ASME} before the context modification is complete, i.e. for the UE when it sends the RRC Connection Reconfiguration Complete, and for the MME when it receives the UE CONTEXT MODIFICATION RESPONSE. In particular, the MME shall send an NH derived from old K_{ASME} in

the S1AP HANDOVER RESOURCE ALLOCATION, S10 FORWARD RELOCATION, and S1AP PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE messages before the context modification is complete.

- The eNB shall delete any old NH upon completion of the context modification.
- The UE and MME shall delete any old NH upon completion of the context modification. After the completion of the context modification, the UE and the MME shall derive any new NH parameters from the new K_{eNB} and the new K_{ASME} according to Annex A.4.

7.2.9.3 KeNB refresh

This procedure is based on an intra-cell handover. The K_{eNB} chaining that is performed during a handover ensures that the K_{eNB} is re-freshed w.r.t. the RRC and UP COUNT after the procedure.

7.2.9.4 NAS key re-keying

After an AKA has taken place, new NAS keys from a new K_{ASME} shall be derived, according to Annex A.7.

To re-activate a non-current full native EPS security context after handover from GERAN or UTRAN, cf. clause 9.2.2 B step 7, the UE and the MME take the NAS keys into use by running a NAS SMC procedure according to clause 7.2.4.5.

MME shall activate fresh NAS keys from an EPS AKA run or activate native security context with sufficiently low NAS COUNT values before the NAS uplink or downlink COUNT wraps around with the current security context.

7.2.10 Rules on Concurrent Running of Security Procedures

Concurrent runs of security procedures may, in certain situations, lead to mismatches between security contexts in the network and the UE. In order to avoid such mismatches, the following rules shall be adhered to:

- 1. MME shall not initiate any of the S1 procedures Initial Context Setup or UE Context Modification including a new K_{eNB} towards a UE if a NAS Security Mode Command procedure is ongoing with the UE.
- 2. The MME shall not initiate a NAS Security Mode Command towards a UE if one of the S1 procedures Initial Context Setup or UE Context Modification including a new K_{eNB} is ongoing with the UE.
- 3. When the UE has cryptographically protected radio bearers established and the MME has initiated a NAS SMC procedure in order to take a new K_{ASME} into use, the MME shall continue to include AS security context parameters based on the old K_{ASME} in the HANDOVER REQUEST or PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE message, until the MME takes a K_{eNB} derived from the new K_{ASME} into use by means of a UE Context Modification procedure.
- 4. When the UE has cryptographically protected radio bearers established and has received a NAS SMC message in order to take a new K_{ASME} into use, the UE shall continue to use AS security context parameters based on the old K_{ASME} in handover until the network indicates in an RRCConnectionReconfiguration procedure to take a K_{eNB} derived from the new K_{ASME} into use.
- 5. The source eNB shall reject an S1 UE Context Modification Request when the eNB has initiated, but not yet completed, an inter-eNB handover. When a RRCConnectionReconfiguration procedure triggered by a UE Context Modification is ongoing the source eNB shall wait for the completion of this procedure before initiating any further handover procedure.
- 6. When the MME has initiated a NAS SMC procedure in order to take a new K_{ASME} into use and receives a request for an inter-MME handover or an inter-RAT handover from the serving eNB, the MME shall wait for the completion of the NAS SMC procedure before sending an S10 FORWARD RELOCATION message or initiating an inter-RAT handover.
- 7. When the MME has initiated a UE Context Modification procedure in order to take a new K_{eNB} into use and receives a request for an inter-MME handover from the serving eNB, the MME shall wait for the (successful or unsuccessful) completion of the UE Context Modification procedure before sending an S10 FORWARD RELOCATION message.

- 8. When the MME has successfully performed a NAS SMC procedure taking a new K_{ASME} into use, but has not yet successfully performed a UE Context Modification procedure, which takes a K_{eNB} derived from the new K_{ASME} into use, the MME shall include both the old K_{ASME} with the corresponding eKSI, NH, and NCC, and a full EPS NAS security context based on the new K_{ASME} in the S10 FORWARD RELOCATION message.
- 9. When an MME receives a S10 FORWARD RELOCATION message including both the old K_{ASME} with the corresponding eKSI, NH, and NCC, and a full EPS NAS security context based on the new K_{ASME} the MME shall use the new K_{ASME} in NAS procedures, but shall continue to include AS security context parameters based on the old K_{ASME} in the HANDOVER REQUEST or PATH SWITCH REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGE message until the completion of a UE Context Modification procedure, which takes a K_{eNB} derived from the new K_{ASME} into use.
- 10. Once the source MME has sent an S10 FORWARD RELOCATION message to the target MME at an inter-MME handover, the source MME shall not send any downlink NAS messages to the UE until it is aware that the handover has either failed or has been cancelled.

7.3 UP security mechanisms

7.3.1 UP confidentiality mechanisms

The user plane data is ciphered by the PDCP protocol between the UE and the eNB as specified in TS 36.323 [12]...

The use and mode of operation of the 128-EEA algorithms are specified in Annex B.

The input parameters to the 128-bit EEA algorithms as described in Annex B are an 128-bit cipher key K_{UPenc} as KEY, a 5-bit bearer identity BEARER which value is assigned as specified by TS 36.323 [12], the 1-bit direction of transmission DIRECTION, the length of the keystream required LENGTH and a bearer specific, time and direction dependent 32-bit input COUNT which corresponds to the 32-bit PDCP COUNT.

7.3.2 UP integrity mechanisms

This subclause applies only to the user plane on the Un interface between RN and DeNB:

The user plane data is integrity-protected by the PDCP protocol between the RN and the DeNB as specified in TS 36.323 [12]. Replay protection shall be activated when integrity protection is activated. Replay protection shall ensure that the receiver only accepts each particular incoming PDCP COUNT value once using the same AS security context.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-EIA algorithms are specified in Annex B.

The input parameters to the 128-bit EIA algorithms as described in Annex B are a 128-bit integrity key K_{UPint} as KEY, a 5-bit bearer identity BEARER which value is assigned as specified by TS 36.323 [12], the 1-bit direction of transmission DIRECTION, and a bearer specific, time and direction dependent 32-bit input COUNT which corresponds to the 32-bit PDCP COUNT.

The supervision of failed UP integrity checks shall be performed both in the RN and the DeNB. In case of failed integrity check (i.e. faulty or missing MAC-I) is detected after the start of integrity protection, the concerned message shall be discarded. This can happen on the DeNB side or on the RN side.

NOTE: The handling of UP integrity check failures by an RN is an implementation issue TS 36.323 [12] intentionally does not mandate any action for a failed integrity check (not even sending an indication of failure to higher layers). Consequently, depending on the implementation, the message failing integrity check is, or is not, silently discarded. This is in contrast to the handling of a failed RRC integrity check by a UE, cf. the NOTE in clause 7.4.1 of the present document.

7.4 RRC security mechanisms

7.4.1 RRC integrity mechanisms

RRC integrity protection shall be provided by the PDCP layer between UE and eNB and no layers below PDCP shall be integrity protected. Replay protection shall be activated when integrity protection is activated (except for when the

selected integrity protection algorithm is EIA0, see Annex B). Replay protection shall ensure that the receiver only accepts each particular incoming PDCP COUNT value once using the same AS security context.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-EIA algorithms are specified in Annex B.

The input parameters to the 128-bit EIA algorithms as described in Annex B are an 128-bit integrity key K_{RRCint} as KEY, a 5-bit bearer identity BEARER which value is assigned as specified by TS 36.323 [12], the 1-bit direction of transmission DIRECTION and a bearer specific, time and direction dependent 32-bit input COUNT which corresponds to the 32-bit PDCP COUNT.

The supervision of failed RRC integrity checks shall be performed both in the ME and the eNB. In case of failed integrity check (i.e. faulty or missing MAC-I) is detected after the start of integrity protection, the concerned message shall be discarded. This can happen on the eNB side or on the ME side.

NOTE: This text does not imply that the concerned message is silently discarded. In fact, TS 36.331 [21] specifies that the UE shall trigger a recovery procedure upon detection of a failed RRC integrity check. When the cause for integrity protection failure is not a context mismatch, such as a key or HFN mismatch, the run of a recovery procedure unnecessarily adds load to the system. However, in the absence of a means for the UE to reliably detect the cause of an integrity protection failure and the fact that the only identified consequence of an active attack is limited to non-persistent DoS effects, priority was given to a procedure allowing recovery from the deadlock caused by a context mismatch.

7.4.2 RRC confidentiality mechanisms

RRC confidentiality protection is provided by the PDCP layer between UE and eNB.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-EEA algorithms are specified in Annex B.

The input parameters to the 128-bit EEA algorithms as described in Annex B are an 128-bit cipher Key K_{RRCenc} as KEY, a 5-bit bearer identity BEARER which corresponds to the radio bearer identity, the 1-bit direction of transmission DIRECTION, the length of the keystream required LENGTH and a bearer specific, time and direction dependent 32-bit input COUNT which corresponds to the 32-bit PDCP COUNT.

7.4.3 K_{eNB}* and Token Preparation for the RRCConnectionReestablishment Procedure

The K_{eNB}^* and token calculation at handover preparation are cell specific instead of eNB specific. At potential RRC Connection re-establishment (e.g, in handover failure case), the UE may select a cell different from the target cell to initiate the re-establishment procedure. To ensure that the UE RRCConnectionRe-establishment attempt is successful when the UE selects another cell under the control of the target eNB at handover preparation, the serving eNB could prepare multiple K_{eNB}^* s and tokens for multiple cells which are under the control of the target eNB. The serving eNB may prepare cells belonging to the serving eNB itself.

The preparation of these cells includes sending security context containing K_{eNB} *s and tokens for each cell to be prepared, as well as the corresponding NCC, the UE EPS security capabilities, and the security algorithms used in the source cell for computing the token, to the target eNB. The source eNB shall derive the K_{eNB} *s as described in Annex A.5 based on the corresponding target cell"s physical cell ID and frequency EARFCN-DL.

In order to calculate the token, the source eNB shall use the negotiated EIA-algorithm from the AS Security context from the source eNB with the following inputs: source C-RNTI, source PCI and target Cell-ID as defined by VarShortMAC-Input in TS 36.331 [21], where source PCI and source C-RNTI are associated with the cell the UE last had an active RRC connection with and target cell ID is the identity of the target cell where the RRCConnectionReestablishmentRequest is sent to.

- KEY shall be set to $K_{\text{RRCint}} \, \text{of the source cell};$
- all BEARER bits shall be set to 1;
- DIRECTION bit shall be set to 1;
- all COUNT bits shall be set to 1.

The token shall be the 16 least significant bits of the output of the used integrity algorithm.

To avoid that the UE cannot perform the RRC re-establishment procedure if there is a failure during a handover or a connection re-establishment, the UE shall keep the K_{eNB} used in the source cell until the handover or a connection re-establishment has completed successfully or until the UE has deleted the K_{eNB} due to other rules in this specification (e.g., due to transitioning to ECM-IDLE).

For X2 handover, the target eNB shall use these received multiple K_{eNB} *s. But for S1 handover, the target eNB discards the multiple K_{eNB} *s received from the source eNB, and derives the K_{eNB} *s as described in Annex A.5 based on the received fresh {NH, NCC} pair from MME for forward security purpose.

When an RRCConnectionReestablishmentRequest is initiated by the UE, the RRCConnectionReestablishmentRequest shall contain the token corresponding to the cell the UE tries to reconnect to. This message is transmitted over SRB0 and hence not integrity protected.

The target eNB receiving the RRCConnectionReestablishmentRequest shall respond with an RRCConnectionReestablishment message containing the NCC received during the preparation phase if the token is valid, otherwise the target eNB shall reply with an RRCConnectionReestablishmentReject message. The RRCConnectionReestablishment and RRCConnectionReestablishmentReject messages are also sent on SRB0 and hence not integrity protected. Next the target eNB and UE shall do the following: The UE shall firstly synchronize the locally kept NH parameter as defined in Annex A.4 if the received NCC value is different from the current NCC value in the UE itself. Then the UE shall derive K_{eNB}^* as described in Annex A.5 based on the selected cell"s physical cell ID and its frequency EARFCN-DL. The UE shall use this K_{eNB}^* as K_{eNB} . The eNB uses the K_{eNB}^* corresponding to the selected cell as K_{eNB} . Then, UE and eNB shall derive and activate keys for integrity protection and verification from this K_{eNB} and the AS algorithms (ciphering and integrity algorithms) obtained during handover preparation procedures which were used in source eNB. Even if the AS algorithms used by the source eNB do not match with the target eNB local algorithm priority list the source eNB selected AS algorithms shall take precedence when running the RRCConnectionRe-establishment procedure. The target eNB and UE should refresh the selected AS algorithms and the AS keys based on local prioritized algorithms after the RRCConnectionRe-establishment procedure.

NOTE: When the AS algorithms transferred by source eNB are not supported by the target eNB, the target eNB will fail to decipher or integrity verify the RRCReestablishmentComplete message on SRB1. As a result, the RRCConnectionRe-establishment procedure will fail.

The UE shall respond with an RRCReestablishmentComplete on SRB1, integrity protected and ciphered using these new keys. The RRCConnectionReconfiguration procedure used to re-establish the remaining radio bearers shall only include integrity protected and ciphered messages.

7.5 Signalling procedure for periodic local authentication

The following procedure is used optionally by the eNB to periodically perform a local authentication. At the same time, the amount of data sent during the AS connection is periodically checked by the eNB and the UE for both up and down streams. If UE receives the Counter Check request, it shall respond with Counter Check Response message.

The eNB is monitoring the PDCP COUNT values associated to each radio bearer. The procedure is triggered whenever any of these values reaches a critical checking value. The granularity of these checking values and the values themselves are defined by the visited network. All messages in the procedure are integrity protected.




Figure 7.5-1: eNB periodic local authentication procedure

- 1. When a checking value is reached (e.g. the value in some fixed bit position in the hyperframe number is changed), a Counter Check message is sent by the eNB. The Counter Check message contains the most significant parts of the PDCP COUNT values (which reflect amount of data sent and received) from each active radio bearer.
- 2. The UE compares the PDCP COUNT values received in the Counter Check message with the values of its radio bearers. Different UE PDCP COUNT values are included within the Counter Check Response message.
- 3. If the eNB receives a counter check response message that does not contain any PDCP COUNT values, the procedure ends. If the eNB receives a counter check response that contains one or several PDCP COUNT values, the eNB may release the connection or report the difference of the PDCP COUNT values for the serving MME or O&M server for further traffic analysis for e.g. detecting the attacker.

8 Security mechanisms for non-access stratum signalling

8.0 General

The statements relating to UEs in clause 8 apply also to RNs regarding the security between a relay node and its MME.

8.1 NAS integrity mechanisms

Integrity protection for NAS signalling messages shall be provided as part of the NAS protocol.

8.1.1 NAS input parameters and mechanism

Input parameters to the NAS 128-bit integrity algorithms as described in Annex B are an 128-bit integrity key K_{NASint} as KEY, an 5-bit bearer identity BEARER which shall equal the constant value 0x00, the direction of transmission DIRECTION, and a bearer specific, time and direction dependent 32-bit input COUNT which is constructed as follows:

COUNT := $0x00 \parallel NAS OVERFLOW \parallel NAS SQN$

Where

- the leftmost 8 bits are padding bits including all zeros.
- NAS OVERFLOW is a 16-bit value which is incremented each time the NAS SQN is incremented from the maximum value.
- NAS SQN is the 8-bit sequence number carried within each NAS message.

NOTE: The BEARER identity is not necessary since there is only one NAS signalling connection per pair of MME and UE, but is included as a constant value so that the input parameters for AS and NAS will be the same, which simplifies specification and implementation work.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-bit integrity algorithms are specified in Annex B.

The supervision of failed NAS integrity checks shall be performed both in the ME and the MME. In case of failed integrity check (i.e. faulty or missing NAS-MAC) is detected after the start of NAS integrity protection, the concerned message shall be discarded except for some NAS messages specified in TS 24.301 [9]. For those exceptions the MME shall take the actions specified in TS 24.301 [9] when receiving a NAS message with faulty or missing NAS-MAC. Discarding NAS messages can happen on the MME side or on the ME side.

8.1.2 NAS integrity activation

NAS integrity shall be activated using the NAS SMC procedure or after a handover to E-UTRAN from UTRAN/GERAN. Replay protection shall be activated when integrity protection is activated (except for when the selected integrity protection algorithm is EIAO, see Annex B). Replay protection shall ensure that the receiver only accepts each particular incoming NAS COUNT value once using the same NAS security context. Once NAS integrity has been activated, NAS messages without integrity protection shall not be accepted by the UE or MME. Before NAS integrity has been activated, NAS messages without integrity protection shall only be accepted by the UE or MME in certain cases where it is not possible to apply integrity protection as specified in TS 24.301 [9]. While some NAS messages such as reject messages need to be accepted by the UE without integrity protection, the MME shall only send a reject message that causes the CSG list on the UE to be modified after the start of NAS security. The UE shall discard any message modifying the CSG list if it is not integrity protected.

NAS integrity stays activated until the EPS security context is deleted in either the UE or MME. In particular the NAS service request shall always be integrity protected and the NAS attach request message shall be integrity protected if the EPS security context is not deleted while UE is in EMM-DEREGISTERED. The length of the NAS-MAC is 32 bit. The full NAS-MAC shall be appended to all integrity protected messages except for the NAS service request. Only the 16 least significant bits of the 32 bit NAS-MAC shall be appended to the NAS service request message.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-EIA algorithms are specified in Annex B.

8.2 NAS confidentiality mechanisms

The input parameters for the NAS 128-bit ciphering algorithms shall be the same as the ones used for NAS integrity protection as described in clause 8.1, with the exception that a different key, K_{NASenc} , is used as KEY, and there is an additional input parameter, namely the length of the key stream to be generated by the encryption algorithms.

The use and mode of operation of the 128-bit ciphering algorithms are specified in Annex B.

9 Security interworking between E-UTRAN and UTRAN

9.1 RAU and TAU procedures

9.1.1 RAU procedures in UTRAN

This subclause covers both the cases of idle mode mobility from E-UTRAN to UTRAN and of Idle Mode Signaling Reduction (ISR), as defined in TS 23.401 [2].

NOTE 1: TS 23.401 states conditions under which a valid P-TMSI or a P-TMSI that is mapped from a valid GUTI ('mapped GUTI') is inserted in the Information Element 'old P-TMSI' in the Routing Area Update Request. It depends on the old P-TMSI which security context can be taken into use after completion of the Routing Area Update procedure.

Use of an existing UMTS security context

If the UE sends the RAU Request with the "old P-TMSI" Information Element including a valid P-TMSI it shall also include the KSI relating to this P-TMSI. This KSI is associated with the UMTS security context stored on the UE, and it indicates this fact to the SGSN. In this case the UE shall include P-TMSI signature into the RAU Request if a P-TMSI signature was assigned by the old SGSN. If the network does not have a valid security context for this KSI it shall run AKA. In case of an SGSN change keys from the old SGSN shall overwrite keys in the new SGSN if any.

NOTE 2: if the UE has a valid UMTS security context then this context is stored on the USIM according to TS 33.102 [4].

Mapping of EPS security context to UMTS security context

If the UE sends the RAU Request with the "old P-TMSI" Information Element including mapped GUTI it shall also include the KSI equal to the value of the eKSI associated with the current EPS security context (cf. clause 3). The UE shall include a truncated NAS-token, as defined in this clause further below, into the P-TMSI signature IE. The MME shall transfer UE's UTRAN and GERAN security capabilities and CK' \parallel IK' with KSI equal to the value of the eKSI associated with the current EPS security context to SGSN with Context Response/SGSN Context Response message. The MME and UE shall derive CK' and IK' from the K_{ASME} and the NAS uplink COUNT value corresponding to the truncated NAS-token received by the MME from SGSN as specified in Annex A. Keys CK' and IK' and KSI sent from the MME shall replace all the UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, s KSI in the target SGSN if any. Keys CK' and IK' and the KSI shall replace all the currently stored UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, KSI values on both USIM and ME. The handling of START_{PS} shall comply with the rules in 3GPP TS 25.331 [24]. The UE may set the START_{PS} value to 0 if it is done before establishment of the RRC connection.

The ME shall use CK' and IK' to derive the GPRS Kc using the c3 function specified in 3GPP TS 33.102 [4]. The ME shall assign the eKSI value (associated with CK" and IK") to the GPRS CKSN. The ME shall update the USIM and ME with the new GPRS Kc and GPRS CKSN.

NOTE 3: The new derived security context (including CK" and IK") replacing the old stored values in the USIM is for allowing to reuse the derived security context without invoking the authentication procedure in the subsequent connection set-ups, and also for avoiding that one KSI indicates to two different key sets and consequently leads to security context desynchronization.

- NOTE 4: An operator concerned about the security of keys received from another operator may want to enforce a policy in SGSN to run a UMTS AKA as soon as possible after the run of an idle mode mobility procedure. An example of ensuring this is the deletion of the mapped UMTS security context in the SGSN after the completion of the idle mode mobility procedure.
- NOTE 5: Due to replacing all the UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, KSI with CK", IK" and eKSI on USIM and in ME, a new GPRS Kc needs to be derived from the new UTRAN PS key parameters CK and IK (i.e. CK" and IK"), which is part of the new UMTS security context as well, as any old GPRS Kc stored on USIM and in ME belongs to an old UMTS security context and can no longer be taken into use.

SGSN shall include the allowed security algorithm and transfer them to RNC. An SMC shall be sent to the UE containing the selected algorithms.

The x bits available in the P-TMSI signature field (at minimum 16 bits) shall be filled with the truncated NAS-token, which is defined as the x least significant bits of the NAS-token.

The NAS-token is derived as specified in Annex A.9. The UE shall use the uplink NAS COUNT value that it would use in the next NAS message to calculate the NAS-token and increase the stored uplink NAS COUNT value by 1.

SGSN shall forward the P-TMSI signature including the truncated NAS token to the old MME, which compares the received bits of the truncated NAS-token with the corresponding bits of a NAS-token generated in the MME, for the UE identified within the context request. If they match, the context request message is authenticated and authorized and MME shall provide the needed information for the SGSN. Old MME shall respond with an appropriate error cause if it does not match the value stored in the old MME. This should initiate the security functions in the new SGSN.

To avoid possible race condition problems, the MME shall compare the received truncated NAS-token with the x least significant bits of NAS-tokens generated from the current NAS uplink COUNT value up to current NAS uplink COUNT value +L, i.e. the interval [current NAS uplink COUNT, current NAS uplink COUNT+L]. A suitable value for the parameter L can be configured by the network operator. MME shall not accept the same NAS-token for the same UE twice except in retransmission cases happening for the same mobility event. If the MME finds a match, it shall set the stored uplink NAS COUNT value as though it had successfully received an integrity protected NAS message with the uplink NAS COUNT value that created the match.

9.1.2 TAU procedures in E-UTRAN

This subclause covers both the cases of idle mode mobility from UTRAN to E-UTRAN and of Idle Mode Signaling Reduction, as defined in TS 23.401 [2].

The TAU Request and ATTACH Request message shall include the UE security capabilities. The MME shall store these UE security capabilities for future use. The MME shall not make use of any UE security capabilities received from the SGSN.

NOTE 1: TS 23.401 states conditions under which a valid GUTI or a GUTI that is mapped from a valid P-TMSI is inserted in the Information Element 'old GUTI' in the Tracking Area Update Request. The value in the 'old' GUTI IE informs the MME, which SGSN/MME to fetch the UE context from.

Case 1: P-TMSI not included in 'old GUTI' IE in TAU Request

This case is identical to that described in clause 7.2.7.

Case 2: Mapped P-TMSI included in 'old GUTI' IE in TAU Request

The UE shall include in the TAU Request:

- the KSI with corresponding P-TMSI and old RAI to point to the right source SGSN and key set there. This allows the UE and MME to generate the mapped EPS NAS security context, as described below, if current EPS NAS security context is not available in the UE and network. The KSI shall correspond to the set of keys most recently generated (either by a successful UMTS AKA run in UTRAN (which may or may not yet have been taken into use by the UE and SGSN) or a UMTS security context mapped from an EPS NAS security context during a previous visit in UTRAN).
- a P-TMSI signature, if the UE was previously connected to UTRAN where the SGSN assigned a P-TMSI signature to the UE

- a 32bit NONCE_{UE} (see clause A.11 for requirements on the randomness of NONCE_{UE}).

If the UE has a current EPS NAS security context, then it shall include the corresponding GUTI and eKSI value in the TAU Request. The TAU Request shall be integrity-protected, but not confidentiality-protected. The UE shall use the current EPS NAS security context algorithms to protect the TAU Request message.

- NOTE 2: The current EPS NAS security context may be of type "mapped", and hence the value of the eKSI be of type "KSI_{SGSN}". This value of KSI_{SGSN} may be different from the KSI pointing to the set of keys most recently generated in UTRAN as an UMTS AKA run may have happened in UTRAN after the current mapped EPS NAS security context indicated by the eKSI with the value KSI_{SGSN} was generated
- NOTE 3: The UE has a current EPS NAS security context in the following scenario: a UE established a current EPS NAS security context during a previous visit to EPS, then moves to UTRAN/GERAN from E-UTRAN and storing the current EPS NAS security context. When the UE moves back to E-UTRAN there is a current EPS NAS security context.

If a current EPS NAS security context is not available in the UE, the UE shall send the TAU request unprotected.

If the MME received a P-TMSI signature from the UE, the MME shall include that P-TMSI signature in the Context Request message sent to the SGSN. The SGSN shall transfer $CK \parallel IK$ to MME in the Context Response/SGSN Context Response message. In case the MM context in the Context Response/SGSN Context Response indicates GSM security mode, the MME shall abort the procedure.

In case the TAU Request was protected and the MME has the indicated EPS NAS security context it shall verify the TAU Request message. If it is successful, the UE and the MME share a current EPS NAS security context. In case the TAU Request had the active flag set or there is pending downlink UP data, K_{eNB} is calculated as described in clause 7.2.7.

If the MME wants to change the algorithms, the MME shall use a NAS security mode procedure (see clause 7.2.4.4).

If the MME does not have the EPS NAS security context indicated by the eKSI by the UE in the TAU request, or the TAU request was received unprotected, the MME shall create a new mapped EPS NAS security context (that shall become the current EPS NAS security context). In this case, the MME shall generate a 32bit NONCE_{MME} (see clause A.10 for requirements on the randomness of NONCE_{MME}), and use the received NONCE_{UE} with the NONCE_{MME} to generate a fresh mapped K'_{ASME} from CK and IK, where CK, IK were identified by the KSI and P-TMSI in the TAU Request. See Annex A.11 for more information on how to derive the fresh K'_{ASME} . The MME initiates a NAS Security mode command procedure with the UE as described in clause 7.2.4.4 including the KSI_{SGSN}, NONCE_{UE}, and NONCE_{MME} in the NAS Security mode command. The uplink and downlink NAS COUNT for mapped EPS NAS security context shall be set to start value (i.e., 0) when new mapped EPS NAS security context is created in UE and MME.

If the TAU Request had the active flag set or there is pending downlink UP data, the uplink NAS Count which is set to zero shall be used to derive the K_{eNB} in MME and UE as specified in Annex A. MME shall deliver the K_{eNB} to the target eNB on the S1 interface.

The TAU Accept shall be protected using the current EPS NAS security context.

9.2 Handover

9.2.1 From E-UTRAN to UTRAN

NAS and AS security shall always be activated before handover from E-UTRAN to UTRAN can take place. Consequently the source system in the handover shall always send a key set to the target system during handover. The security policy of the target PLMN determines the selected algorithms to be used within the UTRAN HO command.

The MME shall select the current NAS downlink COUNT value to use in the handover and then increase the stored NAS downlink COUNT value by 1.

NOTE 0: Increasing the NAS downlink COUNT by 1 is to ensure that a fresh NAS downlink COUNT is used for any future purposes.

UE and MME shall derive a confidentiality key CK', and an integrity key IK' from the K_{ASME} and the selected NAS downlink COUNT value of the current EPS key security context with the help of a one-way key derivation function KDF as specified in Annex A.

Whether UTRAN PS key ciphering is considered active in the target UTRAN after handover from E-UTRAN shall be determined according to the principles for handover to UTRAN in TS 25.331 [24].

UE and MME shall assign the value of eKSI to KSI. MME shall transfer $CK' \parallel IK'$ with KSI to SGSN. The target SGSN shall replace all stored parameters CK, IK, KSI, if any, with CK', IK', KSI received from the MME. The UE shall replace all stored parameters CK, IK, KSI, if any, with CK', IK', KSI in both ME and USIM. START_{PS} shall comply with the rules in 3GPP TS 25.331 [24]. For the definition of the Key Derivation Function see Annex A. The ME shall use CK'' and IK'' to derive the GPRS KC using the c3 function specified in 3GPP TS 33.102 [4]. The ME shall assign the eKSI value (associated with CK'' and IK'') to the GPRS CKSN. The ME shall update the USIM and ME with the GPRS KC and KC

- NOTE 1: The new mapped UMTS security context (including CK", and IK") replacing the stored values in the USIM and ME, is for allowing to reuse the mapped UMTS security context without invoking the authentication procedure in subsequent connection set-ups, and also for avoiding that one KSI value gets associated with two different key sets and consequently leads to UMTS security context desynchronization.
- NOTE 2: An operator concerned about the security of keys received from an E-UTRAN of another operator may want to enforce a policy in SGSN to run a UMTS AKA as soon as possible after the handover. One example of ensuring this is the deletion of the mapped UMTS security context in the SGSN after the UE has left active state in UMTS.
- NOTE 3: Due to replacing all the UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, KSI with CK", IK" and eKSI on USIM and in ME, a new GPRS Kc needs to be derived from the new UTRAN PS key parameters CK and IK (i.e. CK" and IK"), which is part of the new UMTS security context as well, as any old GPRS Kc stored on USIM and in ME, belongs to an old UMTS security context and can no longer be taken into use.

After HO from E-UTRAN to UTRAN the current EPS NAS security context shall (if it is kept) be considered as the current one in E-UTRAN in the UE and the MME.

MME shall also provide at least the 4 LSB of the selected NAS downlink COUNT value to the source eNB, which then shall include the bits in the MobilityFromE-UTRANCommand to the UE. The UE shall use the received 4 LSB and its stored NAS downlink COUNT to estimate the NAS downlink COUNT selected by the MME.

NOTE 4: It is left to the implementation how to estimate the NAS downlink COUNT.

The UE shall ensure that the estimated NAS downlink COUNT has not been used to calculate a CK' and IK' in a previous successful or unsuccessful PS or SRVCC handover. If the estimated NAS downlink COUNT is greater than all the estimated NAS downlink COUNTs either used by the UE for key derivation in a handover or received in a NAS message that passed its integrity check, the UE shall update its stored NAS downlink COUNT as though it has successfully integrity checked a NAS message with that estimated NAS downlink COUNT. In particular, the stored NAS downlink COUNT shall never be decreased.

MME shall transfer the UE security capabilities to the SGSN. The selection of the algorithms in the target system proceeds as described in TS 33.102 [4] for UTRAN.

If the handover is not completed successfully, the new mapped UMTS security context can not be used in the future. The SGSN shall delete the new mapped UMTS security context and the stored UMTS security context which has the same KSI as the new mapped UMTS security context.

9.2.2 From UTRAN to E-UTRAN

9.2.2.1 Procedure

The procedure for handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN, as far as relevant for security, proceeds in the following two consecutive steps:

A) Handover signalling using the mapped EPS security context (cf. also Figure 9.2.2.1-1);

B) Subsequent NAS signalling to determine whether a native EPS security context is taken in use (not shown in Figure).

The activation of NAS and AS security in E-UTRAN, and selection of the key set from the source system for the handover shall be according to following principles:

i) As described for inter-SGSN PS handover cases in TS 33.102 [4], the source SGSN shall select the key set most recently generated (either by a successful UMTS AKA run in UTRAN (which may or may not yet have been taken into use by the UE and SGSN) or a UMTS security context mapped from an EPS security context during a previous visit to UTRAN) and transfer this key set to the MME in the Forward Relocation Request.

NOTE 0: The MME is considered as a target SGSN in case of Gn/Gp interface.

ii) Activation of AS security (for details cf. TS 36.331 [21]):

The E-UTRAN HO command received at the UE shall activate AS security.

The HO Complete received at the eNB shall activate AS security.

iii) Activation of NAS security (for details cf. TS 24.301 [9]):

The E-UTRAN HO command received at the UE shall activate NAS security.

The HO Notify received at the MME shall activate NAS security. In case the MME does not have the UE security capabilities stored from a previous visit, then no NAS message shall be sent or accepted by the MME other than a TAU request before a successful check of the UE security capabilities in the TAU request was performed by the MME.

iv) Both AS and NAS ciphering and integrity protection algorithms shall be selected according to the policy of the target PLMN.

The above four principles consequentially always activate ciphering (potentially NULL ciphering) in E-UTRAN even if it was not active in the source system.




Figure 9.2.2.1-1: Handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN

A) Handover signalling in case of successful handover

Before attempting a handover for a UE, the source RNC may check if the UE is authenticated using UMTS AKA. If the UE is not authenticated using UMTS AKA and the UE does not have an ongoing emergency call, then the source RNC may decide not to perform a handover to E-UTRAN (to avoid triggering unnecessary handover attempts to E-UTRAN which will be rejected by the target MME). The check can be performed by analysing the active CK and IK as follows:

- If the 64 most significant bits of the CK are not identical to the 64 least significant bits of the CK, the RNC can deduce that the UE was authenticated via UMTS AKA. (The bits are identical if the CK is derived from a Kc via the c4 key conversion function [4], and it is very unlikely that they are equal for a CK derived from UMTS AKA.)
- If the 64 most significant bits of the CK are identical to the 64 list significant bits of the CK, the RNC can further check if the IK fulfils the equation given by the c5 key conversion function [4]. If the IK does not fulfil this equation, the RNC can deduce that the UE was authenticated with UMTS AKA, and if the IK does, then the RNC can deduce that the UE was authenticated using GSM AKA.

If the source RNC does not conclude that the UE is authenticated using UMTS AKA, the source RNC may select an appropriate network for the UE at the handover decision stage and may send a Relocation Required message to the SGSN. This message does not contain any security-relevant parameters.

1. The SGSN shall transfer MM context (including CK and IK (or the Kc), KSI and the UE security capabilities) to MME in the Forward relocation request message. In case the MM context in the Forward relocation request message indicates GSM security mode(i.e., it contains a Kc), the MME shall abort the non-emergency call procedure. The UE security capabilities, including the UE EPS security capabilities, were sent by the UE to the SGSN via the UE Network Capability IE, in Attach Request and RAU Request. It is possible that an SGSN

does not forward the UE EPS security capabilities to the MME. When the MME does not receive UE EPS security capabilities from the SGSN, the MME shall assume that the following default set of EPS security algorithms is supported by the UE (and shall set the UE EPS security capabilities in the mapped EPS NAS security context according to this default set):

- a. EEA0, 128-EEA1 and 128-EEA2 for NAS signalling ciphering, RRC signalling ciphering and UP ciphering;
- b. 128-EIA1 and 128-EIA2 for NAS signalling integrity protection and RRC signalling integrity protection.
- NOTE 1: Subclauses 5.1.3.2 and 5.1.4.2 of this specification mandate the UE to support the default set of EPS security algorithms, so, for the Rel-8 version of this specification, the default set of EPS security algorithms includes all security algorithms standardised for EPS. The notion of default set of EPS security algorithms is introduced here in order to make this specification future-proof as more security algorithms may be standardised for EPS in future releases.
- NOTE 1a: If the UE has an unauthenticated IMS Emergency Service without integrity protection ongoing before the IRAT handover to LTE, the SGSN must be Rel-9 + and thus be able to forward the UE EPS security capabilities including EIA0 to the MME. In this case the MME would select EIA0 algorithm.
- 2. The MME shall create a NONCE_{MME} to be used in the K'_{ASME} derivation (see clause A.10 for requirements on the randomness of NONCE_{MME}). MME shall derive K'_{ASME} from CK and IK with the help of a one-way key derivation function as defined in Annex A and associate it with a Key Set Identifier KSI_{SGSN}. The value field of the KSI_{SGSN} shall be derived by assigning the KSI corresponding to the set of keys most recently generated (either by a successful UMTS AKA run in UTRAN (which may or may not yet have been taken into use by the UE and the SGSN) or a UMTS security context mapped from an EPS security context during a previous visit in UTRAN). MME shall derive K_{eNB} from K'_{ASME}. The uplink and downlink NAS COUNT values for the mapped EPS security context shall be set to start value (i.e. 0) in the UE and the MME.
- 3. MME shall select the NAS security algorithms (including ciphering and integrity protection) which have the highest priority from its configured list and are also present in the UE EPS security capabilities, MME shall derive the NAS keys from K'_{ASME} using the algorithm types and algorithm IDs as input to the NAS key derivation functions(see Annex A.7), MME shall include KSI_{SGSN}, NONCE_{MME}, the selected NAS security algorithms in the NAS Security Transparent Container IE of S1 HO Request message to the target eNB. MME further shall include K_{eNB} and the UE EPS security capabilities, either the capabilities received from the SGSN or, in the absence of these, the default set of EPS security algorithms, in the S1 HO Request message to the target eNB.
- 4. The target eNB shall select the AS algorithms (including ciphering for both RRC and UP, and integrity protection for RRC) which have the highest priority from its configured list and is also present in the UE EPS security capabilities. The target eNB shall create a transparent container (RRCConnectionReconfiguration) including the selected RRC, UP algorithms and the NAS Security Transparent Container IE, and send it in the S1 HO Request Ack message towards the MME.
- NOTE 2: This transparent container is not protected by the target eNB.
- 5. MME shall include the transparent container received from the target eNB in the FW Relocation Response message sent to SGSN.
- 6. SGSN shall include the transparent container in the relocation command sent to the RNC.
- 7. The RNC shall include the transparent container in the UTRAN HO command sent to the UE.
- NOTE 3: The UTRAN HO command is integrity protected and optionally ciphered as specified by TS 33.102 [4].
- 8. The UE shall derive K'_{ASME} in the same way the MME did in step 2, associate it with KSI_{SGSN} and derive NAS, RRC and UP keys accordingly. The UE shall send a RRCConnectionReconfiguration Complete messages to the eNB. The uplink and downlink NAS COUNT values for the mapped EPS security context shall be set to start value (i.e. 0) in the UE and the MME.
- 9. The mapped EPS security context shall become the current (cf. subclause 3.1) EPS security context at AS and NAS level and overwrite any existing current mapped EPS security context. If the current EPS security context is of type native, then it shall become the non-current native EPS security context and overwrite any existing

non-current EPS security context. The HO Complete messages and all following AS messages in E-UTRAN shall be ciphered and integrity protected according to the policy of the target PLMN.

If the handover is not completed successfully, the new mapped EPS security context can not be used in the future. The MME shall delete the new mapped EPS security context.

B) Subsequent NAS signalling

In order to prevent that successful bidding down on the UE security capabilities in a previous RAT have an effect on the selection of EPS security algorithm for NAS and AS, the UE security capabilities shall be included in the TAU request after IRAT-HO and be verified by the MME.

NOTE 4: Any TAU request following the handover will be integrity protected. Details are described in subclause 9.2.2.1

In any case UE security capability information received from the UE overwrites any capabilities received with the context transfer as specified in TS 23.401 [2].

It can happen that the MME receives different UE EPS security capabilities in the TAU Request from the already stored UE EPS security capabilities in MME (received from the source SGSN or the default UE EPS security capabilities when MME uses the default set of EPS security algorithms for the UE according to A) step 1 above). If it happens, the MME shall perform as follows:

- In case the TAU Request contains a higher priority NAS algorithm (according to the priority list stored in the MME), the MME run a NAS security mode command procedure to change the NAS algorithms according to subclause 7.2.4.4.
- MME shall send an S1 CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST message to inform the eNB about the correct UE EPS security capabilities.

The eNB shall trigger a change of AS algorithms if the received UE EPS security capabilities from the S1 CONTEXT MODIFICATION REQUEST message would contain higher priority AS algorithm (according to the priority list stored in the eNB).

- 1. If the MME has native security context for the UE and does not receive a TAU request within a certain period after the HO it shall assume that UE and MME share a native security context.
- NOTE 5: A TAU procedure following handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN is mandatory if the Tracking Area has changed, but optional otherwise, cf. TS 23.401[2].
- 2. When the UE sends a TAU request it shall protect the request using the mapped EPS security context identified by KSI_{SGSN}. The UE shall also include KSI_{ASME} in the TAU request if and only if it has native EPS security context. The KSI_{ASME} shall be accompanied by a GUTI. When the MME receives a TAU request with a KSI_{ASME} and GUTI corresponding to the non-current native EPS security context stored on that MME it knows that UE and MME share a non-current native EPS security context.
- 3. Void
- 4. When the MME receives a TAU request without a KSI_{ASME} it shall delete any non-current native EPS security context for any GUTI it may have for the user who sent the TAU request.
- 5. If the MME shares the non-current native EPS security context indexed by the KSI_{ASME} and GUTI from the TAU Request with the UE, the MME may run a NAS security mode command procedure with the UE to activate the non-current native EPS NAS security context according to clause 7.2.9.4. The MME may in addition change the K_{eNB} on the fly according to clause 7.2.9.2. In case the GUTI received in the TAU Request message pointed to a different MME, the allocation of a new GUTI, replacing the received GUTI, and the association of this new GUTI with KSI $_{ASME}$ is required.
- 6. Void.
- NOTE 6: The TAU Request is integrity protected with the mapped EPS security context even if the UE and the MME share a non-current native EPS security context since the UE cannot know for sure if the MME still has the non-current native EPS security context at the time of sending the TAU Request.
- 7. When the MME knows, after having completed the TAU procedure in the preceding steps, that it shares a non-current native EPS security context with the UE, the MME may (depending on configured policy and if the

MME did not do it already in step 5) activate this non-current native EPS security context. This activation may occur in three ways:

- a. When the UE has cryptographically protected radio bearers established: the MME shall initiate a key change on the fly procedure according to subclause 7.2.9 for the entire EPS key hierarchy.
- b. After the next transition to ECM-IDLE state following the handover from UTRAN: Upon receiving the first message from the UE after the UE has gone to ECM-IDLE state the MME shall use the procedures defined in subclauses 7.2.4.4 and 7.2.4.5 to activate the non-current native EPS security context if such exists.
- c. At the next transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED (see clause 7.2.5.1).
- 8. If a non-current native EPS security context has been established, then the UE and the MME shall delete the mapped EPS security context and set the non-cur rent native EPS security context to the current EPS security context.
- NOTE 7: The run of a NAS SMC procedure ensures that the uplink NAS COUNT has increased since the last time a K_{eNB} was derived from the K_{ASME} .
- NOTE 8: For the handling of native and mapped EPS NAS security contexts after a state transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED cf. subclause 7.2.5.1.

9.2.2.2 Derivation of NAS keys and K_{eNB} during Handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN

MME and UE shall derive the NAS keys from the mapped key K'ASME as specified in Annex A.

The MME and UE shall derive K_{eNB} by applying the KDF defined in Annex A.3 ED transition using the mapped key K'_{ASME} and 2^{32} -1 as the value of the uplink NAS COUNT parameter.

NOTE: The MME and UE only uses the 2^{32} -1 as the value of the uplink NAS COUNT for the purpose of deriving K_{eNB} and do not actually set the uplink NAS COUNT to 2^{32} -1. The reason for choosing such a value not in the normal NAS COUNT range, i.e., $[0, 2^{24}$ -1] is to avoid any possibility that the value may be used to derive the same K_{eNB} again.

9.3 Recommendations on AKA at IRAT-mobility to E-UTRAN

After a handover from GERAN or UTRAN into E-UTRAN, it is strongly recommended to run an AKA and perform a key change on-the-fly of the entire key hierarchy as soon as possible after the handover if there is no native security context in E-UTRAN.

When a UE moves in IDLE mode from GERAN or UTRAN into E-UTRAN, it is strongly recommended to run an AKA if there is no native security context in E-UTRAN, either after the TAU procedure that establishes an EPS security context in the MME and UE, or when the UE establishes cryptographically protected radio bearers.

9.4 Attach procedures

9.4.1 Attach in UTRAN

This subclause covers the case that the UE include a mapped GUTI into the 'old P-TMSI' Information Element of the Attach Request.

NOTE 1: TS 23.060 states conditions under which a valid P-TMSI or a P-TMSI that is mapped from a valid GUTI ('mapped GUTI') is inserted in the Information Element 'old P-TMSI' in the Attach Request.

If the UE has a current EPS NAS security context, it shall include a truncated NAS-token, as defined in subclause 9.1.1, into the P-TMSI signature IE of the Attach Request. It shall also include the KSI equal to the value of the eKSI associated with the current EPS security context.

If the UE does not have a current EPS NAS security context, the UE shall set the truncated NAS-token to all zero and the KSI to "111" to indicate the UE has no keys available.

The SGSN shall forward the P-TMSI signature including the truncated NAS-token to the old MME. The MME may check a non-zero NAS-token as described in subclause 9.1.1. If successful, the MME responds with an Identification Response to the SGSN. If unsuccessful the MME responds with an appropriate error cause which should initiate the security functions in the SGSN.

If P-TMSI Signature includes an all zero NAS-token or the MME chooses not to check the NAS-token, the MME may respond with an Identification Request that does not include keys.

If needed, the MME and UE shall derive CK' and IK' from the K_{ASME} as in subclause 9.1.1. Keys CK' and IK' and KSI sent from the MME shall replace all the UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK and KSI in the target SGSN if any. Keys CK' and IK' and the KSI shall replace all the currently stored UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, KSI values on both the USIM and ME. The handling of START_{PS} shall comply with the rules in 3GPP TS 25.331 [24]. The UE may set the START_{PS} value to 0 if it is done before establishment of the RRC connection.

The ME shall use CK" and IK" to derive the GPRS Kc using the c3 function specified in 3GPP TS 33.102 [4]. The ME shall assign the eKSI value (associated with CK" and IK") to the GPRS CKSN. The ME shall update the USIM and ME with the GPRS Kc and GPRS CKSN.

NOTE 2: Due to replacing all the UTRAN PS key parameters CK, IK, KSI with CK", IK" and eKSI on USIM and in ME, a new GPRS Kc needs to be derived from the new UTRAN PS key parameters CK and IK (i.e. CK" and IK"), which is part of the new UMTS security context as well, as any old GPRS Kc stored on USIM and in ME, belongs to an old UMTS security context and can no longer be taken into use.

10 Security interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN

10.1 General

An SGSN supporting interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN is capable of handling UMTS security contexts and supports the key conversion function c3 specified in TS 33.102 [4]. Such a SGSN is, according to TS 33.102, required to ensure that the UE is authenticated using UMTS AKA, if the UE supports UMTS AKA. Furthermore, the UE must have a USIM to be able to access EPS, except for unauthenticated emergency calls if allowed by regulations. Hence, UMTS AKA is used when the UE is authenticated to the SGSN supporting interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN even when attached to GERAN, and UMTS security contexts are available. The security procedures for interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN are therefore quite similar to those between E-UTRAN and UTRAN.

10.2 RAU and TAU procedures

10.2.1 RAU procedures in GERAN

This subclause covers both the cases of idle mode mobility from E-UTRAN to GERAN and of Idle Mode Signaling Reduction, as defined in TS 23.401 [2].

As the target SGSN and UE are capable of handling UMTS security contexts clause 9.1.1 applies here with the following changes

- the target SGSN shall derive GPRS cipher key Kc from CK" and IK" with the help of the key conversion function c3 defined by TS 33.102 [4], and the target SGSN and UE shall derive GPRS Kc_{128} as defined by TS 33.102 [4] from CK' and IK' when the new encryption algorithm selected by the target SGSN requires Kc_{128} ; the target SGSN and UE shall assign the eKSI value (associated with the CK" and IK") to the GPRS CKSN associated with the GPRS Kc_{128} .
- the target SGSN shall select the encryption algorithm to use in GERAN.

10.2.2 TAU procedures in E-UTRAN

This subclause covers both the cases of idle mode mobility from GERAN to E-UTRAN and of Idle Mode Signaling Reduction, as defined in TS 23.401 [2].

As the SGSN shares a UMTS security context with the UE clause 9.1.2 applies here without changes.

10.3 Handover

10.3.1 From E-UTRAN to GERAN

As the target SGSN and the UE are capable of handling UMTS security contexts clause 9. 2.1 applies here with the following changes:

- the target SGSN shall derive GPRS cipher key Kc from CK' and IK' with the help of the key conversion function c3 as defined by TS 33.102 [4], and target SGSN and UE shall derive GPRS Kc_{128} as defined by TS 33.102 [4] from CK' and IK' when the new encryption algorithm selected by the target SGSN requires Kc_{128} . The target SGSN and UE shall assign the eKSI value (associated with the CK" and IK") to the GPRS CKSN associated with the GPRS Kc_{128} .
- the target SGSN shall select the encryption algorithm to use in GERAN after handover.
- Whether ciphering is considered active in the target GERAN after handover from E-UTRAN shall be determined according to the principles for handover to GERAN in TS 44.060 [25].

10.3.2 From GERAN to E-UTRAN

10.3.2.1 Procedures

As the SGSN shares a UMTS security context with the UE clause 9.2.2 applies here without changes.

10.4 Recommendations on AKA at IRAT-mobility to E-UTRAN

See recommendation provided by subclause 9.3.

10.5 Attach procedures

10.5.1 Attach in GERAN

As the SGSN is capable of handling UMTS security contexts clause 9.1.1 applies here with the following changes

- the SGSN and UE shall derive GSM cipher key Kc as defined by TS 33.102 [4] from CK' and IK', and the SGSN and UE shall derive Kc_{128} as defined by TS 33.102 [4] from CK' and IK' when the new encryption algorithm selected by the target SGSN requires Kc_{128} ;
- SGSN shall select the encryption algorithm to use in GERAN.

11 Network Domain Control Plane protection

The protection of IP based control plane signalling for EPS and E-UTRAN shall be done according to NDS/IP as specified in TS 33.210 [5]. S3, S6a and S10 interfaces carry subscriber specific sensitive data, e.g. cryptographic keys. Thus in addition to the mandatory integrity protection according to NDS/IP, traffic on these interfaces shall be confidentiality-protected according to NDS/IP.

In order to protect the S1 and X2 control plane as required by clause 5.3.4a, it is required to implement IPsec ESP according to RFC 4303 [7] as specified by TS 33.210 [5]. For both S1-MME and X2-C, IKEv2 certificates based authentication according to TS 33.310 [6] shall be implemented. For S1-MME and X2-C, tunnel mode IPsec is mandatory to implement on the eNB. On the core network side a SEG may be used to terminate the IPsec tunnel.

NOTE 1: In case control plane interfaces are trusted (e.g. physically protected), there is no need to use protection according to TS 33.210 [5] and TS 33.310 [6].

Transport mode IPsec is optional for implementation on the X2-C and S1-MME.

NOTE 2: Transport mode can be used for reducing the protocol overhead added by IPsec.

Other 3GPP specifications may specify other IKEv2 and certificate profiles and IPsec implementation details for specific types of eNBs. The provisions in such other 3GPP specifications shall take precedence over the provisions in the present clause for those specific eNB types only if explicitly listed here. In particular, the provisions for HeNBs specified in TS 33.320 [27] shall take precedence over the provisions in this clause.

12 Backhaul link user plane protection

The protection of user plane data between the eNB and the UE by user specific security associations is covered by clause 5.1.3 and 5.1.4.

In order to protect the S1 and X2 user plane as required by clause 5.3.4, it is required to implement IPsec ESP according to RFC 4303 [7] as profiled by TS 33.210 [5], with confidentiality, integrity and replay protection.

On the X2-U and S1-U, transport mode IPsec is optional for implementation.

NOTE 1: Transport mode can be used for reducing the protocol overhead added by IPsec.

Tunnel mode IPsec is mandatory to implement on the eNB for X2-U and S1-U. On the core network side a SEG may be used to terminate the IPsec tunnel..

For both S1 and X2 user plane, IKEv2 with certificates based authentication shall be implemented. The certificates shall be implemented according to the profile described by TS 33.310 [6]. IKEv2 shall be implemented conforming to the IKEv2 profile described in TS 33.310 [6]. Other 3GPP specifications may specify other IKEv2 and certificate profiles and IPsec implementation details for specific types of eNBs. The provisions in such other 3GPP specifications shall take precedence over the provisions in the present clause for those specific eNB types only if explicitly listed here. In particular, the provisions for HeNBs specified in TS 33.320 [27] shall take precedence over the provisions in this clause.

NOTE 2: In case S1 and X2 user plane interfaces are trusted (e.g. physically protected), the use of IPsec/IKEv2 based protection is not needed.

13 Management plane protection over the S1 interface

For the management plane protection of relay nodes the provisions in clause D.2.5 apply instead of the provisions given in this clause.

For management plane protection the requirements in clause 5.3.2 apply.

In order to achieve such protection, IPsec ESP according to RFC 4303 [7] as profiled by TS 33.210 [5] shall be implemented for all O&M related traffic, i.e. the management plane, with confidentiality, integrity and replay protection.

Tunnel mode IPsec shall be implemented on the eNB for supporting the management plane. On the core network side a SEG may be used to terminate the IPsec tunnel. If no SEG is used, the IPsec tunnel may be terminated in the element manager.

For the management plane, IKEv2 with certificates based authentication shall be implemented on the eNB. The certificates shall be implemented according to the profile described by TS 33.310 [6]. IKEv2 shall be implemented conforming to the IKEv2 profile described in TS 33.310 [6]. Other 3GPP specifications may specify other IKEv2 and certificate profiles and IPsec implementation details for specific types of eNBs.

Other 3GPP specifications may specify other security mechanisms and certificate profiles for specific types of eNBs for the case when the management traffic is not carried over the same backhaul link as S1 traffic. If other security mechanisms are specified, they shall provide mutual authentication based on certificates, as well as confidentiality, integrity and replay protection. These functions shall have at least equal strength as that provided by the use of IKEv2/IPsec.

The provisions in such other 3GPP specifications shall take precedence over the provisions in the present clause for those specific eNB types only if explicitly listed here. In particular, the provisions for HeNBs specified in TS 33.320 [27] shall take precedence over the provisions in this clause.

NOTE 1: X2 does not carry management plane traffic.

NOTE 2: In case the S1 management plane interfaces are trusted (e.g. physically protected), the use of protection based on IPsec/IKEv2 or equivalent mechanisms is not needed

14 SRVCC between E-UTRAN and Circuit Switched UTRAN/GERAN

14.1 From E-UTRAN to Circuit Switched UTRAN/GERAN

Single Radio Voice Call Continuity (SRVCC) is specified in 3GPP TS 23.216 [22].

The MME shall select the current NAS downlink COUNT value to use in the handover and then increase the stored NAS downlink COUNT value by 1.

NOTE 0: Increasing the NAS downlink COUNT by 1 is to ensure that a fresh NAS downlink COUNT is used for any future purposes.

The MME and the UE shall derive a confidentiality key CK_{SRVCC} , and an integrity key IK_{SRVCC} from K_{ASME} of the current EPS security context and the selected NAS downlink COUNT with the help of a one-way key derivation function KDF as specified in Annex A.

The KDF returns a 256-bit output, where the 128 most significant bits are identified with CK_{SRVCC} and the 128 least significant bits are identified with IK_{SRVCC} .

The MME shall also provide the 4 LSB of the selected NAS downlink COUNT value to the source eNB, which then includes the bits to the HO Command to the UE. The UE shall use the received 4 LSB and its stored NAS downlink COUNT to estimate the NAS downlink COUNT selected by the MME.

NOTE 1: It is left to the implementation how to estimate the NAS downlink COUNT.

The UE shall ensure that the estimated NAS downlink COUNT has not been used to calculate a CK' and IK' in a previous successful or unsuccessful PS or SRVCC handover. If the estimated NAS downlink COUNT is greater than all the estimated NAS downlink COUNTs either used by the UE for key derivation in a handover or received in a NAS message that passed its integrity check, the UE shall update its stored NAS downlink COUNT as though it has successfully integrity checked a NAS message with that estimated NAS downlink COUNT. In particular, the stored NAS downlink COUNT shall never be decreased.

UE and MME shall assign the value of eKSI to KSI. MME shall transfer CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} with KSI and the UE security capability to the MSC server enhanced for SRVCC. The MSC server enhanced for SRVCC shall replace all the stored UTRAN CS key parameters CK, IK, KSI, if any, with CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} , KSI received from the MME when the SRVCC handover is successful. The UE shall replace all the stored UTRAN CS key parameters CK, IK, KSI, if any, with CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} , KSI in both ME and USIM. START_{CS} shall comply with the rules in 3GPP TS 25.331 [24].

The ME shall use CK_{SRVCC} and IK_{SRVCC} to derive the GSM CS Kc using the c3 function specified in 3GPP TS 33.102 [4]. The ME shall assign the eKSI value (associated with CK_{SRVCC} and IK_{SRVCC}) to the GSM CS CKSN (associated with the GSM CS Kc). The ME shall update the USIM and ME with the GSM CS Kc and GSM CS CKSN.

- NOTE 2: The new derived security context (including CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} , and KSI) replacing the stored values in the USIM is for allowing to reuse the derived security context without invoking the authentication procedure in subsequent connection set-ups, and also for avoiding that one KSI value indicates to two different key sets and consequently leads to security context desynchronization.
- NOTE 3: An operator concerned about the security of keys received from an E-UTRAN of another operator may want to enforce a policy in the MSC server enhanced for SRVCC to run a UMTS AKA as soon as possible after the handover. One example of ensuring this is the deletion of the mapped UMTS security context in the enhanced MSC server after the UE has left active state.
- NOTE 4: Due to replacing all the UTRAN CS key parameters CK, IK, KSI with CK_{SRVCC}, IK_{SRVCC} and KSI on USIM and in ME, a new GSM CS Kc needs to be derived from the new UTRAN CS key parameters CK and IK (i.e. CK_{SRVCC} and IK_{SRVCC}), which is part of the new UMTS security context as well, as any old GSM CS Kc stored on USIM and in ME, belongs to an old UMTS security context and can no longer be taken into use.

If the SRVCC is from E-UTRAN to GERAN, the above description in this section applies as well for the MME, the enhanced MSC server and the UE. The enhanced MSC server shall in addition derive GSM CS cipher key Kc from CK_{SRVCC} and IK_{SRVCC} with the help of the key conversion function c3 as specified in TS 33.102 [4], and assign the value of eKSI to GSM CS CKSN associated with the GSM CS Kc, and the target MSC server and UE shall compute the 128-bit GSM CS cipher key Kc_{128} as specified in TS 33.102 [4] when the new encryption algorithm selected by the target BSS requires Kc_{128} . The UE and the enhanced MSC Server shall assign the value of eKSI to GSM CS CKSN associated with the GSM CS Kc_{128} .

Non-voice bearers may be handed over during the SRVCC handover operation. For this case, key derivation for non-voice bearers is specified in clause 9.2.1 and 10.3.1 of the present specification. If non-voice bearers are not handed over during the SRVCC handover operation and if the UE subsequently resumes PS services in UTRAN/GERAN, key derivation for the PS domain is specified in clause 9.1.1 and 10.2.1 of the present specification.

If the SRVCC handover is not completed successfully, the new mapped CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} and KSI_{SRVCC} can not be used in the future. The MSC server enhanced for SRVCC shall delete the new mapped CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} and KSI_{SRVCC} and the stored parameters CK_{CS} and IK_{CS} which has the same KSI as the new mapped CK_{SRVCC} , IK_{SRVCC} (if such exist).

14.2 Emergency call in SRVCC

If the SRVCC is for an emergency call and the session in EUTRAN complies with clause 15.2.1, the security procedure in clause 14.1 shall be applied.

If the SRVCC is for an emergency call and the session in EUTRAN complies with clause 15.2.2, the security procedure in clause 14.1 shall not be applied, i.e., no key derivation is needed.

15 Security Aspects of IMS Emergency Session Handling

15.1 General

Support for IMS Emergency Sessions is defined in the TS 23.401 [2]. Limited service state of a UE is defined in TS 23.122 [26]. IMS Emergency Sessions can be made by normally attached UEs or UEs attached for EPS emergency bearer services. IMS Emergency Services can be authenticated or unauthenticated as defined in clauses below. It depends on the serving network policy if unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions are allowed. Any behaviour not explicitly specified as being special to IMS Emergency Sessions is handled in accordance to normal procedures.

The E-UTRAN Initial Attach procedure, with Attach Type "Emergency", is used by UEs that need to receive EPS emergency bearer services but cannot receive normal services from the network.

For an Initial Attach with Attach Type "Emergency" the UE includes the IMSI in the Attach request if the UE does not have a valid GUTI. The UE shall include the IMEI when the UE has no IMSI, no valid GUTI according to [2].

When involved in an Attach for EPS emergency bearer services the MME applies the parameters from MME Emergency Configuration Data for the EPS emergency bearer establishment. Any potentially stored IMSI related subscription data is ignored by the MME according to [2].

When involved in an Attach for EPS emergency bearer services the MME does not send any Notify Request to an HSS.

A UE attached for EPS emergency bearer services using NULL algorithms shall keep the NULL algorithms and corresponding NAS COUNTs when in EMM-IDLE mode so that it is reachable for subsequent IMS Emergency Sessions without the need to attach for EPS emergency bearer services again. The NULL algorithms shall be deselected and corresponding NAS COUNTs shall be removed when the UE goes to EMM-DEREGISTERED state or when another EPS NAS security context is activated.

The MME or UE shall always release any established non-emergency bearers, when the authentication fails in the UE or in the MME.

15.2 Security procedures and their applicability

15.2.1 Authenticated IMS Emergency Sessions

15.2.1.1 General

UEs that are not in limited service state, shall initiate normal initial attach when not already attached to receive EPS emergency bearer services.

The security mode control procedure shall be applied as part of EPS emergency bearer establishment as defined in TS 23.401 [2]. Thus, integrity protection (and optionally ciphering) shall be applied as for normal EPS bearers. If authentication fails for any reason, the handling of the EPS emergency bearer services shall be handled as specified in clauses 15.2.1 and 15.2.2 below. Once the IMS Emergency Session is in progress with NAS and AS integrity protection (and optionally ciphering) applied, failure of integrity checking or ciphering (for both NAS and AS) is an unusual circumstance and shall be treated as in the case of a normal EPS bearer.

15.2.1.2 UE and MME share a current security context

If the UE already has a current EPS security context and attempts to set up an IMS Emergency Session, the UE shall use this EPS security context to protect NAS, RRC and UP traffic. If the MME successfully validates a request for EPS emergency bearer services using the current EPS security context, the MME should accept this request. A request for EPS emergency bearer services is defined to be, for the purposes of this document, an Attach request message for EPS emergency bearer services or a PDN Connectivity request message for EPS emergency bearer services.

NOTE 1: It is defined in TS 23.401 [2] and TS 24.301 [9] how Attach requests and/or PDN Connectivity requests are used to set up EPS emergency bearer services.

If the authentication fails during a normal Attach procedure, or a Service request procedure, while the UE is in normal service mode, and the UE intends to set up an IMS Emergency Session, the UE shall retry by sending an Attach request for EPS emergency bearer services.

If the MME attempts to authenticate the UE after receiving a request for EPS emergency bearer services which was integrity protected by the current EPS NAS security context and the authentication failed and if the serving network policy does not allow unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions, the UE and MME shall proceed as for set up of normal EPS bearers as described in clause 6.1.1.

If the MME attempts to authenticate the UE after receiving a request for EPS emergency bearer services which was integrity protected by the current EPS NAS security context and the authentication failed and the serving network policy allows unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions, then the UE and the MME behaviours are described in the paragraph below.

If the authentication failure is detected in the UE or in the MME during an attach procedure for EPS emergency bearer services or a PDN connectivity request procedure for EPS emergency bearer services, and the related signalling messages were correctly integrity-protected by the current EPS security context, the set up of the EPS emergency bearers shall then proceed in one of two ways:

- a) The set-up proceeds according to clause 15.2.2. In this case, there is no need for the UE to re-attach, and the MME requests the use of the NULL ciphering and integrity algorithms in the same way as described in clause 15.2.2.2 for the case that UE and MME share no EPS security context.
- NOTE 2: If the authentication failure is detected in the MME then the UE is not aware of the failure in the MME, but still needs to be prepared, according to the conditions specified in TS 24.301, to accept a NAS SMC from the MME requesting the use of the NULL ciphering and integrity algorithms.
- b) Or else, if the serving network policy allows unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions and MME continues using the current security context, the use of the EPS emergency bearers may proceed as described below for the case of an AKA run while a PDN connection for emergency bearer services exists.
- NOTE 3: Regardless of if the authentication failed in the UE or in the MME, the MME can assume that the UE will accept that NULL integrity and ciphering algorithms are selected in the security mode control procedure.

If AKA is run while a PDN connection for emergency bearer services exists, the MME and UE shall behave as follows:

UE behavior:

- Upon successful authentication verification in the UE, the UE shall send RES to the MME.
- NOTE 4: If the authentication failure is detected in the MME, the UE is not aware of the failure in the MME if the MME continues to use the current security context with the UE. The UE consider itself to be in normal service, if it was normal attached before the PDN connectivity request procedure for EPS emergency bearer services was initiated, until the MME releases the non-emergency bearers established with the UE.
- Alternatively, upon authentication verification failure in the UE, the UE shall send an Authentication Failure message to the MME. The UE shall continue using the current EPS security context. If the UE receives a NAS security mode command selecting NULL integrity and ciphering algorithms, the UE shall accept this as long as the IMS Emergency session progresses.

MME behavior:

- If the serving network policy requires IMS Emergency Sessions to be authenticated, the MME shall, after the unsuccessful comparison of RES to XRES, i.e. AKA failure, proceed as if the request for EPS emergency bearers was a request for normal EPS bearer services. The MME should not send an Authentication Reject message if authentication failed in the MME and the serving network policy allows unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions. If the MME does not send an Authentication Reject message it shall continue using the current security context with the UE.
- After receiving both, the EC Indication and the Authentication Failure message, the MME shall continue using the current security context with the UE for establishing an EPS emergency bearer.

NOTE: In the case that NAS COUNT values are about to wrap around, and AKA fails, or if the MME is unable to fetch new authentication vectors, the handling of the EPS emergency beares are as described by TS 24.301 [9].

15.2.2 Unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions

15.2.2.1 General

Authentication may fail for a UE attached for EPS emergency bearer services just as for a UE attached for normal EPS bearer services when the UE tries to establish an IMS Emergency Session.

As defined in TS 23.401 [2] and as a serving network option, IMS Emergency Sessions may be established in limited service state without the network having to authenticate the UE or apply ciphering or integrity protection for either AS or NAS.

The following are the only identified cases where the "security procedure not applied" option may be used:

- a) Authentication is impossible because the USIM is absent;
- b) Authentication is impossible because the serving network cannot obtain authentication vectors due to a network failure;
- c) Authentication is impossible because the USIM is in limited service mode in the serving network (e.g. there is no roaming agreement or the IMSI is barred, etc.);
- d) Authentication is possible but the serving network cannot successfully authenticate the USIM.

If the ME receives a NAS SMC selecting EIA0 (NULL integrity) for integrity protection, and EEA0 (NULL ciphering) for encryption protection, then:

- the ME shall mark any stored native EPS NAS security context on the USIM /non-volatile ME memory as invalid; and
- the ME shall not update the USIM/non-volatile ME memory with the current EPS NAS security context.

These two rules override all other rules regarding updating the EPS NAS security context on the USIM/non-volatile ME memory, in this specification.

If EIA0 is used, and the NAS COUNT values wrap around, and a new K_{ASME} has not been established before the NAS COUNT wrap around, the NAS connection shall be kept.

NOTE: For unauthenticated emergency calls, EIA0, i.e., null integrity algorithm, is used for integrity protection. Additionally, as the NAS COUNT values are allowed to wrap around, the initialization of the NAS COUNT values are not crucial. Uplink and downlink NAS COUNT are incremented for NAS message that use EIA0, as for any other NAS messages.

Since a UE with a 2G SIM cannot be in authenticated via EPS AKA, it shall be considered by the MME to be unauthenticated in E-UTRAN. A UE with a 2G SIM shall at an IRAT handover to E-UTRAN when an IMS Emergency Service is active, be considered by the MME to be unauthenticated. In such a scenario, EIAO shall be used in E-UTRAN after handover if the target network policy allows unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions.

A handover from E-UTRAN to another RAT, of an unauthenticated IMS Emergency Session, shall result in an unauthenticated IMS Emergency Session or a circuit switched emergency call (depending on if it is a PS handover or SRVCC) in the other RAT.

15.2.2.2 UE and MME share no security context

If the MME attempts to authenticate the UE after receiving the EPS emergency bearer setup request and the authentication failed and if the serving network policy does not allow unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions, the UE and MME shall proceed as for normal EPS bearer setup requests as described in clause 6.1.1.

If the UE is not yet authenticated and while the UE is trying to setup an IMS Emergency Session, the authentication failed in the UE, the UE shall wait for a NAS SMC command to set up an unauthenticated emergency bearer. If the serving network policy supports unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions, only then the MME shall support

unauthenticated EPS emergency bearer setup. In this case, the behaviours of the UE and the MME are as described below.

The confluence of EPS emergency bearer setup and authentication failure means that the UE is considered by the MME and UE itself to be in LSM even though the UE could have been in normal service mode before the EPS emergency bearer setup.

UE behavior:

After sending EC Indication to the serving network the UE shall know of its own intent to establish an IMS Emergency Session.

- The UE will proceed as specified for the non-emergency case in clauses 6 and 7 of this specification except that the UE shall accept a NAS SMC selecting EEA0 and EIA0 algorithms from the MME.

NOTE: In case of authentication success the MME will send a NAS SMC selecting algorithms as defined in clause 7 of this specification, i.e. with a non-NULL integrity algorithm, and the UE will accept it.

MME behavior:

After receiving EC Indication from the UE, the MME knows of that UE"s intent to establish an IMS Emergency Session.

- If the MME cannot identify the subscriber, or cannot obtain authentication vectors, the MME shall send NAS SMC with NULL algorithms to the UE.
- After the unsuccessful comparison of RES to XRES, i.e. AKA failure, the MME shall send NAS SMC with NULL algorithms to the UE.
- After the receiving of both, the EC Indication and the Authentication Failure messages, the MME shall send NAS SMC with NULL algorithms to the UE.

If the serving network policy does not allow unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions, the MME shall reject the unauthenticated EPS emergency bearer setup request from the UE.

15.2.3 Void

15.2.4 Key generation procedures for unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions

15.2.4.1 General

An unauthenticated UE does not share a complete EPS NAS security context with the network. Since there has been no successful EPS AKA run, the UE and the MME does not share a K_{ASME} . When the UE and the MME does not share a K_{ASME} the only possibility for an MME that allows unauthenticated IMS Emergency Sessions is to run with the NULL integrity algorithm EIA0 and the NULL ciphering algorithm EEA0. These algorithms are not affected by the choice of key. Therefore the UE and the MME independently generate a K_{ASME} in an implementation defined way and populate the EPS NAS security context with this K_{ASME} to be used when activating an EPS NAS security context for which no successful EPS AKA run has been made. After this EPS NAS security context is activated all key derivations proceed as if they were based on a K_{ASME} generated from an EPS AKA run.

Even if no confidentiality or integrity protection is provided by EIA0 and EEA0, the UE and network treat the EPS security context with the independently generated K_{ASME} as if it contained a normally generated K_{ASME} and hence share an EPS security context (see TS 24.301[9]).

15.2.4.2 Handover

When UE attempts to make X2/S1 handover, UE and eNB derive and transfer the keys as normal to re-use the normal handover mechanism. Since the derived keys have no ability to affect the output of the NULL algorithms it is irrelevant that the network and the UE derive different keys. Furthermore, section 7.2.4a describes how the algorithm selection is handled for unauthenticated emergency call. This implies that source eNB will forward UE EPS security capability which contains EIA0 and EEA0 only to target eNB. So the target eNB can only select EIA0 for integrity protection and

EEA0 for confidential protection. If the UE does not receive any selection of new AS security algorithms during a intraeNB handover, the UE continues to use the same algorithms as before the handover (see TS 36.331 [21]).

NOTE: If the target eNB is a Rel-8 eNB, it can"t support EIA0 and EEA0. The handover will be rejected because of the failure of algorithm negotiation.

Annex A (normative): Key derivation functions

A.1 KDF interface and input parameter construction

A.1.1 General

All key derivations (including input parameter encoding) for EPS shall be performed using the key derivation function (KDF) specified in TS 33.220 [8]. This clause specifies how to construct the input string, S, to the KDF (which is input together with the relevant key). For each of the distinct usages of the KDF, the input parameters S are specified below.

A.1.2 FC value allocations

The FC number space used is controlled by TS 33.220 [8], FC values allocated for this specification are in range of 0x10 - 0x1F.

A.2 K_{ASME} derivation function

When deriving a K_{ASME} from CK, IK and SN id when producing authentication vectors, and when the UE computes K_{ASME} during AKA, the following parameters shall be used to form the input S to the KDF.

- FC = 0x10,
- P0 = SN id,
- L0 = length of SN id (i.e. 0x00 0x03),
- $P1 = SQN \oplus AK$
- L1 = length of SQN \oplus AK (i.e. 0x00 0x06)

The exclusive or of the Sequence Number (SQN) and the Anonymity Key (AK) is sent to the UE as a part of the Authentication Token (AUTN), see TS 33.102. If AK is not used, AK shall be treated in accordance with TS 33.102, i.e. as 000...0.

The SN id consists of MCC and MNC, and shall be encoded as an octet string according to Figure A.2-1.

| | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | |
|---|---|-----|---------|---|---|---------|---------|---|---------|
| Γ | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | MCC | digit 2 | | | octet 1 | | | |
| | | MNC | digit 3 | | | octet 2 | | | |
| | | MNC | digit 2 | | | MNC | digit 1 | | octet 3 |

Figure A.2-1 Encoding of SN id as an octet string

The coding of the digits of MCC and MNC shall be done according to TS 24.301 [9].

The input key Key shall be equal to the concatenation CK || IK of CK and IK.

A.3 K_{eNB} derivation function

When deriving a K_{eNB} from K_{ASME} and the uplink NAS COUNT in the UE and the MME the following parameters shall be used to form the input S to the KDF.

- FC = 0x11,
- P0 = Uplink NAS COUNT,
- L0 = length of uplink NAS COUNT (i.e. 0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be the 256-bit K_{ASME} .

This function is applied when cryptographically protected E-UTRAN radio bearers are established and when a key change on-the-fly is performed.

A.4 NH derivation function

When deriving a NH from K_{ASME} the following parameters shall be used to form the input S to the KDF.

- FC = 0x12
- P0 = SYNC-input
- L0 = length of SYNC-input (i.e. 0x00 0x20)

The SYNC-input parameter shall be the newly derived K_{eNB} for the initial NH derivation, and the previous NH for all subsequent derivations. This results in a NH chain, where the next NH is always fresh and derived from the previous NH.

The input key shall be the 256-bit K_{ASME} .

A.5 K_{eNB}* derivation function

When deriving a K_{eNB}^* from current K_{eNB} or from fresh NH and the target physical cell ID in the UE and eNB as specified in clause 7.2.8 for handover purposes the following parameters shall be used to form the input S to the KDF.

- FC = 0x13
- P0 = PCI (target physical cell id)
- L0 = length of PCI (i.e. 0x00 0x02)
- P1 = EARFCN-DL (target physical cell downlink frequency)
- L1 length of EARFCN-DL (i.e. 0x00 0x02)

The input key shall be the 256-bit NH when the index in the handover increases, otherwise the current 256-bit K_{eNB} .

A.6 Void

A.7 Algorithm key derivation functions

When deriving keys for NAS integrity and NAS encryption algorithms from K_{ASME} and algorithm types and algorithm IDs, and keys for RRC integrity, UP integrity in the case of relay nodes, and RRC/UP encryption algorithms from K_{eNB} , in the UE, MME and eNB the following parameters shall be used to form the string S.

- FC = 0x15
- P0 = algorithm type distinguisher
- L0 = length of algorithm type distinguisher (i.e. <math>0x00 0x01)
- P1 = algorithm identity
- L1 = length of algorithm identity (i.e. 0x00 0x01)

The algorithm type distinguisher shall be NAS-enc-alg for NAS encryption algorithms and NAS-int-alg for NAS integrity protection algorithms. The algorithm type distinguisher shall be RRC-enc-alg for RRC encryption algorithms, RRC-int-alg for RRC integrity protection algorithms, UP-enc-alg for UP encryption algorithms and, in the case of relay nodes, UP-int-alg for UP integrity protection algorithms (see table A.7-1). The values 0x07 to 0xf0 are reserved for future use, and the values 0xf1 to 0xff are reserved for private use.

Table A.7-1: Algorithm type distinguishers

| Algorithm distinguisher | Value |
|-------------------------|-------|
| NAS-enc-alg | 0x01 |
| NAS-int-alg | 0x02 |
| RRC-enc-alg | 0x03 |
| RRC-int-alg | 0x04 |
| UP-enc-alg | 0x05 |
| UP-int-alg | 0x06 |

The algorithm identity (as specified in clause 5) shall be put in the four least significant bits of the octet. The two least significant bits of the four most significant bits are reserved for future use, and the two most significant bits of the most significant nibble are reserved for private use. The entire four most significant bits shall be set to all zeros.

For NAS algorithm key derivations, the input key shall be the 256-bit K_{ASME} , and for UP and RRC algorithm key derivations, the input key shall be the 256-bit K_{eNB} .

For an algorithm key of length n bits, where n is less or equal to 256, the n least significant bits of the 256 bits of the KDF output shall be used as the algorithm key.

A.8 K_{ASME} to CK', IK' derivation at handover

This input string is used when there is a need to derive $CK' \parallel IK'$ from K_{ASME} during mapping of security contexts from E-UTRAN to GERAN/UTRAN at handover. K_{ASME} is a 256-bit entity, and so is the concatenation of CK and IK (which are 128 bits each). The following input parameters shall be used.

- FC = 0x16
- P0 = NAS downlink COUNT value
- L0 = length of NAS downlink COUNT value (i.e. 0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be K_{ASME}.

A.9 NAS token derivation for inter-RAT mobility

The NAS-token used to ensure that a RAU is originating from the correct UE during IDLE mode mobility from E-UTRAN to UTRAN and GERAN, shall use the following input parameters.

- FC = 0x17
- P0 = Uplink NAS COUNT
- L0 = length of uplink NAS COUNT (i.e. 0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be the 256-bit K_{ASME} .

A.10 K"_{ASME} from CK, IK derivation during handover

This input string is used when there is a need to derive a K'_{ASME} from concatenation of CK and IK and a NONCE_{MME} during mapping of security contexts between GERAN/UTRAN and E-UTRAN during handover to E-UTRAN.

K'_{ASME} is a 256-bit value. The NONCE_{MME} is a 32-bit value. The following input parameters shall be used.

- FC = 0x18
- $P0 = NONCE_{MME}$
- $L0 = length of NONCE_{MME}$ (i.e. 0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be the concatenation of CK || IK.

The generation of $NONCE_{MME}$ shall be sufficiently random such that both the probability of the MME generating equal values of $NONCE_{MME}$ and the probability of an attacker being able to predict future values of $NONCE_{MME}$ over the duration of practical eavesdropping attacks on a particular user are extremely low.

NOTE: A well-seeded strong PRNG would meet this requirement. A true RNG is not required.

A.11 K"_{ASME} from CK, IK derivation during idle mode mobility

This input string is used when there is a need to derive a K''_{ASME} from $CK \parallel IK$, $NONCE_{UE}$, and $NONCE_{MME}$ during mapping of security contexts from GERAN/UTRAN to E-UTRAN. K''_{ASME} is a 256-bit entity, and so is the concatenation of CK and IK (which are 128 bits each). The following input parameters shall be used, where NONCEs are 32 bits long.

- FC = 0x19,
- $P0 = NONCE_{UE}$
- $L0 = length of the NONCE_{UE}$ (i.e. 0x00 0x04)
- $P1 = NONCE_{MME}$
- L1 = length of the NONCE_{MME} (i.e. 0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be the concatenation of CK || IK.

The generation of $NONCE_{UE}$ shall be sufficiently random such that both the probability of the UE generating equal values of $NONCE_{UE}$ and the probability of an attacker being able to predict future values of $NONCE_{UE}$ over the duration of practical eavesdropping attacks on a particular user are extremely low.

NOTE: A well-seeded strong PRNG would meet this requirement. A true RNG is not required.

The generation of NONCE_{MME} shall be as defined in clause A.10.

A.12 K_{ASME} to CK_{SRVCC}, IK_{SRVCC} derivation

This input string is used when there is a need to derive CK_{SRVCC} || IK_{SRVCC} used in CS domain from K_{ASME} during mapping of security contexts between E-UTRAN and GERAN/UTRAN. K_{ASME} is a 256-bit element, and so is the concatenation of CK_{SRVCC} and IK_{SRVCC} (which are 128 bits each).

- FC = 0x1A
- P0 = NAS downlink COUNT value
- L0 = length of NAS downlink COUNT value (i.e. <math>0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be K_{ASME} .

A.13 K_{ASME} to CK', IK' derivation at idle mobility

This input string is used when there is a need to derive $CK' \parallel IK'$ from K_{ASME} during mapping of security contexts from E-UTRAN to GERAN/UTRAN at idle mobility. K_{ASME} is a 256-bit entity, and so is the concatenation of CK and IK (which are 128 bits each). The following input parameters shall be used.

- FC = 0x1B
- P0 = NAS uplink COUNT value
- L0 = length of NAS uplink COUNT value (i.e. <math>0x00 0x04)

The input key shall be K_{ASME}.

Annex B (normative): Algorithms for ciphering and integrity protection

B.0 Null ciphering and integrity protection algorithms

The EEA0 algorithm shall be implemented such that it has the same effect as if it generates a KEYSTREAM of all zeroes (see subclause B.1.1). The length of the KEYSTREAM generated shall be equal to the LENGTH input parameter. The generated KEYSTREAM requires no other input parameters but the LENGTH. Apart from this, all processing performed in association with ciphering shall be exactly the same as with any of the ciphering algorithms specified in this Annex.

The EIA0 algorithm shall be implemented in such way that it shall generate a 32 bit MAC-I/NAS-MAC and XMAC-I/XNAS-MAC of all zeroes (see subclause B.2.1). Replay protection shall not be activated when EIA0 is activated. All processing performed in association with integrity (except for replay protection) shall be exactly the same as with any of the integrity algorithms specified in this annex except that the receiver does not check the received MAC.

NOTE 1: The reason for mentioning the replay protection here is that replay protection is associated with integrity.

EIA0 shall be used only for emergency calling for unauthenticated UEs in LSM.

NOTE 2: a UE with a 2G SIM is considered to be in LSM in E-UTRAN.

NOTE 3: EEA0 and EIA0 provide no security.

B.1 128-bit ciphering algorithm

B.1.1 Inputs and outputs

The input parameters to the ciphering algorithm are a 128-bit cipher key named KEY, a 32-bit COUNT, a 5-bit bearer identity BEARER, the 1-bit direction of the transmission i.e. DIRECTION, and the length of the keystream required i.e. LENGTH. The DIRECTION bit shall be 0 for uplink and 1 for downlink.

Figure B.1-1 illustrates the use of the ciphering algorithm EEA to encrypt plaintext by applying a keystream using a bit per bit binary addition of the plaintext and the keystream. The plaintext may be recovered by generating the same keystream using the same input parameters and applying a bit per bit binary addition with the ciphertext.




Figure B.1-1: Ciphering of data

Based on the input parameters the algorithm generates the output keystream block KEYSTREAM which is used to encrypt the input plaintext block PLAINTEXT to produce the output ciphertext block CIPHERTEXT.

The input parameter LENGTH shall affect only the length of the KEYSTREAM BLOCK, not the actual bits in it.

B.1.2 128-EEA1

128-EEA1 is based on SNOW 3G and is identical to UEA2 as specified in [14]. The used IV is constructed the same way as in subclause 3.4 of that TS.

B.1.3 128-EEA2

128-EEA2 is based on 128-bit AES [15] in CTR mode [16]

The sequence of 128-bit counter blocks needed for CTR mode $T_1, T_2, ..., T_i, ...$ shall be constructed as follows:

The most significant 64 bits of T_1 consist of COUNT[0] .. COUNT[31] | BEARER[0] .. BEARER[4] | DIRECTION | 0^{26} (i.e. 26 zero bits). These are written from most significant on the left to least significant on the right, so for example COUNT[0] is the most significant bit of T_1 .

The least significant 64 bits of T_1 are all 0.

Subsequent counter blocks are then obtained by applying the standard integer incrementing function (according to Appendix B1 in [16]) mod 2^{64} to the least significant 64 bits of the previous counter block.

B.2 128-Bit integrity algorithm

B.2.1 Inputs and outputs

The input parameters to the integrity algorithm are a 128-bit integrity key named KEY, a 32-bit COUNT, a 5-bit bearer identity called BEARER, the 1-bit direction of the transmission i.e. DIRECTION, and the message itself i.e MESSAGE. The DIRECTION bit shall be 0 for uplink and 1 for downlink. The bit length of the MESSAGE is LENGTH.

Figure B.2-1 illustrates the use of the integrity algorithm EIA to authenticate the integrity of messages.




Figure B.2-1: Derivation of MAC-I/NAS-MAC (or XMAC-I/XNAS-MAC)

Based on these input parameters the sender computes a 32-bit message authentication code (MAC-I/NAS-MAC) using the integrity algorithm EIA. The message authentication code is then appended to the message when sent. For integrity protection algorithms other than EIA0 the receiver computes the expected message authentication code (XMAC-I/XNAS-MAC) on the message received in the same way as the sender computed its message authentication code on the message sent and verifies the data integrity of the message by comparing it to the received message authentication code, i.e. MAC-I/NAS-MAC.

B.2.2 128-EIA1

128-EIA1 is based on SNOW 3G and is implemented in the same way as UIA2 as specified in [14]. The used IV is constructed the same way as in subclause 4.4 of that TS, with the only difference being that FRESH [0], ... FRESH [31] shall be replaced by BEARER[0] ... BEARER[4] 0^{27} (i.e. 27 zero bits)

B.2.3 128-EIA2

128-EIA2 is based on 128-bit AES [15] in CMAC mode [17].

The bit length of MESSAGE is BLENGTH.

The input to CMAC mode is a bit string M of length Mlen (see [18, section 5.5]). M is constructed as follows:

 $M_0 ... M_{31} = COUNT[0] ... COUNT[31]$

 $M_{32} ... M_{36} = BEARER[0] ... BEARER[4]$

 $M_{37} = DIRECTION$

 $M_{38} ... M_{63} = 0^{26}$ (i.e. 26 zero bits)

 $M_{64} ... M_{BLENGTH+63} = MESSAGE[0] ... MESSAGE[BLENGTH-1]$

and so Mlen = BLENGTH + 64.

AES in CMAC mode is used with these inputs to produce a Message Authentication Code T (MACT) of length Tlen = 32. T is used directly as the 128-EIA2 output MACT[0] .. MACT[31], with MACT[0] being the most significant bit of T.

Annex C (informative): Algorithm test data

C.1 128-FFA2

This section includes six test data sets; all are presented in hex, while the first is also presented in binary. Some intermediate computational values are included to assist implementers in tracing bugs. Some notation is taken from the specification of CTR mode [16].

Bit ordering should be largely self explanatory, but in particular:

- The 5-bit BEARER is written in hex in a 'right aligned' form, i.e. as a two-hex-digit value in the range 00 to 1F inclusive, with BEARER [0] as the msb of the first digit.
- Similarly the single DIRECTION bit is written in hex in 'right aligned' form, i.e. the DIRECTION bit is the lsb of the hex digit.
- Where the length of plaintext and ciphertext is not a multiple of 32 bits, they are written in hex in a 'left aligned' form, i.e. the least significant few bits of the last word will be zero.

C.1.1 Test Set 1

```
= (hex) d3c5d592 327fb11c 4035c668 0af8c6d1
Key
      Key
           01000000 00110101 11000110 01101000 00001010 11111000 11000110 11010001
      = (hex) 398a59b4
Count
      = (bin) 00111001 10001010 01011001 10110100
Count
Bearer
      = (hex) 15
Bearer
      = (bin) 10101
Direction = (hex) 1
Direction = (bin) 1
      = 253 bits
Length
Plaintext = (hex) 981ba682 4c1bfb1a b4854720 29b71d80 8ce33e2c c3c0b5fc 1f3de8a6 dc66b1f0
00011111 00111101 11101000 10100110 11011100 01100110 10110001 11110
Counter block T1
           = (hex) 398a59b4 ac000000 00000000 00000000
Counter block T1
           Keystream block 1 = (hex) 71e57e24 710ea81e 6398b52b da5f3f94
Keystream block 1 = (bin) 01110001 11100101 01111110 00100100 01110001 00001110 10101000 00011110
                Counter block T2
          = (hex) 398a59b4 ac000000 00000000 00000001
```

C.1.2 Test Set 2

Key = 2bd6459f 82c440e0 952c4910 4805ff48

Count = c675a64b

Bearer = 0cDirection = 1

Length = 798 bits

Plaintext = 7ec61272 743bf161 4726446a 6c38ced1 66f6ca76 eb543004 4286346c ef130f92
922b0345 0d3a9975 e5bd2ea0 eb55ad8e 1b199e3e c4316020 e9a1b285 e7627953
59b7bdfd 39bef4b2 484583d5 afe082ae e638bf5f d5a60619 3901a08f 4ab41aab
9b134880

 Keystream block 1
 = 27a77221 27fdbabd e67d5d34 44bd9d78

 Counter block T2
 = c675a64b 64000000 000000000 00000001

 Keystream block 2
 = 7695ef70 3d743aa3 d242fc6a 268a0b5d

 Counter block T3
 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000002

 Keystream block 3
 = b66ecf15 b626681d 412b5dd3 a55db6d9

 Counter block T4
 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000003

 Keystream block 4
 = f83d506c 9df187ad a578c902 ee14296f

 Counter block T5
 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000004

 Keystream block 5
 = 50f44f36 635604e0 8ff25047 8c750516

 Counter block T6
 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000005

 Keystream block 6
 = 735839e3 7ebe8579 7be34641 08f730bc

 Counter block T7
 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000000

 Keystream block 7
 = 8b4f1b53 87da3277 a56f567d 8066fce2

Counter block T1 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000000

Ciphertext = 59616053 53c64bdc a15b195e 288553a9 10632506 d6200aa7 90c4c806 c99904cf
2445cc50 bb1cf168 a4967373 4e081b57 e324ce52 59c0e78d 4cd97b87 0976503c

0943f2cb 5ae8f052 c7b7d392 239587b8 956086bc ab188360 42e2e6ce 42432a17 105c53d0

C.1.3 Test Set 3

Key = 0a8b6bd8 d9b08b08 d64e32d1 817777fb

Count = 544d49cd

Bearer = 04Direction = 0

Length = 310 bits

Plaintext = fd40a41d 370a1f65 74509568 7d47ba1d 36d2349e 23f64439 2c8ea9c4 9d40c132

71aff264 d0f24800

Counter block T1 = 544d49cd 20000000 00000000 000000000

Keystream block 1 = 8835a92a 83b1bdc1 aa8ba14b 2691367b

Counter block T2 = 544d49cd 20000000 00000000 00000001

Keystream block 2 = 737eee32 87777c9a 9c4ad826 3a44db65

Counter block T3 = 544d49cd 20000000 00000000 00000002

Keystream block 3 = 158c20f6 a275b8f5 0e8ae073 997c58ed

Ciphertext = 75750d37 b4bba2a4 dedb3423 5bd68c66 45acdaac a48138a3 b0c471e2 a7041a57 6423d292 7287f000

C.1.4 Test Set 4

Key = aa1f95ae a533bcb3 2eb63bf5 2d8f831a

Count = 72d8c671

Bearer = 10

Direction = 1

Length = 1022 bits

Plaintext = fb1b96c5 c8badfb2 e8e8edfd e78e57f2 ad81e741 03fc430a 534dcc37 afcec70e

1517bb06 f27219da e49022dd c47a068d e4c9496a 951a6b09 edbdc864 c7adbd74
0ac50c02 2f3082ba fd22d781 97c5d508 b977bcal 3f32e652 e74ba728 576077ce

628c535e 87dc6077 ba07d290 68590c8c b5f1088e 082cfa0e c961302d 69cf3d44

```
      Keystream block 4
      = 20576f12
      1bca2154
      8dd17c7c
      19d93aff

      Counter block T5
      = 72d8c671
      84000000
      00000000
      000000004

      Keystream block 5
      = 90e7f4ed
      0669897e
      16751e7b
      6001c02c

      Counter block T6
      = 72d8c671
      84000000
      00000000
      00000005

      Keystream block 6
      = 11f20436
      a370d97d
      68c5a2ba
      fee7e5cf

      Counter block T7
      = 72d8c671
      84000000
      00000000
      00000006

      Keystream block 7
      = dcf3aa29
      fdca4acf
      aaf961b4
      d22dc84d

      Counter block T8
      = 72d8c671
      84000000
      00000000
      00000007

      Keystream block 8
      = e31145b7
      015ef36b
      f3a20e77
      36e2b523
```

Ciphertext = dfb440ac b3773549 efc04628 aeb8d815 6275230b dc690d94 b00d8d95 f28c4b56

307f60f4 ca55eba6 61ebba72 ac808fa8 c49e2678 8ed04a5d 606cb418 de74878b

9a22f8ef 29590bc4 eb57c9fa f7c41524 a885b897 9c423f2f 8f8e0592 a9879201

be7ff977 7a162ab8 10feb324 ba74c4c1 56e04d39 09720965 3ac33e5a 5f2d8864

C.1.5 Test Set 5

Key = 9618ae46 891f8657 8eebe90e f7a1202e

Count = c675a64b

Bearer = 0c
Direction = 1

Length = 1245 bits

Plaintext = 8daa17b1 ae050529 c6827f28 c0ef6a12 42e93f8b 314fb18a 77f790ae 049fedd6

12267fec aefc4501 74d76d9f 9aa7755a 30cd90a9 a5874bf4 8eaf70ee a3a62a25

0a8b6bd8 d9b08b08 d64e32d1 817777fb 544d49cd 49720e21 9dbf8bbe d33904e1

fd40a41d 370a1f65 74509568 7d47ba1d 36d2349e 23f64439 2c8ea9c4 9d40c132

71aff264 d0f24841 d6465f09 96ff84e6 5fc517c5 3efc3363 c38492a8

Keystream block 7 = 3264f90b 15a0e1f7 6b25f3ac 8891feef
Counter block T8 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000007
Keystream block 8 = c72e3a58 a72bf62a 65fadfe6 7f49e86f
Counter block T9 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000008
Keystream block 9 = 5650cdf1 b2c13995 4d522303 627993f9
Counter block T10 = c675a64b 64000000 00000000 00000009
Keystream block 10 = 7d081374 f517153b e1bafb97 3f9dd804

Ciphertext = 919c8c33 d6678970 3d05a0d7 ce82a2ae ac4ee76c 0f4da050 335e8a84 e7897ba5 df2f36bd 513e3d0c 8578c7a0 fcf043e0 3aa3a39f baad7d15 be074faa 5d9029f7 lfb457b6 47834714 b0e18f11 7fca1067 7945096c 8c5f326b a8d6095e b29c3e36 cf245d16 22aafe92 lf7566c4 f5d644f2 f1fc0ec6 84ddb213 49747622 e209295d

C.1.6 Test Set 6

Key = 54f4e2e0 4c83786e ec8fb5ab e8e36566

Count = aca4f50f

Bearer = 0bDirection = 0

Length = 3861 bits

 Plaintext
 = 40981ba6
 824c1bfb
 4286b299
 783daf44
 2c099f7a
 b0f58d5c
 8e46b104
 f08f0lb4

 1ab48547
 2029b71d
 36bd1a3d
 90dc3a41
 b46d5167
 2ac4c966
 3a2be063
 da4bc8d2

 808ce33e
 2cccbfc6
 34elb259
 060876a0
 fbb5a437
 ebcc8d31
 c19e4454
 318745e3

 fa16bb11
 adae2488
 79fe52db
 2543e53c
 f445d3d8
 28ce0bf5
 c560593d
 97278a59

 762dd0c2
 c9cd68d4
 496a7925
 08614014
 b13b6aa5
 1128c18c
 d6a90b87
 978c2ff1

 cabe7d9f
 898a411b
 fdb84f68
 f6727b14
 99cdd3od
 f0443ab4
 a6665333
 0bcba110

 5e4cec03
 4c73e605
 b4310eaa
 adcfd5b0
 ca27ffd8
 9d144df4
 79275942
 7c9cc1f8

 cd8c8720
 2364b8a6
 87954cb0
 5a8d4e2d
 99e73db1
 60deb180
 ad0841e9
 6741a5d5

 9fe4189f
 15420026
 fe4cd121
 04932fb3
 8f735340
 438aaf7e
 ca6fd5cf
 d3a195ce

 5abe6527
 2af607ad
 albe65a6
 b4c9c069

Counter block T1 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 000000000

Keystream block 1 = 1c2f37c8 5ecb94ee 2467b0ca d7fecb8d

Counter block T2 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000001

00b09000

Keystream block 2 = d65d92eb fd4cc1e2 6c336195 8c29aeb9 Counter block T3 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000002 Keystream block 3 = 6d1831a8 1b97ad6f 1d93ef80 8d97b46b Counter block T4 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000003 Keystream block 4 = 116f1fa6 124ee978 41e59943 748ddd5b Counter block T5 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000004 Keystream block 5 = dffad96b 48107b02 b6435c44 8df6bae4 Counter block T6 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000005 Keystream block 6 = 63590c08 50b9749a 929049fb 8f596a46 Counter block T7 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000006 Keystream block 7 = 734d3988 b6cc534d 501ea089 b83c9c5c Counter block T8 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000007 Keystream block 8 = 9facb4de 01a3e60f 58144b8b 81b206ec Counter block T9 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000008 Keystream block 9 = 15eba802 e1e8abd9 43840ee1 c9279262 Counter block T10 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000009 Keystream block 10 = e52928bf 91a5d242 1eb062cb e22178dfCounter block T11 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000a Keystream block 11 = 5129400b 020be828 8183657f ef5c59d6 Counter block T12 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000b Keystream block 12 = 9f52addc e66ecef8 78ce4453 3dae4917 Counter block T13 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000c Keystream block 13 = 900c24e3 91ee8591 685f3fbf 922e40ecCounter block T14 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000d Keystream block 14 = 8d884ac7 bb03a3f8 271cd7b3 dle9b515 Counter block T15 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000e Keystream block 15 = f9b25b07 60a82c6f 1774bd4d 7ccf1decCounter block T16 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000000f Keystream block 16 = e1399a88 a0604f6b 6097da9f b3ddb5c0 Counter block T17 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000010 Keystream block 17 = 561ad7cf f0798b74 fa971c1f e91517e6 Counter block T18 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000011 Keystream block 18 = 55cf8f89 08bb4c66 c87abd4a 8f2a0b9c Counter block T19 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000012 Keystream block 19 = f33ff05d 3bde2054 d904f3a9 a08e5172 Counter block T20 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000013 Keystream block 20 = 034f5c3d b6cdf0a6 6c078846 bc83c91c Counter block T21 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000014 Keystream block 21 = 6c0726d8 8353ed9d 3dbfa7b2 2687709d Counter block T22 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000015

Keystream block 22 = 74b698ea 0d1783ab d0df36fd c82cca6e Counter block T23 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000016 Keystream block 23 = 32348e64 fe86518e b5477cbb 97578dd2 Counter block T24 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000017 Keystream block 24 = 7bd4f7e2 173eb542 a047f1b0 1f3d008c Counter block T25 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000018 Keystream block 25 = 825fd522 f0e0b3b0 ccd4106d 39ddd88c Counter block T26 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 00000019 Keystream block 26 = f930dc26 db0e6bce d465d457 b82fe7c2Counter block T27 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000001a Keystream block 27 = bc90c3f4 abc1072d 0f74300c 13106527 Counter block T28 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000001b Keystream block 28 = 39da03e3 c5bf5152 b809045f ee778e01 Counter block T29 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000001c Keystream block 29 = 3b1f75fe 95c81280 c2165b65 cf3c5fae Counter block T30 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000001d Keystream block 30 = 385138f8 c9f7d62e 07f8e4df e379d08d Counter block T31 = aca4f50f 58000000 00000000 0000001e Keystream block 31 = 06c8b899 06c71bb9 2e834ee7 e81cd109

Ciphertext = 5cb72c6e dc878f15 66e10253 afc364c9 fa540d91 4db94cbe e275d091 7ca6af0d 77acb4ef 3bbela72 2b2ef5bd 1d4b8e2a a5024ec1 388a201e 7bce7920 aec61589 5f763a55 64dcc4c4 82a2eeld 8bfecc44 98eca83f bb75f9ab 530e0daf bede2fa5 895b8299 1b6277c5 29e0f252 9d7f7960 6be96706 296dedfa 9d7412b6 16958cb5 63c678c0 2825c30d 0aee77c4 c146d276 5412421a 808d13ce c819694c 75ad572e 9b973d94 8b81a933 7c3b2a17 192e22c2 069f7ed1 162af44c dea81760 3665e807 ce40c8e0 dd9d6394 dc6e3115 3fe1955c 47afb51f 2617ee0c 5e3b8ef1 ad7574ed 343edc27 43cc94c9 90e1f1fd 264253c1 78dea739 c0befeeb cd9f9b76 d49c1015 c9fecf50 e53b8b52 04dbcd3e ed863855 dabcdcc9 4b31e318 02156885 5c8b9e52 a981957a 112827f9 78ba960f 1447911b 317b5511 fbcc7fb1 3ac153db 74251117 e4861eb9 e83bffff c4eb7755 579038e5 7924b1f7 8b3e1ad9 0bab2a07 871b72db 5eef96c3 34044966 db0c37ca fd1a89e5 646a3580 eb6465f1 21dce9cb 88d85b96 cf23cccc d4280767 bee8eeb2 3d865246 1db64931 03003baf 89f5e182 61ea43c8 4a92ebff ffe4909d c46c5192 f825f770 600b9602 c557b5f8 b431a79d 45977dd9 c41b863d a9e142e9 0020cfd0 74d6927b 7ab3b672 5d1a6f3f 98b9c9da a8982aff 06782800

C.2 128-EIA2

This section includes eight test data sets; all are presented in hex, while the first is also presented in binary. Many intermediate computational values are included to assist implementers in tracing bugs. Some notation is taken from the specification of CMAC mode [17].

Bit ordering should be largely self explanatory, but in particular:

- The 5-bit BEARER is written in hex in a 'right aligned' form, i.e. as a two-hex-digit value in the range 00 to 1F inclusive, with BEARER [0] as the msb of the first digit.
- Similarly the single DIRECTION bit is written in hex in 'right aligned' form, i.e. the DIRECTION bit is the lsb of the hex digit.
- Where the length of the message, or of a message sub-block, is not a multiple of 32 bits, it is written in hex in a 'left aligned' form, i.e. the least significant few bits of the last word will be zero.

NOTE: This section provides both byte aligned and non byte aligned test data sets. For EPS implementation verification, byte alignment test data sets (2, 5 and 8) can be used, as EPS RRC and EPS NAS messages are byte aligned. The non byte aligned test data sets may be used to verify implementations that support non byte aligned messages.

C.2.1 Test Set 1

```
= (hex) 38a6f056
Count - I
     = (bin) 00111000 10100110 11110000 01010110
Count-I
     = (hex) 18
Bearer
Bearer
     = (bin) 11000
Direction = (hex) 0
Direction = (bin) 0
     = (hex) 2bd6459f 82c5b300 952c4910 4881ff48
     ΤK
          Length
     = 58 bits
     = (hex) 33323462 63393840
Message
     = (bin) 00110011 00110010 00110100 01100010 01100011 00111001 00111000 01
Message
CMAC(K, M):
Κ
     = (hex) 2bd6459f 82c5b300 952c4910 4881ff48
     = 122
Mlen
     = (hex) 38a6f056 c0000000 33323462 63393840
Μ
Μ
     00110011 00110010 00110100 01100010 01100011 00111001 00111000 01
```

Subkey Generation:

```
L = (hex) 6e426138 5adfc1fc b7c85f0c 469fb20c
```

MAC Generation:

Mn* = (hex) 38a6f056 c0000000 33323462 63393840 00110011 00110010 00110100 01100010 01100011 00111001 00111000 01 = (hex) 81af74b7 ab7f07f2 ec134853 7947f0d7 Mn Mn 11101100 00010011 01001000 01010011 01111001 01000111 11110000 11010111 = (hex) 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 C0 CO = (hex) 81af74b7 ab7f07f2 ec134853 7947f0d7 М1 М1 11101100 00010011 01001000 01010011 01111001 01000111 11110000 11010111 = (hex) 118c6eb8 b775144b 0b831110 54c96eb6 C1

MACT = (hex) 118c6eb8

MACT = (bin) 00010001 10001100 01101110 10111000

C.2.2 Test Set 2

Count-I = 398a59b4

Bearer = 1a

Direction = 1

IK = d3c5d592 327fb11c 4035c668 0af8c6d1

Length = 64 bits

Message = 484583d5 afe082ae

CMAC(K, M):

K = d3c5d592 327fb11c 4035c668 0af8c6d1

Mlen = 128

M = 398a59b4 d4000000 484583d5 afe082ae

Subkey Generation:

L = 9b71f299 132915d3 605211b5 e5df8632

K1 = 36e3e532 26522ba6 c0a4236b cbbf0ce3

K2 = 6dc7ca64 4ca4574d 814846d7 977e19c6

MAC Generation:

n = 1

MACT = b93787e6

C.2.3 Test Set 3

Count-I = 36af6144

Bearer = 18
Direction = 1

IK = 7e5e9443 le11d738 28d739cc 6ced4573

Length = 254 bits

Message = b3d3c917 0a4e1632 f60f8610 13d22d84 b726b6a2 78d802d1 eeaf1321 ba5929dc

CMAC(K, M):

K = 7e5e9443 1e11d738 28d739cc 6ced4573

Mlen = 318

M = 36af6144 c4000000 b3d3c917 0a4e1632 f60f8610 13d22d84 b726b6a2 78d802d1

eeaf1321 ba5929dc

Subkey Generation:

L = d78b4628 35781e79 d2255f8d 309a60ef

K1 = af168c50 6af03cf3 a44abf1a 6134c159

K2 = 5e2d18a0 d5e079e7 48957e34 c2698235

MAC Generation:

n = 3

Mn* = eeaf1321 ba5929dc

 Mn
 = b0820b81 6fb95039 48957e34 c2698235

 C0
 = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000

 M1
 = 36af6144 c4000000 b3d3c917 0a4e1632

 C1
 = 3bb0e1d8 2cb96273 64a7cfd3 a52eed15

 M2
 = f60f8610 13d22d84 b726b6a2 78d802d1

 C2
 = e3a6446d fae7f10f e3e3320d a8e49955

 M3
 = b0820b81 6fb95039 48957e34 c2698235

= 1f60b01d e05aa666 3bda32c6 1771e70b

MACT = 1f60b01d

C3

C.2.4 Test Set 4

Count-I = c7590ea9

Bearer = 17Direction = 0

IK = d3419be8 21087acd 02123a92 48033359

Length = 511 bits

Message = bbb05703 8809496b cff86d6f bc8ce5b1 35a06b16 6054f2d5 65be8ace 75dc851e

0bcdd8f0 7141c495 872fb5d8 c0c66a8b 6da55666 3e4e4612 05d84580 bee5bc7e

 ${\tt CMAC}\,({\tt K}\,,\,\,{\tt M})$:

K = d3419be8 21087acd 02123a92 48033359

Mlen = 575

M = c7590ea9 b8000000 bbb05703 8809496b cff86d6f bc8ce5b1 35a06b16 6054f2d5

65be8ace 75dc851e 0bcdd8f0 7141c495 872fb5d8 c0c66a8b 6da55666 3e4e4612

05d84580 bee5bc7e

Subkey Generation:

L = 054dd008 2d9ecd21 a3f32b0a a7369be4
K1 = 0a9ba010 5b3d9a43 47e65615 4e6d37c8
K2 = 15374020 b67b3486 8fccac2a 9cda6f90

MAC Generation:

n = 5

Mn* = 05d84580 bee5bc7e

= c7590ea9 b8000000 bbb05703 8809496b M1 C1 = cb36ed77 e49bd772 ac410f25 eea31084 M2 = cff86d6f bc8ce5b1 35a06b16 6054f2d5 = e44baf91 d48ba92c 542f3b14 a8a496d9 = 65be8ace 75dc851e 0bcdd8f0 7141c495 МЗ C3 = c3542869 eed00692 e3b4ef1a 6b324aaf = 872fb5d8 c0c66a8b 6da55666 3e4e4612 M4 = 5054d998 92675b0f 989d3b0f 3c043c4e = 10ef05a0 089e88f9 8fccac2a 9cda6f90 M5 = 6846a2f0 a0b6be7a 4fb26a15 7e914c53 C5

MACT = 6846a2f0

C.2.5 Test Set 5

Count-I = 36af6144

Bearer = 0f
Direction = 1

IK = 83fd23a2 44a74cf3 58da3019 f1722635

Length = 768 bits

Message = 35c68716 633c66fb 750c2668 65d53c11 ea05ble9 fa49c839 8d48e1ef a5909d39

47902837 f5ae96d5 a05bc8d6 lca8dbef lb13a4b4 abfe4fb1 006045b6 74bb5472

9304c382 be53a5af 05556176 f6eaa2ef 1d05e4b0 83181ee6 74cda5a4 85f74d7a

 ${\tt CMAC}\,({\tt K}\,,\,\,{\tt M})$:

K = 83fd23a2 44a74cf3 58da3019 f1722635

Mlen = 832

 $M = 36af6144 \ 7c000000 \ 35c68716 \ 633c66fb \ 750c2668 \ 65d53c11 \ ea05ble9 \ fa49c839$

8d48elef a5909d39 47902837 f5ae96d5 a05bc8d6 lca8dbef lbl3a4b4 abfe4fbl 006045b6 74bb5472 9304c382 be53a5af 05556176 f6eaa2ef ld05e4b0 8318lee6

74cda5a4 85f74d7a

Subkey Generation:

L = 9df61c57 3c86acac 704db9d5 b0dea444

K1 = 3bec38ae 790d5958 e09b73ab 61bd480f

K2 = 77d8715c f21ab2b1 c136e756 c37a901e

MAC Generation:

n = 7

Mn* = 74cda5a4 85f74d7a

Mn = 0315d4f8 77edffcb 4136e756 c37a901e C0 = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 М1 = 36af6144 7c000000 35c68716 633c66fb = 57c5a916 e19d7747 c2a69283 5eed0015 = 750c2668 65d53c11 ea05b1e9 fa49c839 M2 C2 = 7937651c b2c34e23 646b4396 f77bca0d М3 = 8d48e1ef a5909d39 47902837 f5ae96d5 = dfa3c570 d7b4dd08 2533b643 f82f646c = a05bc8d6 1ca8dbef 1b13a4b4 abfe4fb1 Μ4 = 7a8e64c0 eb34df52 e4236368 0f019ddd C4 M5 = 006045b6 74bb5472 9304c382 be53a5af = 3f5f08a2 5a6a8ba8 9a5dd816 626a26ef C5 = 05556176 f6eaa2ef 1d05e4b0 83181ee6 = 9fe7991a 50c5f542 e0bf0da0 9dec1456 C6 = 0315d4f8 77edffcb 4136e756 c37a901e = e657e182 5298f2fa ee2ca1e0 7373bc7e C7

MACT = e657e182

C.2.6 Test Set 6

Count-I = 36af6144

Bearer = 18

Direction = 0

IK = 6832a65c ff447362 lebdd4ba 26a921fe

Length = 383 bits

Message = d3c53839 62682071 77656676 20323837 63624098 1ba6824c 1bfb1ab4 85472029

b71d808c e33e2cc3 c0b5fc1f 3de8a6dc

 ${\tt CMAC}\,({\tt K}\,,\,\,{\tt M})$:

K = 6832a65c ff447362 lebdd4ba 26a921fe

Mlen = 447

M = 36af6144 c0000000 d3c53839 62682071 77656676 20323837 63624098 lba6824c

1bfblab4 85472029 b71d808c e33e2cc3 c0b5fc1f 3de8a6dc

Subkey Generation:

L = e50123c3 87e13fd6 8d8bf0d0 a4581685

K1 = ca024787 0fc27fad 1b17e1a1 48b02d8d

K2 = 94048f0e 1f84ff5a 362fc342 91605b9d

MAC Generation:

= c0b5fc1f 3de8a6dc Mn* = 54b17311 226c5987 362fc342 91605b9d = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 CO = 36af6144 c0000000 d3c53839 62682071 = 263dd98f beccb69a 428e92d4 21fbed9e C1 M2 = 77656676 20323837 63624098 1ba6824c C2 = 1838cb78 cb2d32dc ec486c79 d9007a19 = 1bfb1ab4 85472029 b71d808c e33e2cc3 М3 = 5ebf1009 f663be7b 68373072 4c20271f M4 = 54b17311 226c5987 362fc342 91605b9d

= f0668cle 4197300b 1243f834 25d06c25

MACT = f0668cle

C.2.7 Test Set 7

Count-I = 7827fab2

Bearer = 05
Direction = 1

IK = 5d0a80d8 134ae196 77824b67 1e838af4

Length = 2558 bits

 Message
 = 70dedf2d
 c42c5cbd
 3a96f8a0
 b11418b3
 608d5733
 604a2cd3
 6aabc70c
 e3193bb5

 153be2d3
 c06dfdb2
 d16e9c35
 7158be6a
 41d6b861
 e491db3f
 bfeb518e
 fcf048d7

 d5895373
 0ff30c9e
 c470ffcd
 663dc342
 01c36add
 c0111c35
 b38afee7
 cfdb582e

 3731f8b4
 baa8d1a8
 9c06e811
 99a97162
 27be344e
 fcb436dd
 d0f096c0
 64c3b5e2

 c399993f
 c77394f9
 e09720a8
 11850ef2
 3b2ee05d
 9e617360
 9d86e1c0
 c18ea51a

 012a00bb
 413b9cb8
 188a703c
 d6bae31c
 c67b34b1
 b00019e6
 a2b2a690
 f02671fe

 7c9ef8de
 c0094e53
 3763478d
 58d2c5f5
 b827a014
 8c5948a9
 6931acf8
 4f465a64

 e62ce740
 07e991e3
 7ea823fa
 0fb21923
 b79905b7
 33b631e6
 c7d6860a
 3831ac35

 1a9c730c
 52ff72d9
 d308eedb
 ab21fde1
 43a0ea17
 e23edc1f
 74cbb363
 8a2033aa

 a15464ea
 a733385d
 bbeb6fd7
 3509b857

CMAC(K, M):

K = 5d0a80d8 134ae196 77824b67 1e838af4

Mlen = 2622

M = 7827fab2 2c000000 70dedf2d c42c5cbd 3a96f8a0 b11418b3 608d5733 604a2cd3
6aabc70c e3193bb5 153be2d3 c06dfdb2 d16e9c35 7158be6a 41d6b861 e491db3f
bfeb518e fcf048d7 d5895373 0ff30c9e c470ffcd 663dc342 01c36add c0111c35

b38afee7 cfdb582e 3731f8b4 baa8d1a8 9c06e811 99a97162 27be344e fcb436dd d0f096c0 64c3b5e2 c399993f c77394f9 e09720a8 11850ef2 3b2ee05d 9e617360 9d86e1c0 c18ea51a 012a00bb 413b9cb8 188a703c d6bae31c c67b34b1 b00019e6 a2b2a690 f02671fe 7c9ef8de c0094e53 3763478d 58d2c5f5 b827a014 8c5948a9 6931acf8 4f465a64 e62ce740 07e991e3 7ea823fa 0fb21923 b79905b7 33b631e6 c7d6860a 3831ac35 la9c730c 52ff72d9 d308eedb ab21fde1 43a0ea17 e23edc1f 74cbb363 8a2033aa a15464ea a733385d bbeb6fd7 3509b857 e6a419dc a1d8907a f977fbac 4dfa35ec

Subkey Generation:

L = 9832e229 fbb93970 bcf7b282 3ee4fe5d

K1 = 3065c453 f77272e1 79ef6504 7dc9fc3d

K2 = 60cb88a7 eee4e5c2 f3deca08 fb93f87a

MAC Generation:

n = 21 = f977fbac 4dfa35ec Mn* = 99bc730b a31ed02c f3deca08 fb93f87a = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 CO М1 = 7827fab2 2c000000 70dedf2d c42c5cbd C1 = 6c9b07c0 35b7a016 3aad1405 1f57f3e0 = 3a96f8a0 b11418b3 608d5733 604a2cd3 M2 = ec9c6b75 1d027216 3412fad4 f01cebba C2 = 6aabc70c e3193bb5 153be2d3 c06dfdb2 МЗ = 3c83db67 ff87c86b 57ae4742 42c9816b C3 = d16e9c35 7158be6a 41d6b861 e491db3f M4 = e6e894ee 7e148494 44afcb75 9752e555 = bfeb518e fcf048d7 d5895373 0ff30c9e M5 C5 = cbf27df1 0fd514f0 489dd303 d2dbee51 = c470ffcd 663dc342 01c36add c0111c35 М6 = 6989143a 39de09ab 2680fe6c 41f0a7c1 C6 = b38afee7 cfdb582e 3731f8b4 baa8d1a8 М7 = fe4049fa 655ee010 49299c58 c91024ff C7 M8 = 9c06e811 99a97162 27be344e fcb436dd = 1e9dab32 48d5ee47 c7e3a420 6f18b17b C8 = d0f096c0 64c3b5e2 c399993f c77394f9 М9 C9 = 9da578a5 00a0c7f1 e825a4ca 71557055 M10 = e09720a8 11850ef2 3b2ee05d 9e617360 = 4141c882 a23da353 2b11642a 85fea2bf C10

| M11 | = | 9d86e1c0 | c18ea51a | 012a00bb | 413b9cb8 |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| C11 | = | 18467572 | 0bdfcb5b | 6bb71899 | a6cafcc7 |
| M12 | = | 188a703c | d6bae31c | c67b34b1 | b00019e6 |
| C12 | = | 156a70e5 | af77f9a4 | 74d08303 | e8c0412a |
| M13 | = | a2b2a690 | f02671fe | 7c9ef8de | c0094e53 |
| C13 | = | dba504a1 | 26fa047f | 8b8c295f | 73e90a5c |
| M14 | = | 3763478d | 58d2c5f5 | b827a014 | 8c5948a9 |
| C14 | = | ab1a2703 | 3472acc8 | e36c221b | b7a0e530 |
| M15 | = | 6931acf8 | 4f465a64 | e62ce740 | 07e991e3 |
| C15 | = | 04ceffcd | e7618885 | 43c7e837 | 0f3bce6d |
| M16 | = | 7ea823fa | 0fb21923 | b79905b7 | 33b631e6 |
| C16 | = | 215ec3bf | 5f3a303e | 53db5269 | e6c99fc2 |
| M17 | = | c7d6860a | 3831ac35 | 1a9c730c | 52ff72d9 |
| C17 | = | 8622e51b | 45a660f3 | d98fcf74 | e5cc36b3 |
| M18 | = | d308eedb | ab21fde1 | 43a0ea17 | e23edc1f |
| C18 | = | 6e998fa6 | 196d5a4c | 1ded2973 | c09c0f8c |
| M19 | = | 74cbb363 | 8a2033aa | a15464ea | a733385d |
| C19 | = | 1710bc91 | 22e54289 | 244a87ce | 23438f41 |
| M20 | = | bbeb6fd7 | 3509b857 | e6a419dc | a1d8907a |
| C20 | = | 3e18b029 | a8ef18da | b9968614 | 96552fd7 |
| M21 | = | 99bc730b | a31ed02c | f3deca08 | fb93f87a |
| C21 | = | f4cc8fa3 | 59e6e2e7 | 6e09c45d | 6ea5e0de |

MACT = f4cc8fa3

C.2.8 Test Set 8

Count-I = 296f393c

Bearer = 0b
Direction = 1

IK = b3120ffd b2cf6af4 e73eaf2e f4ebec69

Length = 16448 bits

 Message
 = 00000000 00000000 01010101 01010101 e0958045 f3a0bba4 e3968346 f0a3b8a7

 c02a018a
 e6407652 26b987c9 13e6cbf0 83570016 cf83efbc 61c08251 3e21561a

 427c009d 28c298ef ace78ed6 d56c2d45 05ad032e 9c04dc60 e73a8169 6da665c6

 c48603a5 7b45ab33 221585e6 8ee31691 87fb0239 528632dd 656c807e a3248b7b

 46d002b2 b5c7458e b85b9ce9 5879e034 0859055e 3b0abbc3 eace8719 caa80265

 c97205d5 dc4bcc90 2fe18396 29ed7132 8a0f0449 f588557e 6898860e 042aecd8

 4b2404c2 12c9222d a5bf8a89 ef679787 0cf50771 a60f66a2 ee628536 57addf04

 cdde07fa 414e11f1 2b4d81b9 b4e8ac53 8ea30666 688d881f 6c348421 992f31b9

 4f8806ed 8fccff4c 9123b896 42527ad6 13b109bf 75167485 f1268bf8 84b4cd23

d29a0934 925703d6 34098f77 67f1be74 91e708a8 bb949a38 73708aef 4a36239e 50cc0823 5cd5ed6b be578668 a17b58c1 171d0b90 e813a9e4 f58a89d7 19b11042 d6360b1b 0f52deb7 30a58d58 faf46315 954b0a87 26914759 77dc88c0 d733feff 54600a0c c1d0300a aaeb9457 2c6e95b0 1ae90de0 4f1dce47 f87e8fa7 bebf77e1 dbc20d6b a85cb914 3d518b28 5dfa04b6 98bf0cf7 819f20fa 7a288eb0 703d995c 59940c7c 66de57a9 b70f8237 9b70e203 1e450fcf d2181326 fcd28d88 23baaa80 df6e0f44 35596475 39fd8907 c0ffd9d7 9c130ed8 1c9afd9b 7e848c9f ed38443d 5d380e53 fbdb8ac8 c3d3f068 76054f12 2461107d e92fea09 c6f6923a 188d53af e54a10f6 0e6e9d5a 03d996b5 fbc820f8 a637116a 27ad04b4 44a0932d d60fbd12 671c11e1 c0ec73e7 89879faa 3d42c64d 20cd1252 742a3768 c25a9015 85888ece ele612d9 936b403b 0775949a 66cdfd99 a29b1345 baa8d9d5 400c9102 4b0a6073 63b013ce 5de9ae86 9d3b8d95 b0570b3c 2d391422 d32450cb cfae9665 2286e96d ec1214a9 34652798 0a8192ea c1c39a3a af6f1535 1da6be76 4df89772 ec0407d0 6e4415be fae7c925 80df9bf5 07497c8f 2995160d 4e218daa cb02944a bf83340c e8be1686 a960faf9 0e2d90c5 5cc6475b abc3171a 80a36317 4954955d 7101dab1 6ae81791 67e21444 b443a9ea aa7c91de 36d118c3 9d389f8d d4469a84 6c9a262b f7fa1848 7a79e8de 11699e0b 8fdf557c b48719d4 53ba7130 56109b93 a218c896 75ac195f b4fb0663 9b379714 4955b3c9 327d1aec 003d42ec d0ea98ab f19ffb4a f3561a67 e77c35bf 15c59c24 12da881d b02b1bfb cebfac51 52bc99bc 3f1d15f7 71001b70 29fedb02 8f8b852b c4407eb8 3f891c9c a733254f ddle9edb 56919ce9 fea21c17 4072521c 18319a54 b5d4efbe bddf1d8b 69b1cbf2 5f489fcc 98137254 7cf41d00 8ef0bcal 926f934b 735e090b 3b251eb3 3a36f82e d9b29cf4 cb944188 fa0ele38 dd778f7d 1c9d987b 28d132df b9731fa4 f4b41693 5be49de3 0516af35 78581f2f 13f561c0 66336194 1eab249a 4bc123f8 d15cd711 a956a1bf 20fe6eb7 8aea2373 361da042 6c79a530 c3bb1de0 c99722ef 1fde39ac 2b00a0a8 ee7c800a 08bc2264 f89f4eff e627ac2f 0531fb55 4f6d21d7 4c590a70 adfaa390 bdfbb3d6 8e46215c ab187d23 68d5a71f 5ebec081 cd3b20c0 82dbe4cd 2faca287 73795d6b 0c10204b 659a939e f29bbe10 88243624 429927a7 eb576dd3 a00ea5e0 1af5d475 83b2272c 0c161a80 6521a16f f9b0a722 c0cf26b0 25d5836e 2258a4f7 d4773ac8 01e4263b c294f43d ef7fa870 3f3a4197 46352588 7652b0b2 a4a2a7cf 87f00914 871e2503 9113c7e1 618da340 64b57a43 c463249f b8d05e0f 26f4a6d8 4972e7a9 05482414 5f91295c dbe39a6f 920facc6 59712b46 a54ba295 bbe6a901 54e91b33 985a2bcd 420ad5c6 7ec9ad8e b7ac6864 db272a51 6bc94c28 39b0a816 9a6bf58e la0c2ada 8c883b7b f497a491 71268ed1 5ddd2969 384e7ff4 bf4aab2e c9ecc652 9cf629e2 df0f08a7 7a65afa1 2aa9b505 df8b287e f6cc9149 3d1caa39 076e28ef lea028f5 118de61a e02bb6ae fc3343a0 50292f19 9f401857 b2bead5e 6ee2a1f1 91022f92 78016f04 7791a9d1 8da7d2a6 d27f2e0e 51c2f6ea 30e8ac49 a0604f4c 13542e85 b68381b9 fdcfa0ce 4b2d3413 54852d36 0245c536 b612af71 f3e77c90 95ae2dbd e504b265 733dabfe 10a20fc7 d6d32c21 ccc72b8b 3444ae66 3d65922d 17f82caa 2b865cd8 8913d291 a6589902 6ea13284 39723c19 8c36b0c3 c8d085bf

af8a320f de334b4a 4919b44c 2b95f6e8 ecf73393 f7f0d2a4 0e60b1d4 06526b02 2ddc3318 10b1a5f7 c347bd53 ed1f105d 6a0d30ab a477e178 889ab2ec 55d558de ab263020 4336962b 4db5b663 b6902b89 e85b31bc 6af50fc5 0accb3fb 9b57b663 29703137 8db47896 d7fbaf6c 600add2c 67f936db 037986db 856eb49c f2db3f7d a6d23650 e438f188 4041b013 119e4c2a e5af37cc cdfb6866 0738b58b 3c59d1c0 24843747 2aba1f35 calfb90c d714aa9f 635534f4 9e7c5bba 81c2b6b3 6fdee21c a27e347f 793d2ce9 44edb23c 8c9b914b e10335e3 50feb507 0394b7a4 a15c0ca1 20283568 b7bfc254 fe838b13 7a2147ce 7c113a3a 4d65499d 9e86b87d bcc7f03b bd3a3ab1 aa243ece 5ba9bcf2 5f82836c fe473b2d 83e7a720 1cd0b96a 72451e86 3f6c3ba6 64a6d073 d1f7b5ed 990865d9 78bd3815 d06094fc 9a2aba52 21c22d5a b996389e 3721e3af 5f05bedd c2875e0d faeb3902 1ee27a41 187cbb45 ef40c3e7 3bc03989 f9a30d12 c54ba7d2 141da8a8 75493e65 776ef35f 97debc22 86cc4af9 b4623eee 902f840c 52f1b8ad 658939ae f71f3f72 b9ec1de2 1588bd35 484ea444 36343ff9 5ead6ab1 d8afb1b2 a303df1b 71e53c4a ea6b2e3e 9372be0d 1bc99798 b0ce3cc1 0d2a596d 565dba82 f88ce4cf f3b33d5d 24e9c083 1124bf1a d54b7925 32983dd6 c3a8b7d0

CMAC(K, M):

K = b3120ffd b2cf6af4 e73eaf2e f4ebec69

Mlen = 16512

= 296f393c 5c000000 00000000 00000000 01010101 01010101 e0958045 f3a0bba4 M e3968346 f0a3b8a7 c02a018a e6407652 26b987c9 13e6cbf0 83570016 cf83efbc 61c08251 3e21561a 427c009d 28c298ef ace78ed6 d56c2d45 05ad032e 9c04dc60 e73a8169 6da665c6 c48603a5 7b45ab33 221585e6 8ee31691 87fb0239 528632dd 656c807e a3248b7b 46d002b2 b5c7458e b85b9ce9 5879e034 0859055e 3b0abbc3 eace8719 caa80265 c97205d5 dc4bcc90 2fe18396 29ed7132 8a0f0449 f588557e 6898860e 042aecd8 4b2404c2 12c9222d a5bf8a89 ef679787 0cf50771 a60f66a2 ee628536 57addf04 cdde07fa 414e11f1 2b4d81b9 b4e8ac53 8ea30666 688d881f 6c348421 992f31b9 4f8806ed 8fccff4c 9123b896 42527ad6 13b109bf 75167485 f1268bf8 84b4cd23 d29a0934 925703d6 34098f77 67f1be74 91e708a8 bb949a38 73708aef 4a36239e 50cc0823 5cd5ed6b be578668 a17b58c1 171d0b90 e813a9e4 f58a89d7 19b11042 d6360b1b 0f52deb7 30a58d58 faf46315 954b0a87 26914759 77dc88c0 d733feff 54600a0c c1d0300a aaeb9457 2c6e95b0 1ae90de0 4f1dce47 f87e8fa7 bebf77el dbc20d6b a85cb914 3d518b28 5dfa04b6 98bf0cf7 819f20fa 7a288eb0 703d995c 59940c7c 66de57a9 b70f8237 9b70e203 1e450fcf d2181326 fcd28d88 23baaa80 df6e0f44 35596475 39fd8907 c0ffd9d7 9c130ed8 1c9afd9b 7e848c9f ed38443d 5d380e53 fbdb8ac8 c3d3f068 76054f12 2461107d e92fea09 c6f6923a 188d53af e54a10f6 0e6e9d5a 03d996b5 fbc820f8 a637116a 27ad04b4 44a0932d d60fbd12 671c11e1 c0ec73e7 89879faa 3d42c64d 20cd1252 742a3768 c25a9015 85888ece e1e612d9 936b403b 0775949a 66cdfd99 a29b1345 baa8d9d5

400c9102 4b0a6073 63b013ce 5de9ae86 9d3b8d95 b0570b3c 2d391422 d32450cb cfae9665 2286e96d ec1214a9 34652798 0a8192ea c1c39a3a af6f1535 1da6be76 4df89772 ec0407d0 6e4415be fae7c925 80df9bf5 07497c8f 2995160d 4e218daa cb02944a bf83340c e8be1686 a960faf9 0e2d90c5 5cc6475b abc3171a 80a36317 4954955d 7101dab1 6ae81791 67e21444 b443a9ea aa7c91de 36d118c3 9d389f8d d4469a84 6c9a262b f7fa1848 7a79e8de 11699e0b 8fdf557c b48719d4 53ba7130 56109b93 a218c896 75ac195f b4fb0663 9b379714 4955b3c9 327d1aec 003d42ec d0ea98ab f19ffb4a f3561a67 e77c35bf 15c59c24 12da881d b02b1bfb cebfac51 52bc99bc 3f1d15f7 71001b70 29fedb02 8f8b852b c4407eb8 3f891c9c a733254f ddle9edb 56919ce9 fea21c17 4072521c 18319a54 b5d4efbe bddf1d8b 69b1cbf2 5f489fcc 98137254 7cf41d00 8ef0bcal 926f934b 735e090b 3b25leb3 3a36f82e d9b29cf4 cb944188 fa0ele38 dd778f7d 1c9d987b 28d132df b9731fa4 f4b41693 5be49de3 0516af35 78581f2f 13f561c0 66336194 leab249a 4bc123f8 d15cd711 a956albf 20fe6eb7 8aea2373 361da042 6c79a530 c3bb1de0 c99722ef 1fde39ac 2b00a0a8 ee7c800a 08bc2264 f89f4eff e627ac2f 0531fb55 4f6d21d7 4c590a70 adfaa390 bdfbb3d6 8e46215c ab187d23 68d5a71f 5ebec081 cd3b20c0 82dbe4cd 2faca287 73795d6b 0c10204b 659a939e f29bbe10 88243624 429927a7 eb576dd3 a00ea5e0 laf5d475 83b2272c 0c161a80 652la16f f9b0a722 c0cf26b0 25d5836e 2258a4f7 d4773ac8 01e4263b c294f43d ef7fa870 3f3a4197 46352588 7652b0b2 a4a2a7cf 87f00914 871e2503 9113c7e1 618da340 64b57a43 c463249f b8d05e0f 26f4a6d8 4972e7a9 05482414 5f91295c dbe39a6f 920facc6 59712b46 a54ba295 bbe6a901 54e91b33 985a2bcd 420ad5c6 7ec9ad8e b7ac6864 db272a51 6bc94c28 39b0a816 9a6bf58e 1a0c2ada 8c883b7b f497a491 71268ed1 5ddd2969 384e7ff4 bf4aab2e c9ecc652 9cf629e2 df0f08a7 7a65afa1 2aa9b505 df8b287e f6cc9149 3dlcaa39 076e28ef lea028f5 118de61a e02bb6ae fc3343a0 50292f19 9f401857 b2bead5e 6ee2a1f1 91022f92 78016f04 7791a9d1 8da7d2a6 d27f2e0e 51c2f6ea 30e8ac49 a0604f4c 13542e85 b68381b9 fdcfa0ce 4b2d3413 54852d36 0245c536 b612af71 f3e77c90 95ae2dbd e504b265 733dabfe 10a20fc7 d6d32c21 ccc72b8b 3444ae66 3d65922d 17f82caa 2b865cd8 8913d291 a6589902 6ea13284 39723c19 8c36b0c3 c8d085bf af8a320f de334b4a 4919b44c 2b95f6e8 ecf73393 f7f0d2a4 0e60bld4 06526b02 2ddc3318 10bla5f7 c347bd53 ed1f105d 6a0d30ab a477e178 889ab2ec 55d558de ab263020 4336962b 4db5b663 b6902b89 e85b31bc 6af50fc5 0accb3fb 9b57b663 29703137 8db47896 d7fbaf6c 600add2c 67f936db 037986db 856eb49c f2db3f7d a6d23650 e438f188 4041b013 119e4c2a e5af37cc cdfb6866 0738b58b 3c59d1c0 24843747 2aba1f35 calfb90c d714aa9f 635534f4 9e7c5bba 81c2b6b3 6fdee21c a27e347f 793d2ce9 44edb23c 8c9b914b e10335e3 50feb507 0394b7a4 a15c0ca1 20283568 b7bfc254 fe838b13 7a2147ce 7c113a3a 4d65499d 9e86b87d bcc7f03b bd3a3ab1 aa243ece 5ba9bcf2 5f82836c fe473b2d 83e7a720 1cd0b96a 72451e86 3f6c3ba6 64a6d073 d1f7b5ed 990865d9 78bd3815 d06094fc 9a2aba52 21c22d5a b996389e 3721e3af 5f05bedd c2875e0d faeb3902 1ee27a41

187cbb45 ef40c3e7 3bc03989 f9a30d12 c54ba7d2 141da8a8 75493e65 776ef35f
97debc22 86cc4af9 b4623eee 902f840c 52f1b8ad 658939ae f71f3f72 b9ec1de2
1588bd35 484ea444 36343ff9 5ead6ab1 d8afb1b2 a303df1b 71e53c4a ea6b2e3e
9372be0d 1bc99798 b0ce3cc1 0d2a596d 565dba82 f88ce4cf f3b33d5d 24e9c083
1124bf1a d54b7925 32983dd6 c3a8b7d0

Subkey Generation:

L = 2c645dcd 72114961 d8b9c864 7aac2c5b

K1 = 58c8bb9a e42292c3 b17390c8 f55858b6

K2 = b1917735 c8452587 62e72191 eab0b16c

MAC Generation:

= 129 Mn* = 1124bf1a d54b7925 32983dd6 c3a8b7d0 Mn = 49ec0480 3169ebe6 83ebad1e 36f0ef66 = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 C0 = 296f393c 5c000000 00000000 00000000 М1 C1 = 2c174eee b856df54 a2e3ce41 116181e0 = 01010101 01010101 e0958045 f3a0bba4 M2 C2 = 7a923db9 b053f844 9e706b27 378aeae0 МЗ = e3968346 f0a3b8a7 c02a018a e6407652 = 59d30ebc 8eb2314c 74fe3a04 1a248463 C3 = 26b987c9 13e6cbf0 83570016 cf83efbc M4 = 78db898b 6396784c 34f8edbd e7a747c5 C4 = 61c08251 3e21561a 427c009d 28c298ef М5 C5 = 7c29e481 44ac6afa 3aca8a4a 7208ce99 = ace78ed6 d56c2d45 05ad032e 9c04dc60 = 7220fde3 3a769298 c9406349 6ad867d3 C6 М7 = e73a8169 6da665c6 c48603a5 7b45ab33 C7 = 46e63f6e c6529a3b 2a7aa97c 0e280443 = 221585e6 8ee31691 87fb0239 528632dd M8 = 79803306 ad490c46 3d971205 dc99a211 = 656c807e a3248b7b 46d002b2 b5c7458e М9 C9 = 4d74cec4 f07795ab f6127db4 529dfb57 = b85b9ce9 5879e034 0859055e 3b0abbc3 M10 C10 = a6eb9d1e 93820f49 d9c5f9e1 760cb686 M11 = eace8719 caa80265 c97205d5 dc4bcc90 = 8f95155b d32ad9a3 463e905d 7ba480ee C11 = 2fe18396 29ed7132 8a0f0449 f588557e M12

| C12 | = | 6f120bf0 | e6f4c66f | a5c67815 | 65133712 |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M13 | = | 6898860e | 042aecd8 | 4b2404c2 | 12c9222d |
| C13 | = | db74500e | 895db74a | ef3b3b87 | 25087f2b |
| M14 | = | a5bf8a89 | ef679787 | 0cf50771 | a60f66a2 |
| C14 | = | f5879d17 | 7c0ddf7d | 5772993a | c137aeab |
| M15 | = | ee628536 | 57addf04 | cdde07fa | 414e11f1 |
| C15 | = | b18a88a1 | bceb93e0 | a4b7ae95 | 4479bbfe |
| M16 | = | 2b4d81b9 | b4e8ac53 | 8ea30666 | 688d881f |
| C16 | = | 7d75c4a5 | e87bff2f | 07471eb4 | 46fcdb73 |
| M17 | = | 6c348421 | 992f31b9 | 4f8806ed | 8fccff4c |
| C17 | = | b3456ccb | e8f3e8d7 | 33568c84 | f89d2145 |
| M18 | = | 9123b896 | 42527ad6 | 13b109bf | 75167485 |
| C18 | = | b5363e85 | edabc25d | bd1a400d | 5952742e |
| M19 | = | f1268bf8 | 84b4cd23 | d29a0934 | 925703d6 |
| C19 | = | 55abea1b | 574ea033 | 45df9cd1 | 46f1c8e9 |
| M20 | = | 34098f77 | 67f1be74 | 91e708a8 | bb949a38 |
| C20 | = | 8efc00fd | 5d245efc | de807875 | cd46423d |
| M21 | = | 73708aef | 4a36239e | 50cc0823 | 5cd5ed6b |
| C21 | = | aa07abd7 | b26d40b0 | 53945cfa | 6aafab45 |
| M22 | = | be578668 | a17b58c1 | 171d0b90 | e813a9e4 |
| C22 | = | 4739c2bb | 17ae5960 | 7ac250e2 | c4c172fa |
| M23 | = | f58a89d7 | 19b11042 | d6360b1b | 0f52deb7 |
| C23 | = | eda48d2b | 146feccf | 11c45d3b | 2aac4c37 |
| M24 | = | 30a58d58 | faf46315 | 954b0a87 | 26914759 |
| C24 | = | 4dbbb4e3 | 9e344d41 | d05ca472 | 50186527 |
| M25 | = | 77dc88c0 | d733feff | 54600a0c | c1d0300a |
| C25 | = | ecda3d93 | 5776d708 | 42c9c5da | 9a09dbe3 |
| M26 | = | aaeb9457 | 2c6e95b0 | 1ae90de0 | 4fldce47 |
| C26 | = | 58a010aa | f0149da7 | 5dfe9049 | 4676b663 |
| M27 | = | f87e8fa7 | bebf77e1 | dbc20d6b | a85cb914 |
| C27 | = | d611b8cb | bb9fb2ac | f82aa88b | fd6aab42 |
| M28 | = | 3d518b28 | 5dfa04b6 | 98bf0cf7 | 819f20fa |
| C28 | = | a23131a6 | d7352c69 | e9790a6b | 26b0292a |
| M29 | = | 7a288eb0 | 703d995c | 59940c7c | 66de57a9 |
| C29 | = | 9026e0dd | c60dc7fe | 3ff024e4 | 5c853be8 |
| M30 | = | b70f8237 | 9b70e203 | 1e450fcf | d2181326 |
| C30 | = | af09e79e | 54d8c2e1 | 85b08d12 | d638d687 |
| M31 | = | fcd28d88 | 23baaa80 | df6e0f44 | 35596475 |
| C31 | = | f7bc7632 | 8b116b03 | f5d1fd78 | 3f4d866d |
| M32 | = | 39fd8907 | c0ffd9d7 | 9c130ed8 | 1c9afd9b |

| C32 | = | 0c2a4710 | a2362a1f | 7967fd45 | 1a7d188d |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M33 | = | 7e848c9f | ed38443d | 5d380e53 | fbdb8ac8 |
| C33 | = | df3fc64e | ff5998be | 926a71d8 | 7836cf38 |
| M34 | = | c3d3f068 | 76054f12 | 2461107d | e92fea09 |
| C34 | = | 11133bc0 | 6cdef5b2 | 0ba5cf12 | b293ea83 |
| M35 | = | c6f6923a | 188d53af | e54a10f6 | 0e6e9d5a |
| C35 | = | fe95113c | c42ac4c4 | bd53dfcb | 41d01f1a |
| M36 | = | 03d996b5 | fbc820f8 | a637116a | 27ad04b4 |
| C36 | = | fbd5a26b | 824d7a62 | bdcad592 | 0ef8d4c8 |
| M37 | = | 44a0932d | d60fbd12 | 671c11e1 | c0ec73e7 |
| C37 | = | e75a94c8 | e5b631b8 | 6e0f1153 | f88b87aa |
| M38 | = | 89879faa | 3d42c64d | 20cd1252 | 742a3768 |
| C38 | = | 773a8452 | 8fb77154 | baaa0445 | d517de8f |
| M39 | = | c25a9015 | 85888ece | e1e612d9 | 936b403b |
| C39 | = | b53b90f0 | 6dce6530 | 593171f8 | 42eb5ab7 |
| M40 | = | 0775949a | 66cdfd99 | a29b1345 | baa8d9d5 |
| C40 | = | 2d211e99 | 76cad436 | d37bb281 | 74fd9aaf |
| M41 | = | 400c9102 | 4b0a6073 | 63b013ce | 5de9ae86 |
| C41 | = | 71f3983e | 65f0af4d | 028c1308 | 6488de12 |
| M42 | = | 9d3b8d95 | b0570b3c | 2d391422 | d32450cb |
| C42 | = | 0d292597 | f79f9c95 | f213724a | 55e54437 |
| M43 | = | cfae9665 | 2286e96d | ec1214a9 | 34652798 |
| C43 | = | 9b3ba456 | 072cdaa2 | 5bc5dae7 | ab5e5c36 |
| M44 | = | 0a8192ea | c1c39a3a | af6f1535 | 1da6be76 |
| C44 | = | 0a3b8e65 | 0bf406a9 | 267783f1 | 69979a3e |
| M45 | = | 4df89772 | ec0407d0 | 6e4415be | fae7c925 |
| C45 | = | 6a6cb8da | bfaca611 | 7b7f1996 | b83d4c92 |
| M46 | = | 80df9bf5 | 07497c8f | 2995160d | 4e218daa |
| C46 | = | 6ed66263 | 70b356c4 | bea4e69b | fa281190 |
| M47 | = | cb02944a | bf83340c | e8be1686 | a960faf9 |
| C47 | = | 65cf4cda | 156b2025 | b5b43852 | 022b0211 |
| M48 | = | 0e2d90c5 | 5cc6475b | abc3171a | 80a36317 |
| C48 | = | 96cff0a9 | 6e209fd5 | 065c9f34 | e0edc899 |
| M49 | = | 4954955d | 7101dab1 | 6ae81791 | 67e21444 |
| C49 | = | 61158848 | 8fb6a12b | a2a155bc | fa279420 |
| M50 | = | b443a9ea | aa7c91de | 36d118c3 | 9d389f8d |
| C50 | = | 79a1892a | 63751231 | f45163bb | cb8a7729 |
| M51 | = | d4469a84 | 6c9a262b | f7fa1848 | 7a79e8de |
| C51 | = | 25c71838 | 32d36692 | 22379a7b | a086716c |
| M52 | = | 11699e0b | 8fdf557c | b48719d4 | 53ba7130 |

| C52 | = | 466dbaf4 | 10f27161 | 202bd3e2 | ce7fc5f3 |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M53 | = | 56109b93 | a218c896 | 75ac195f | b4fb0663 |
| C53 | = | adcb04f6 | 86696807 | 38756fa3 | 7a350ccc |
| M54 | = | 9b379714 | 4955b3c9 | 327d1aec | 003d42ec |
| C54 | = | 802a2d59 | 0b3a457a | f449ba39 | f8bad584 |
| M55 | = | d0ea98ab | f19ffb4a | f3561a67 | e77c35bf |
| C55 | = | b6bbd86d | 5e708389 | d18413f9 | ddd9a92a |
| M56 | = | 15c59c24 | 12da881d | b02b1bfb | cebfac51 |
| C56 | = | ff010e37 | 0ad1420e | df6a5276 | 81b9f685 |
| M57 | = | 52bc99bc | 3f1d15f7 | 71001b70 | 29fedb02 |
| C57 | = | a7af152e | b0c0dc25 | d96c9792 | 672c098e |
| M58 | = | 8f8b852b | c4407eb8 | 3f891c9c | a733254f |
| C58 | = | 957bc801 | eaabe60c | 27193122 | a94cccb8 |
| M59 | = | dd1e9edb | 56919ce9 | fea21c17 | 4072521c |
| C59 | = | 3b6d3712 | 3ea45568 | 15a4c417 | 3f903fc3 |
| M60 | = | 18319a54 | b5d4efbe | bddf1d8b | 69b1cbf2 |
| C60 | = | 656e7869 | 42ef502b | f5838dc4 | 44a89253 |
| M61 | = | 5f489fcc | 98137254 | 7cf41d00 | 8ef0bca1 |
| C61 | = | 934b5a02 | 5051d909 | a9d84ab2 | 547853c6 |
| M62 | = | 926f934b | 735e090b | 3b251eb3 | 3a36f82e |
| C62 | = | b667b4da | 06f5670f | c014bb27 | 09e6e18c |
| M63 | = | d9b29cf4 | cb944188 | fa0e1e38 | dd778f7d |
| C63 | = | 88033db1 | 446aaa10 | a348ddaa | d7d80d16 |
| M64 | = | 1c9d987b | 28d132df | b9731fa4 | f4b41693 |
| C64 | = | 52d29028 | 818fae29 | dad8c1fb | 124d173f |
| M65 | = | 5be49de3 | 0516af35 | 78581f2f | 13f561c0 |
| C65 | = | b6131b03 | 2cc9c6ae | 96051b5d | 68aa7659 |
| M66 | = | 66336194 | 1eab249a | 4bc123f8 | d15cd711 |
| C66 | = | 58fbdb68 | 61d57ded | 89977624 | 977ce584 |
| M67 | = | a956a1bf | 20fe6eb7 | 8aea2373 | 361da042 |
| C67 | = | b9929b5e | 371a0fb6 | 357c864d | 4ea36d30 |
| M68 | = | 6c79a530 | c3bb1de0 | c99722ef | 1fde39ac |
| C68 | = | 198a06eb | 2c013cab | eadb6627 | d555e3a6 |
| M69 | = | 2b00a0a8 | ee7c800a | 08bc2264 | f89f4eff |
| C69 | = | d1f0a42a | b3045545 | 8e69a513 | 14825bfc |
| M70 | = | e627ac2f | 0531fb55 | 4f6d21d7 | 4c590a70 |
| C70 | = | 6b8c1b1a | 03286dde | f4ecf569 | 66f264d0 |
| M71 | = | adfaa390 | bdfbb3d6 | 8e46215c | ab187d23 |
| C71 | = | 082fe1f5 | 61373b7b | 048b92ed | 3b36c1d5 |
| M72 | = | 68d5a71f | 5ebec081 | cd3b20c0 | 82dbe4cd |

| C72 | = | cd304dc4 | 682e63df | 49b7da3b | 1e780f3a |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M73 | = | 2faca287 | 73795d6b | 0c10204b | 659a939e |
| C73 | = | 596f4ba2 | 4a20bb10 | a9fa3124 | 6a7488b9 |
| M74 | = | f29bbe10 | 88243624 | 429927a7 | eb576dd3 |
| C74 | = | 776ca237 | 97bc8e6b | bca6eafd | 8409dfe3 |
| M75 | = | a00ea5e0 | 1af5d475 | 83b2272c | 0c161a80 |
| C75 | = | 828637a1 | 8145e141 | 83f331c6 | 606b7d86 |
| M76 | = | 6521a16f | f9b0a722 | c0cf26b0 | 25d5836e |
| C76 | = | d7791efa | bc262f54 | 835ec67c | 7a224aff |
| M77 | = | 2258a4f7 | d4773ac8 | 01e4263b | c294f43d |
| C77 | = | af53bb31 | 351481e9 | 7a71d208 | f603161e |
| M78 | = | ef7fa870 | 3f3a4197 | 46352588 | 7652b0b2 |
| C78 | = | d4022c6e | 13ea8576 | e2828b8a | 71889135 |
| M79 | = | a4a2a7cf | 87f00914 | 871e2503 | 9113c7e1 |
| C79 | = | 934e9389 | 7d051877 | 7e33d2b5 | 51d450ba |
| M80 | = | 618da340 | 64b57a43 | c463249f | b8d05e0f |
| C80 | = | 0d505c6e | 3820f48f | 2d9d7965 | 7fda8c62 |
| M81 | = | 26f4a6d8 | 4972e7a9 | 05482414 | 5f91295c |
| C81 | = | 7e83e4a2 | e028cb71 | aa4d49c3 | 77cb6878 |
| M82 | = | dbe39a6f | 920facc6 | 59712b46 | a54ba295 |
| C82 | = | e60a012c | 3604a26b | fcbd8bb8 | ada3fa25 |
| M83 | = | bbe6a901 | 54e91b33 | 985a2bcd | 420ad5c6 |
| C83 | = | 3b571f1e | 45fc0552 | 6ac062f6 | e38133b9 |
| M84 | = | 7ec9ad8e | b7ac6864 | db272a51 | 6bc94c28 |
| C84 | = | 64c12b59 | f3f996cf | aa4600f0 | bbe782c7 |
| M85 | = | 39b0a816 | 9a6bf58e | 1a0c2ada | 8c883b7b |
| C85 | = | 6d697d70 | 41a532be | 99db1d5e | 1802416e |
| M86 | = | f497a491 | 71268ed1 | 5ddd2969 | 384e7ff4 |
| C86 | = | e13200d9 | 02b60040 | c8d432e3 | c6476faf |
| M87 | = | bf4aab2e | c9ecc652 | 9cf629e2 | df0f08a7 |
| C87 | = | bb96999a | e4f1f5cb | 9f6c2787 | 1215a092 |
| M88 | = | 7a65afa1 | 2aa9b505 | df8b287e | f6cc9149 |
| C88 | = | f2ede003 | 89c33765 | 4d195eeb | ceda25e7 |
| M89 | = | 3d1caa39 | 076e28ef | 1ea028f5 | 118de61a |
| C89 | = | bfa3ef0f | 3171e7fa | 90b5b1b8 | e1a002d6 |
| M90 | = | e02bb6ae | fc3343a0 | 50292f19 | 9f401857 |
| C90 | = | 56e2b617 | 3161c6c2 | 1e122148 | 86ecd966 |
| M91 | = | b2bead5e | 6ee2a1f1 | 91022f92 | 78016f04 |
| C91 | = | d3a15f8e | 6390dafe | fc41cab0 | 472a7670 |
| M92 | = | 7791a9d1 | 8da7d2a6 | d27f2e0e | 51c2f6ea |

| C92 | = | 5b666f14 | 2c224401 | 655c48e8 | d1b2c12e |
|------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M93 | = | 30e8ac49 | a0604f4c | 13542e85 | b68381b9 |
| C93 | = | 4413e8b8 | 94bee1f2 | 05e193ee | b695ab3d |
| M94 | = | fdcfa0ce | 4b2d3413 | 54852d36 | 0245c536 |
| C94 | = | 7e0693cb | ed077fa8 | 2944064c | ffc7d5d6 |
| M95 | = | b612af71 | f3e77c90 | 95ae2dbd | e504b265 |
| C95 | = | d25164b5 | d9efcd07 | 17be88f0 | 17990efd |
| M96 | = | 733dabfe | 10a20fc7 | d6d32c21 | ccc72b8b |
| C96 | = | 9e2abf1e | 5f8ebdf4 | 2fb41ae7 | d4eb6973 |
| M97 | = | 3444ae66 | 3d65922d | 17f82caa | 2b865cd8 |
| C97 | = | d7fe8071 | 8577524b | 01297cf3 | ae68a829 |
| M98 | = | 8913d291 | a6589902 | 6ea13284 | 39723c19 |
| C98 | = | 0c6be895 | d9e858a7 | e2500452 | 42e2686e |
| M99 | = | 8c36b0c3 | c8d085bf | af8a320f | de334b4a |
| C99 | = | 3629aeb3 | 673b422d | 4aea4a5c | 5a935941 |
| M100 | = | 4919b44c | 2b95f6e8 | ecf73393 | f7f0d2a4 |
| C100 | = | 6cc0142b | e8455f69 | 67284dc0 | dd708f02 |
| M101 | = | 0e60b1d4 | 06526b02 | 2ddc3318 | 10b1a5f7 |
| C101 | = | d2839043 | 25718658 | fac2fb23 | 59d3994f |
| M102 | = | c347bd53 | ed1f105d | 6a0d30ab | a477e178 |
| C102 | = | a5b5a2bf | 19ec33b3 | d2296d4a | 3735981e |
| M103 | = | 889ab2ec | 55d558de | ab263020 | 4336962b |
| C103 | = | e97eb2ee | e9769c3d | ea6ad1bb | ea079a88 |
| M104 | = | 4db5b663 | b6902b89 | e85b31bc | 6af50fc5 |
| C104 | = | 042f1f1c | 59a41204 | 1484dd2b | 426eb392 |
| M105 | = | 0accb3fb | 9b57b663 | 29703137 | 8db47896 |
| C105 | = | 45e15f74 | bb550567 | a80a5dac | acc18ebb |
| M106 | = | d7fbaf6c | 600add2c | 67f936db | 037986db |
| C106 | = | 9e285b68 | 8a3338f8 | dc2e12de | d3a89153 |
| M107 | = | 856eb49c | f2db3f7d | a6d23650 | e438f188 |
| C107 | = | 48f6e6c3 | 0b1448b7 | a94983d3 | 1416029d |
| M108 | = | 4041b013 | 119e4c2a | e5af37cc | cdfb6866 |
| C108 | = | a4645c35 | b9a4f509 | 89704523 | 0e98fac1 |
| M109 | = | 0738b58b | 3c59d1c0 | 24843747 | 2aba1f35 |
| C109 | = | f8ec48ec | 33ad7364 | 20ea077f | 16be98b8 |
| M110 | = | calfb90c | d714aa9f | 635534f4 | 9e7c5bba |
| C110 | = | 8de31e96 | 1bb879e2 | ca169749 | 51afab6f |
| M111 | = | 81c2b6b3 | 6fdee21c | a27e347f | 793d2ce9 |
| C111 | = | f602eab6 | e1373191 | fc30b633 | 8cd82741 |
| M112 | = | 44edb23c | 8c9b914b | e10335e3 | 50feb507 |

| C112 | = | 762c51e6 | d30a4eab | 869c8827 | 0d698121 |
|------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| M113 | = | 0394b7a4 | a15c0ca1 | 20283568 | b7bfc254 |
| C113 | = | e1db681b | 5fb862fc | b1c3747f | ab057c1c |
| M114 | = | fe838b13 | 7a2147ce | 7c113a3a | 4d65499d |
| C114 | = | e77d4ba4 | 812e0730 | 4eb1ee0e | c233685d |
| M115 | = | 9e86b87d | bcc7f03b | bd3a3ab1 | aa243ece |
| C115 | = | 177fd714 | 1f206a6f | 06940efd | a023309f |
| M116 | = | 5ba9bcf2 | 5f82836c | fe473b2d | 83e7a720 |
| C116 | = | c738f59b | 0715dded | 2efe635d | a073b5a3 |
| M117 | = | 1cd0b96a | 72451e86 | 3f6c3ba6 | 64a6d073 |
| C117 | = | c99dbfa3 | ebd3f018 | bba8b961 | 96818130 |
| M118 | = | d1f7b5ed | 990865d9 | 78bd3815 | d06094fc |
| C118 | = | eebd79e4 | c7378d33 | 3941a3c5 | 45ee8d37 |
| M119 | = | 9a2aba52 | 21c22d5a | b996389e | 3721e3af |
| C119 | = | dbdce382 | e9abef5d | 39f309ad | a6ce7e8c |
| M120 | = | 5f05bedd | c2875e0d | faeb3902 | 1ee27a41 |
| C120 | = | 7f851259 | 1a77d8a5 | 2f146735 | 6ebec181 |
| M121 | = | 187cbb45 | ef40c3e7 | 3bc03989 | f9a30d12 |
| C121 | = | 8e423a41 | 34eca7b9 | f8a1c48e | 6fbc50ec |
| M122 | = | c54ba7d2 | 141da8a8 | 75493e65 | 776ef35f |
| C122 | = | b6e40968 | 80bfc03f | c7aa655b | c0e12a25 |
| M123 | = | 97debc22 | 86cc4af9 | b4623eee | 902f840c |
| C123 | = | 3a1a64aa | b9addbd6 | eb3ad3b1 | 1f2fe168 |
| M124 | = | 52f1b8ad | 658939ae | f71f3f72 | b9ec1de2 |
| C124 | = | 1559a703 | 6187d461 | 52dbf04d | 4bac3ca0 |
| M125 | = | 1588bd35 | 484ea444 | 36343ff9 | 5ead6ab1 |
| C125 | = | 16136377 | e935b0fd | e2c2ab4e | 1718b30e |
| M126 | = | d8afb1b2 | a303df1b | 71e53c4a | ea6b2e3e |
| C126 | = | 995211d4 | 8695b1a2 | a59b377d | d2829f31 |
| M127 | = | 9372be0d | 1bc99798 | b0ce3cc1 | 0d2a596d |
| C127 | = | e8c5844a | c73c27d1 | 3b0b6df9 | 3142fdaa |
| M128 | = | 565dba82 | f88ce4cf | f3b33d5d | 24e9c083 |
| C128 | = | 64c755f6 | 43c48ee6 | 1e5af291 | ea4df86f |
| M129 | = | 49ec0480 | 3169ebe6 | 83ebad1e | 36f0ef66 |
| C129 | = | ebd5ccb0 | b61ca905 | 29138303 | f3377d22 |

MACT = ebd5ccb0

Annex D (normative): Security for Relay Node Architectures

D.1 Introduction

This Annex provides the security procedures applied to relay nodes. Security requirements and security features applied to relay nodes can be found in the main body of the present specification.

The overall stage 2 description for relay nodes can be found in 3GPP TS 23.401 [2] and 3GPP TS 36.300 [30].

D.2 Solution

D.2.1 General

The basic idea of the solution for relay node security presented in this Annex is realizing a one-to-one binding of an RN and a USIM called USIM-RN. Such a one-to-one binding is realized in this solution either by using symmetric preshared keys (psk) or by certificates. In the psk case, the binding needs to be pre-established in the UICC and in the RN prior to deployment; in the certificate case, the binding needs to be pre-established only in the UICC prior to deployment. The use of certificates has the advantage that there is a standardized procedure for enrolling the private key corresponding to the certificate in the secure environment of the RN while the use of a psk requires manual operation for establishing the psk. A further advantage is that the name (identity) in the certificate can be given at time of enrolment, and does not have to be pre-established. On the other hand, the use of a psk has the advantage that no PKI is required and the procedure after pre-establishment of the psk is simpler. When using certificates for this one-to-one binding, a part of the usual certificate handling is replaced by subscription handling, as explained in Annex D.2.6.

The certificate-based procedures are mandatory to support.

The pre-shared-key-based procedures are mandatory to support.

NOTE 1: The provisioning of pre-shared keys is out of the scope of this document.

When using certificates the UICC inserted in the RN shall contain two USIMs: a USIM-RN which shall perform any communication only via a secure channel, and a USIM-INI communicating with the RN without secure channel and used for initial IP connectivity purposes prior to RN attachment. The UICC shall establish a secure channel only with a particular relay node, as detailed in the procedures described in D.2.2. The UICC verifies this relay node by means of data pre-established in the UICC.

When using psk only the USIM-RN is required. This USIM-RN shall perform any communication only via a secure channel.

NOTE 2: USIM-INI and USIM-RN are described in TS 31.102 [3].

D.2.2 Security Procedures

The start-up of an RN shall proceed in the following steps, which are arranged in three phases. The Preparation Phase and Phase II procedures are the same for the certificate-based and the psk-based case. Phase I procedures differ between the certificate-based case and the pre-shared key based case. If one of the steps fails in any of the involved entities the procedure shall be aborted by that entity, and the steps that follow the failed step shall not be executed (but the sending of failure messages is possible).

Preparation Phase:

The RN platform secure environment shall perform an integrity check of the RN platform. This shall include checking the integrity of the sensitive parts of the boot process and proceeding with the boot process only if the integrity checks of all these parts are successful.

Phase I: Procedures prior to the RN attach procedure (certificate-based case)

For the certificate-based case, the RN may skip Phase I attachment if the RN has an operator certificate available and a valid CRL list (if needed).

NOTE: There may be reasons to perform Phase I attachment even if operator certificate and valid CRL are available.

- Ec1. Void
- Ec2. The RN shall attach as a UE using USIM-INI if step Ec3 needs to be performed.
- Ec3. The RN shall obtain an operator certificate through the enrolment procedure defined in TS 33.310 [6] unless an operator certificate is already available. Details can be found in clause D.2.4. The RN may optionally establish a secure connection to an OAM server. Details can be found in clause D.2.5. The RN shall retrieve a CRL from a suitable server if no valid CRL is available locally in the RN and the RN supports and is configured to perform CRL checks. For revocation checking of UICC certificates see clause D.2.6. For the handling of CRLs for UICC certificates see also clause D.3.3.4.
- Ec4. After completing step Ec3, the RN shall detach from the network and de-activate the USIM-INI if it attached in step Ec2. If the UICC needs to be configured over the air (OTA) this may also be done in this step.
- Ec5. The RN platform secure environment and the UICC shall establish a Secure Channel between RN and USIM-RN according to ETSI TS 102 484 [29] clause 7 'Secured APDU' with TLS handshake. This TLS handshake shall be initiated by the RN and use certificates on both sides. The RN shall either use a pre-established certificate or the certificate enrolled in step Ec3. The UICC shall verify that this certificate belongs to the relay node the USIM-RN is bound to . The UICC shall be pre-provisioned with an operator root certificate to verify the RN certificate. The UICC certificate shall be pre-installed in the UICC by the operator. The RN shall be provisioned with a root certificate to verify the UICC certificate.
- Ec6. A certificate validation client on the UICC shall verify the signatures in the RN certificate chain up to the root certificate. The check of revocation status and expiry time shall be omitted. A certificate validation client on the RN shall check the verification of the signatures in the UICC certificate chain up to the root certificate as well as the expiry time. The revocation status of the UICC certificate should be checked by means of CRLs. Furthermore, the requirements in clause D.2.3 on "USIM Binding Aspects" shall apply.
- NOTE 1: The root certificate, and potentially other data required, that need to be stored in the UICC could be provisioned in the UICC during its personalization. The operator provides to smart card manufacturer a list of data (e.g. IMSI, key K, etc) to be provisioned in the UICC during its personalization phase, before issuance of the UICC. The root certificate, and potentially other data, could be provided by the operator as part of the data to be personalized in the UICC by the smart card manufacturer. In the field, the root certificate, and potentially other data, could also be updated by OTA means, if needed.

The private key corresponding to the RN certificate and the root certificate used to verify the UICC certificate shall be stored in the secure environment of the RN platform validated in the Preparation Phase, and the TLS connection as well as the secure channel with the UICC shall terminate there. From the completion of this step onwards, all communication between the USIM-RN and the RN shall be protected by the Secure Channel.

The USIM-RN shall not engage in any communication with any entity prior to the the completion of establishment of the Secure Channel according to steps Ec5 and Ec6 other than messages for establishing the Secure Channel according to ETSI TS 102 484 [29] clause 7 'Secured APDU'.

NOTE 2: Certificate use restriction may be made possible e.g. through a suitable name structure, or a particular intermediate CA in the verification path, or policy information terms, e.g. by a suitable object identifier (OID) in the certificate policies extension.

- NOTE 3: ETSI TS 102 484 [29] states in clause 6.2.2: 'The UICC may present a self-signed certificate. The terminal or terminal application should temporarily accept such a certificate during the TLS handshake protocol, if it is able to establish by other means (e.g. successful network authentication) that the handshake protocol is conducted with an authentic UICC.' Similar considerations apply when the method in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] in clause 7 'Secured APDU' with TLS handshake is used as is the case in the present document. And in the present solution for relay node security, the RN indeed verifies the authenticity of the USIM-RN by means of a successful RN attach procedure. However, the use of a self-signed UICC certificate, or no UICC certificate at all, is not allowed here as this would weaken the protection against certain attacks, cf. clause D.2.6.
- NOTE 4: It is proposed here that the RN assumes the role of TLS client in line with ETSI TS 102 484 [29], clause 7, on 'Secured APDU' with TLS handshake.
- NOTE 5: One may want to limit the lifetime of a secure channel between USIM-RN and RN for security reasons. Suitable counters providing such a limit include a transaction counter, cf. clause 5 of ETSI TS 102 484 [29]. Details can be found in stage 3 specifications.
- NOTE 6: Having two USIMs on one UICC is a standard feature available today (but only one USIM can be active at a time in current 3GPP specifications).
- NOTE 7: The RN could distinguish a USIM-RN from a USIM-INI e.g. by the use of so-called 'Application Identifiers (AID)' for UICC applications.

Phase I: Procedures prior to the RN attach procedure (pre-shared key based case)

For the psk-based case, there may be some cases when skipping of Phase I attachment is possible. Such cases are outside the scope of the present document.

- Ep1. Void.
- Ep2. The RN platform secure environment and the UICC shall establish a Secure Channel between RN and USIM-RN according to ETSI TS 102 484 [29] clause 7 'Secured APDU' using a pre-shared key. Furthermore, the requirements in clause D.2.3 on "USIM Binding Aspects" shall apply.

The pre-shared key shall be stored in the secure environment of the RN platform validated in the Preparation Phase, and the secure channel with the UICC shall terminate there. From the completion of this step onwards, all communication between the USIM-RN and the RN shall be protected by the Secure Channel.

The USIM-RN shall not engage in any communication with any entity prior to the completion of the establishment of the Secure Channel according to step Ep2 other than messages for establishing the Secure Channel according to ETSI TS 102 484 [29] clause 7 "Secured APDU".

- Ep3. The RN may optionally establish a secure connection to an OAM server. Details can be found in clause D.2.5.
- Ep4. The RN shall detach from to the network if it attached for performing step Ep3.
- NOTE 8: The use of the pre-shared key variant requires that the RN is configured with this pre-shared key e.g. in the factory, or at the operator"s premises or in the field during RN installation. The corresponding procedures are out of scope of the present document. For the UICC, the regular personalization procedures are expected to apply.
- NOTE 9: One may want to limit the lifetime of a secure channel between USIM-RN and RN for security reasons. Suitable counters providing such a limit include a record counter, cf. clause 6.4 of ETSI TS 102 484 [29], or a transaction counter, cf. clause 5 of ETSI TS 102 484 [29]. Details can be found in stage 3 specifications.

Phase II: RN attach procedure (pre-shared key case and certificate-based case)

It is required that a secure channel between RN and USIM-RN exists throughout the execution of phase II.

The RN shall perform the RN attach procedure for EPS as defined in TS 36.300 [30], using the USIM-RN. In addition, the following security-related steps shall be performed:

- A1. If the USIM-RN is not already active the RN shall activate it and shall establish a new secure channel according to Ec5, Ec6 in the certificate-based case and Ep1, Ep2 in the pre-shared key based case respectively. The RN shall use the IMSI (or a related GUTI) pertaining to the USIM-RN in the RN attach procedure.
- NOTE 10:In the certificate-based case this IMSI differs from the one pertaining to the USIM-INI, therefore the network can distinguish the handling of the two USIMs.
- A2. The S1 Initial UE message shall indicate that the attachment is for an RN. Upon receipt of this message the MME-RN shall run EPS AKA with the RN and the USIM-RN. The RN shall accept only authentication responses and keys in an RN attach procedure that were received from the USIM-RN over the Secure Channel.
- A3. The MME-RN shall check from the RN-specific subscription data received from the HSS that the USIM-RN is permitted for use in RN attach procedures. When this is not the case, but the S1 Initial UE message indicated that the attachment is for an RN, the MME-RN shall reject the Attach request and indicate to the DeNB that the set-up has failed.
- A4. The MME-RN and RN shall establish NAS security. Upon receipt of the S1 INITIAL CONTEXT SETUP message the DeNB and the RN shall set up AS security over Un as specified in the present document.
- A5. The RN may establish a secure connection to an OAM server in this phase to complete the configuration. Details can be found in Clause D.2.5.

The RN start-up is now complete from a security point of view, and UEs can start attaching to the RN.

D.2.3 USIM Binding Aspects

There shall be a one-to-one association between the USIM-RN and the RN.

In the pre-shared key case, this one-to-one association is ensured by the fact that the key that is pre-shared between the USIM-RN and the RN shall not be available in any other entity.

In the certificate-based case, this one-to-one association is ensured by the following requirements:

- The UICC shall verify the RN identity, represented by the RN identity in the certificate, through the TLS handshake as part of the secure channel set-up, and shall check whether it coincides with the locally stored identity of the RN authorized to set up a secure channel with the USIM-RN;
- the identity in an RN certificate shall be unique;
- a particular RN identity shall be available in only one UICC.

The procedures for managing the binding between USIM-RN and the RN are out of scope of the present document.

The UICC may know the identity of the RN authorized to set up a secure channel with the USIM-RN by configuration. The standard secure OTA mechanisms (TS 31.116 [31]) can be used to update the configuration of UICC and renew the stored identities if required.

NOTE: The RN identity is contained in the subject name of the RN certificate. It is described in detail in clause D.3.3 of the present document and in TS 31.102 [13].

D.2.4 Enrolment procedures for RNs

This subclause applies only to the certificate-based case.

The RN may enroll a device certificate as with macro eNBs according to TS 33.310 [6] prior to the RN attach procedure with the DeNB. This certificate may then be used for establishing the secure channel between RN and USIM-RN.

The certificate enrolment procedure does not rely on the security at the AS level, but is secured at the application layer. It can be therefore executed before security on the Un interface has been established. However, the RN requires IP connectivity for the enrolment procedure to be able to reach the Registration Authority RA.

The IP connectivity required for enrolment may be established in the following ways:

(1) The RN may use offline means for enrolment purposes. No USIM is required.

(2) The RN may attach to an eNB like a normal UE using a USIM, called USIM-INI, different from the one used in the RN attach procedure to the DeNB, called USIM-RN. No secure channel between RN and USIM-INI is required.

In both cases, the network shall ensure that the destinations the RN can reach are restricted to only the PDN(s) where the RA (Registration Authority for the certificate enrolment) and other servers to be contacted during phase I, e.g. the OAM server are located. In case (2) this shall be ensured by restricting IP traffic originating from the RN and sent only to certain destinations (APNs). The restrictions are assumed to be part of the profile relating to the subscription associated with the USIM-INI.

D.2.5 Secure management procedures for RNs

The requirements on communication between the OAM systems and the eNB from clause 5.3.2 shall apply for relay nodes in both phases I and II. The mechanisms used to fulfil these requirements shall include applying security association(s) that extend between the RN and an entity in the Evolved Packet Core (EPC) or in an OAM domain trusted by the operator.

- NOTE 1: No mechanisms used to fulfil these requirements are mandated in the present document. But example mechanisms are given in NOTE 3 below. NOTE 3 is followed by normative text, which applies if the example mechanisms are used.
- NOTE 2: In case of offline configuration of the RN, the security measures used to fulfil the requirements from clause 5.3.2 are out of scope of the present document.
- NOTE 3: Examples for mechanisms to secure OAM communication to and from RNs are:
 - end-to-end security terminated within or just in front of the OAM server;
 - *hop-by-hop security via SEG in EPC* which is particularly suited for multiple management connections to separate OAM servers located within one "management domain".

If IKEv2/IPsec or TLS with authentication based on certificates is used for the security association(s), the protocol profiles for IPsec in TS 33.210 [5] and for IKEv2 and TLS in TS 33.310 [6] and the certificate profiles given in TS 33.310 [6] should be followed.

NOTE 4: As the USIM-INI can be accessed by any UE, an attacker can use the USIM-INI to connect to the APN used for OAM in phase I. In case of end-to-end security the OAM server itself has to be secured accordingly. In the hop-by-hop case the SEG can defend against attacks (e.g. DoS attacks) carried out via this channel.

The RN requires IP connectivity for the management procedure to be able to reach the OAM server.

For the pre-shared key case in Phase I, IP connectivity can be established after step Ep2 with the RN attaching to an eNB like a normal UE using the USIM-RN.

For the certificate-based case in Phase I, IP connectivity established for enrolment purposes according to clause D.2.4 may be re-used, or, if not available, it may be established in the same ways as described in clause D.2.4.

Restrictions on the destinations the RN can reach shall apply if the communication with the OAM server prior to the RN attach procedure is based on USIM-INI. They shall be realized in the same way as described in clause D.2.4.

D.2.6 Certificate and subscription handling

Whenever the operator intends to prevent the RN from attaching to the network the operator shall bar the subscription relating to the USIM-RN in the HSS.

In the certificate-based case the barring of the subscription relating to the USIM-RN shall be performed also whenever the RN certificate has to be revoked, or whenever the UICC certificate has to be revoked and the RN is not configured to always check the UICC certificate against a CRL, cf. below.

In the pre-shared key case, the barring of the subscription relating to the USIM-RN may be performed also whenever the operator sees a risk that the pre-shared key between the USIM-RN and RN has been compromised.

NOTE 0: In the certificate-based case, checking the UICC certificate against a CRL and barring the subscription relating to the USIM-RN are not equivalent. The former could prevent the following attack while the latter could not: an attacker in possession of a compromised private key relating to the UICC certificate could get stolen RNs to work in his own network as then the attacker could use a fake UICC, with subscription data generated by himself, towards the RN to set up a secure channel. Subscription barring would not be effective in the attacker"s network while the CRL check by the RN would ensure that the RN cannot attach as an RN to a network other than the one of the operator who provisioned the root certificate in the RN. If the operator deems the risk of such an attack low he may configure his RNs to not use CRL checks against UICC certificates.

NOTE 0a: In the pre-shared key case, the proprietary measures may need to consider the attack described in the preceding NOTE 0.

The remainder of the present subclause applies only to the certificate-based case.

As described in Annex D.2.2, step Ec6, the certificate validation client on the UICC verifies the signatures in the RN certificate chain up to the root certificate, but omits the check of revocation status and expiry time. To achieve the same effect as checking RN certificate"s revocation status and expiry time, the associated USIM-RN subscription shall be barred in the HSS. This process is called "invalidation" in this document and is explained further below. A certificate validation client on the RN shall check the verification of the signatures in the UICC certificate chain up to the root certificate as well as the expiry time. The revocation status of the UICC certificate should be checked by means of the CRL obtained by the RN in clause D.2.2, step Ec3. The CRL check is optional to support by the RN

Further considerations on RN certificate and USIM-RN subscription handling:

By using the one-to-one binding of RN and USIM-RN, a part of the usual certificate handling is replaced by subscription handling, as explained below:

Binding in network: The one-to-one binding of RN and USIM-RN shall be expressed by a one-to-one mapping of the RN identity in any certificate issued to the RN and the IMSI in the USIM-RN. The operator shall maintain a table with this mapping (the 'mapping table').

Binding in UICC: cf. clause D.2.3.

Lifetime: The subscription shall have a limit on its lifetime. When the lifetime of the subscription is exceeded the subscription shall be barred in the HSS. The lifetime shall not be greater than the lifetime of the RN certificate. The latter is not checked in the UICC, cf. clause D.2.2.

RN Certificate revocation and invalidation: Whenever the operator decides that the RN certificate shall no longer be used for setting up a secure channel with the USIM-RN the operator does not use CRLs or OCSP, but shall retrieve the IMSI associated with the subject name in the RN certificate and bar the subscription corresponding to the IMSI in the HSS. The certificate shall also be revoked, but the operator does not need to use CRLs or OCSP in this context. This implies that no new certificate shall be issued for the same RN identity from that point onwards. In case the RN certificate is also used for other purposes, e.g. for protecting an OAM connection, then, additionally, the usual PKI revocation procedures apply.

RN compromise: If the operator has reason to believe that an RN has been compromised the RN certificate shall be invalidated and revoked as described above.

RN Certificate renewal: This process may be used as normal as long as the RN identity in the RN certificate remains the same.

NOTE 1: Certificate renewal with private key change may be useful even if the UICC does not check the expiry time of the certificate as, in this way, the use of the private key can be limited if desired.

RN Certificate expiry:

NOTE 2: As the UICC has no clock it cannot check the expiry time and, hence, the RN could also use an expired certificate in the secure channel set-up. As the certificate is only checked by the UICC for RN platform authentication in the secure channel set-up this is not a problem as long as the corresponding private key has not left the secure environment of the RN. More generally, if there is a risk that it has been compromised the operator will bar the corresponding subscription in the HSS. The use of the certificate is limited by the lifetime of the subscription bound to the RN. However, a UICC can be re-used with a different RN after having been re-configured with a different RN identity.

D.3 Secure channel profiles

D.3.1 General

The clause D.3 profiles the algorithms to be used on the APDU secure channel, cf. ETSI TS 102 484 [29]. In addition it specifies the profiles for the different key agreement methods.

For the case when certificates are used for key agreement, the profiles are given for the TLS handshake used to provide key material for the Master SA of the secure channel between USIM-RN and RN, and for the certificates used in UICC and RN for mutual authentication during TLS handshake. For the psk case requirements on the key agreement with preshared keys are given.

D.3.2 APDU secure channel profile

For communication between the USIM-RN and the RN a secure channel according to the APDU secure channel as specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] shall be used. Further detailing of the secure channel is given in TS 31.102 [13].

For encryption, AES-CBC as specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] shall be mandatory to support. Other encryption algorithms specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] may be supported. The algorithm "3DES - outer CBC using 2 keys" shall not be used.

NOTE 1: The algorithm "3DES - outer CBC using 2 keys" is outdated.

For integrity protection, AES-CMAC as specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] shall be mandatory to support. Other integrity protection algorithms specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] shall not be used.

NOTE 2: The algorithm CRC32 is for redundancy check only, and not a cryptographic checksum. The algorithm "ANSI Retail MAC" is not fit for long-term usage in the scope of the present document.

D.3.3 Key agreement based on certificate exchange

D.3.3.1 TLS profile

The key agreement for the certificate exchange case shall follow the mechanism "Certificate exchange" as specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29].

During key agreement based on certificate exchange a TLS handshake is used to provide key material for the Master SA of the APDU secure channel between USIM-RN and RN.

The TLS profile shall follow the profile given in Annex E of TS 33.310 [6] with the following restrictions and extensions:

- the support of the ciphersuite mandatory for TLS 1.1 as described in TS 33.310 [6] is not required;
- the support of fallback to TLS 1.0 as described in TS 33.310 [6] is not required;
- neither UICC nor RN shall use TLS session resumption.

D.3.3.2 Common profile for RN and UICC certificate

The certificate profile for both RN and UICC certificates shall follow the TLS entity certificate profile given in clause 6.1.3a of TS 33.310 [6] with the following restrictions and extensions:

- the support of the SHA-1 algorithm for use before signing the certificate as described in TS 33.310 [6] is not required;
- the support of public key length of 1024-bit is not required;

- only the subject name format with "(C=<country>), O=<Organization Name>, CN=<Some distinguishing name>" is mandatory to support.

D.3.3.3 RN certificate profile

The RN certificate is used as client certificate in the TLS handshake between RN and UICC.

The certificate profile for the RN certificate shall follow clause D.3.3.2 of the present document with the following restrictions and extensions:

- the subject name shall be unique within all subject names issued by CAs under the same root CA;
- the subject name may additionally contain the attribute "serialNumber=<serial number>";
- the support of the countryName (C) and serialNumber attributes in the subject name is mandatory;
- NOTE 1: The usage of the countryName (C) and serialNumber attributes can support the operator in generating a unique identity for an RN.
- the CRL distribution point is not used if the RN certificate is only used in the setup of the secure channel with the UICC. Therefore the CRL distribution point is optional in this case.
- NOTE 2: It may be desired to deploy the same RN certificate also for RN platform authentication to other network elements of the operator, e.g. if TLS with mutual authentication is used for an OAM connection. The profile given above is intended to allow such usage. Regarding the implementation of certificate handling in the UICC it should be noted that for this additional usage of the RN certificate the existence of additional fields in the certificate is possible, e.g. of the subjectAltName and/or the CRL distribution point, which are not relevant for the secure channel between RN and UICC.

D.3.3.4 UICC certificate profile

The UICC certificate is used as server certificate in the TLS handshake between RN and UICC.

The certificate profile for the UICC certificate shall follow clause D.3.3.2 of the present document with the following additional provisions:

- the CRL distribution point in the UICC certificate is optional.
- NOTE 1: The CRL distribution point and the support for CRL infrastructure for the UICC certificate is only needed if the revocation check of the UICC certificate is performed during setup of the secure channel (cf. clause D.2.6).
- NOTE 2: In common TLS usage, the RN learns the UICC certificate only during TLS handshake, when the IP connectivity to the core network using USIM-INI may no longer be available. Thus the CRL distribution point for CRLs having UICC certificates in scope would be known too late to allow the RN to retrieve an up-to-date CRL from the network. By reading the UICC certificate from the UICC before the establishment of the secure channel starts, the RN may learn the CRL distribution point while it still has IP connectivity based on USIM-INI, cf. step Ec3 in clause D.2.2. For access to the UICC certificate see the definition of the EF for UICC certificate in TS 31.102 [13].

D.3.4 Key agreement for pre-shared key (psk) case

The key agreement for the psk case shall follow the mechanism "Strong Pre-shared Keys - Proprietary Pre-agreed keys" as specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29]. The pre-shared key shall be used directly to derive a Master secret for the Master SA.

NOTE: The above requirement includes that the pre-shared key fulfills the requirements for WeakKey=0 as specified in clause 7.2 of ETSI TS 102 484 [29].

D.3.5 Identities used in key agreement

The key agreement mechanisms specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] produce a value Ks_Local_Ref, which is a reference to Ks_local. It is transferred from the RN to the UICC during the Master SA setup and is used as input to the derivation of the 256 bit Master secret (MS) of the Master SA in the certificate exchange case.

Ks_Local_Ref is specified in ETSI TS 102 484 [29] as the concatenation of identities as follows:

Ks_Local_Ref = Terminal_ID || Terminal_appli_ID || UICC_ID || UICC_appli_ID.

The identities used in the scope of the present document for Ks_Local_Ref are specified as follows:

- UICC_ID: This unique identifier for the UICC shall be the ICCID for the UICC as specified in ETSI TS 102 221 [32].

NOTE: The UICC_ID may be read by the RN from the UICC before establishment of the secure channel.

- UICC_appli_ID: This unique identifier for the UICC application that hosts the UICC endpoint shall be the USIM-RN AID as specified in TS 31.102 [13].
- Terminal_ID: This unique identifier for the RN shall be the subject name of the RN certificate as specified in clause D.3.3.3. In the psk case, where no certificate is used, the same definition as for the certificate exchange case shall apply.
- Terminal_appli_ID: This unique identifier for the application that hosts the RN side endpoint shall be set to the UTF-8 encoded string "Relay_Node_appli".

Annex E (informative): Change history

| | Change history | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----------------|-----------|-----|-----|--|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Date | TSG# | TSG Doc. | CR | Rev | Subject/Comment | Old | New | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090518 | 261 | - | Editorial correction to Algorithms for Emergency Call | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090636 | 269 | - | UE Security Capability Storage Clarification | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 277 | - | Clarification of key change on the fly (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 279 | - | KeNB handling at RRC connection re-establishment (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090518 | 281 | - | XRES corrected to RES | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090636 | 301 | 1 | Some corrections to the key hierarchy diagrams (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 287 | | Correcting the details of NAS COUNT (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090636 | 285 | | Correcting the setting of the key identifier to "111" (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 283 | | Completing the EPS AKA description (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090636 | 361 | 1 | Clarification for Kenb and NH derivations definition | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 304 | - | Clarification to EIA2 Test Vectors | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 306 | - | Correction of rules on concurrent runs of security procedures | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 360 | 1 | Miscellaneous Modifications | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 275 | | Clarification of NH usage (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 289 | | Add missing details for NAS SMC (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 297 | 1 | Deleting mis-leading sentence in 7.2.9.2 (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 299 | 1 | Correction to key identification (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 291 | 1 | Clarifying the inter-RAT TAU Request behaviour (Rel-9) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 293 | | Correcting the calculation of K_eNB at handover to E-UTRAN (Rel-8) | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090518 | 305 | | Clarification for the Clauses 5.1.4.1 and 5.1.4.2 of the Rel-9 TS 33.401 | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | EPS NAS security context handling in UE at EC when NULL algorithms are | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| 2009-09 | SA#45 | SP-090518 | 280 | 1 | established | | | | | | |
| 2009-09 | | SP-090518 | 260 | | Correction to Emergency Call Optimization Procedure | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090636 | 271 | | Corrections of security context | 9.0.0 | 9.1.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 310 | | selected algorithms forwarding to the target eNB in intra LTE handover | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 311 | | Clarification of Current security context | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 313 | | Security interworking between E-UTRAN and GERAN in 128-bit encryption | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 316 | | Correction of protection of the NAS security mode reject message (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 318 | | EPS NAS security context storage | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 321 | - | Clarification of confidentiality protection in EC | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 322 | 2 | Authentication failure during emergency call | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 324 | | Correction of ECM states | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 326 | | Clarifications to context handling in idle mode procedures | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 328 | | Clarifications to context handling in IRAT handover | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 330 | | Correction to store security context to ME | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 332 | | Corrections to state transition | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 334 | - | Clarification for algorithm selection during IRAT handover to EUTRAN | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 336 | - | Corrections for 33.401 | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 338 | | Concurrency of inter-MME handovers and NAS downlink messages (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 340 | | Partial native EPS security context NAS COUNT value | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 343 | | Clarification of NAS integrity protection activation | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | Nas-token and key calculation at idle mobility from E-UTRAN to UTRAN/GERAN (Rel- | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090811 | 348 | 2 | 9) | 0.1.0 | 0.2.0 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090811 | 352 | - | Clarifying the calculation of KeNB when there is more than one NAS SMC (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9,2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 354 | | Behaviour for lost NAS SMC message when creating mapped context (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | Clarification of Authentication Data and transition to EMM-DEREGISTERED and | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090812 | 356 | 4 | Correction of text on authentication data transfer | | | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090811 | 359 | | NCC Initialization in eNB at the Initial Connection Setup | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 360 | | key replacement clarification | | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 362 | | Replacing KDF definition with a reference | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 364 | | Correction of interworking between GERAN and E-UTRAN | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090811 | 366 | | Correcting A.11 | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | | | | Not resetting STARTPS to 0 in HO from EUTRAN to UTRAN and not resetting | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090811 | 367 | 1 | STARTCS to 0 in SRVCC (Rel-9). | | | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090812 | | | Security considerations for emergency sessions in SRVCC | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | 0.4.4.0 | | | | Delete the CK keys in the MSC server enhanced for SRVCC in case there is | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | SA#46 | SP-090812 | 369 | 1 | desynchronization of CS keys between the UE and the network in SRVCC | | 1 | | | | |
| 2009-12 | | | 371 | - | NAS COUNT handling during IRAT handover | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | | 373 | - | Concurrency of inter-RAT handovers and NAS SMC procedure (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-090812 | 375 | | Using P-TMSI signature when attaching to SGSN using a GUTI (Rel-9) | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | | 376 | | Key-Chaining issue in I-RAT handover to UTRAN | 9.1.0 | 9.2.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-100097 | 384 | | GPRS Kc128 handling | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 | | | | |
| | | SP-100099 | 386 | | Handling of SIM based EC handover to E-UTRAN | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 | | | | |
| _010 04 | J, (1) T1 | J. 100000 | 550 | 1 | aag or our bacoa to handord to t officer | 0.2.0 | 0.0.0 | | | | |

| | | | | | T | | • |
|----------|-------|------------|------------|----------|--|--------|--------|
| | | SP-100099 | 377 | _ | Key derivations for unauthenticated Emergency call | | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100103 | 319 | | Clarification of SIM user handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN | | 9.3.0 |
| | | | 387 | 1 | Correction of text on terminal identities | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100101 | 392 | 1 | Clarification of Identification procedure in MME | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100101 | 403 | - | Handling of EPS NAS security context in state transitions | | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SΔ#47 | | | | Add the Replay protection implementation and Clarification of replay protection with | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100103 | 378 | 1 | integrity | | |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100103 | 389 | - | Clarification for NAS downlink COUNT handling in I-RAT handover to UTRAN | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100101 | 382 | 1 | GPRS Kc handling | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SΔ#47 | | | | Desynchronization of PS keys between the UE and the network in case of PS HO | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100101 | 399 | 1 | failure | | |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100101 | 383 | 1 | Correction of SRVCC failure | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100106 | 395 | 2 | Correction on mandatory implementation of IKE and IPsec for backhaul of eNBs | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100106 | 376 | 2 | Correction of Network Domain Control Plane protection | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| | | SP-100101 | 397 | 1 | Not resetting START to 0 in idle mode mobility (Rel-9). | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | SA#47 | SP-100106 | 404 | - | Certificate Enrolment use | 9.2.0 | 9.3.0 |
| 2010-04 | | | | | Correction of reference [27] | 9.3.0 | 9.3.1 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100382 | 414 | 1 | IMEI sending clarification | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100382 | 420 | 1 | Editorial Corrections | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100383 | 408 | 1 | Correction of text on emergency call handling | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100383 | 409 | 1 | Emergency Context Lifetime | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100382 | 410 | 1 | Clarifying the uplink NAS COUNT for derivation of KeNB | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100383 | 412 | 2 | Uplink and Downlink NAS COUNT increment for EIA0 | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| 2010-06 | SA#48 | SP-100383 | 413 | 1 | Correction for Emergency Attach | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| | | | 415 | 1 | Correction of TAU procedure after IRAT Handover to E-UTRAN | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| | | SP-100382 | 416 | | Correction on key sending in S1 HANDOVER REQUIRED message | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| | | SP-100382 | 418 | 1 | Correction of BEARER-ID to BEARER | 9.3.1 | 9.4.0 |
| | | SP-100477 | 423 | | Corrections | _ | 9.5.0 |
| | | SP-100569 | 424 | | Emergency call corrections | - | 9.5.0 |
| | | SP-100850 | 425 | | Emergency call corrections | | 9.6.0 |
| | | SP-100721 | 426 | _ | Authentication Failure Handling | - | 9.6.0 |
| | | SP-100721 | 427 | | Correction of algorithm selection | | 9.6.0 |
| | | SP-100852 | 429 | | Clarification for EIAO selection during IRAT handover to EUTRAN | | 9.6.0 |
| | | SP-110016 | 431 | | Correction for handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN | _ | 10.0.0 |
| | | SP-110015 | 437 | | PDCP integrity for relay node security | 9.6.0 | 10.0.0 |
| | | SP-110015 | 438 | | Solution for relay node security | | 10.0.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | 440 | | Corrective text for undefined wording - autonomous validation of RN platform | | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | 444 | | Detailed binding of RN and UICC | _ | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | 445 | | Clarification on initial attach procedure for PSK case | | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | 448 | | Clarification of certificate and subscription handling | | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | 449 | | Resolution of Editor's Notes for PDPC integrity for Relay Nodes | | 10.1.0 |
| _ | | | | <u>'</u> | Specification of secure channel profiles and certificates used for Relay nodes (RNs) | | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-06 | SA#52 | SP-110256 | 451 | 1 | and UICC (USIM-RN) | 10.0.0 | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-06 | SA#52 | SP-110256 | 452 | | Resolution of Editor's Notes for Relay Node security procedures | 10.00 | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110256 | | | Corrections and Clarifications for Relay Node security procedures | | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-00 | 3A#32 | 31 -110230 | 400 | <u>'</u> | Correction on communication outside secure channel for Relay Node security | | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-06 | SA#52 | SP-110256 | 454 | 1 | procedures | 10.0.0 | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-06 | | | 459 | | Modification of security context storage rate | 10.00 | 10.1.0 |
| | | SP-110259 | 460 | | Corrections to communication between MME and DeNB for relay nodes | | 10.1.0 |
| 2011-06 | | | 460 | | | | |
| | | SP-110505 | | | Corrections to CR implementation Clarification on PDCP integrity requirement for Un interface | | 10.1.1 |
| | | | 472 | | | | 10.2.0 |
| | | | 474 | | Correction on eNB management connection security | | 10.2.0 |
| 2011-09 | SA#53 | SP-110505 | 476 | _ | Correction on RN management connection security | | 10.2.0 |
| | | SP-110505 | 478 | | Specification of profile and revocation handling for UICC certificates with relay nodes | | 10.2.0 |
| | | SP-110505 | 482 | | Clarification of integrity protection for relay nodes | | 10.2.0 |
| 2011-09 | SA#53 | SP-110505 | 462 | 1 | Corrections on RN start-up security procedures | | 10.2.0 |
| 2012-06 | SA#56 | SP-120339 | 498 | 1 | Addition of confidentiality requirement for interfaces carrying subscriber specific | 10.2.0 | 10.3.0 |
| | | | | | sensitive data | 40.0.0 | 40.0.0 |
| 12012 06 | SA#56 | | 500 | | Miscellaneous corrections with respect to relay nodes | | 10.3.0 |
| | O A | | | | | | |
| 2013-03 | | | 516 521 | _ | SRVCC-correction-REL-10 Clarification for handover from UTRAN to E-UTRAN-R10 | 10.3.0 | 10.4.0 |

History

| | Document history | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| V10.0.0 | April 2011 | Publication | | | | | | | |
| V10.1.1 | June 2011 | Publication | | | | | | | |
| V10.2.0 | October 2011 | Publication | | | | | | | |
| V10.3.0 | July 2012 | Publication | | | | | | | |
| V10.4.0 | April 2013 | Publication | | | | | | | |
| V10.5.0 | July 2013 | Publication | | | | | | | |